

Contents

I	Introduction and statement of the result	3
II	Preliminaries and reduction to the case of a small perturbation of the Laplacian	8
II.1	Preliminaries	8
II.2	Reduction to a small perturbation	12
III	Study of the flow	15
III.1	Preliminaries	15
III.2	The flow for short time	18
III.3	The forward flow from points in $\mathcal{S}_+$ and backward from $\mathcal{S}_-$	19
III.4	Precisions on the flow in the general case	21
III.5	The flow from points in $(\mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-)^c$	22
IV	The phase equation	24
IV.1	Statement of the result	24
IV.2	The preparation theorem	25
IV.3	The case of outgoing points	27
IV.4	The case of incoming points	51
IV.5	The phase for small θ	95
V	The transport equations	100
V.1	Statement of the result and preliminaries	100
V.2	The case of outgoing points	102
V.3	The case of incoming points	110

V.4 The amplitude for short time	137
VI Microlocal localizations and the use of the FBI transform	138
VI.1 Preliminaries	138
VI.1.1 The semi-classical calculus	138
VI.1.2 The FBI transform	139
VI.2 The microlocalization procedure	140
VI.3 The one sided parametrix	145
VI.4 Conclusion of Section VI	157
VII The dispersion estimate and the end of the proof of Theorem I.1	158
VII.1 The dispersion estimate for the operators $K_{\pm}(t)$	158
VII.2 End of the proof of Theorem II.2.1	178
VIII Appendix	181
VIII.1 The Faa di Bruno Formula	181
VIII.2 Proof of Proposition III.2.1	182
VIII.3 Proof of Proposition III.3.2	182
VIII.4 Proof of Lemma V.3.1	186

Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger equations with variable coefficients

Luc Robbiano* and Claude Zuily†

Abstract

We prove the (local in time) Strichartz estimates (for the full range of parameters given by the scaling unless the end point) for asymptotically flat and non trapping perturbations of the flat Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. The main point of the proof, namely the dispersion estimate, is obtained in constructing a parametrix. The main tool for this construction is the use of the FBI transform.

I Introduction and statement of the result

The purpose of this work is to provide a proof of the full (local in time) Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger operator related to a non trapping asymptotically flat perturbation of the usual Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

To be more precise let us first introduce the following space. Let σ_0 be in $]0, 1[$. We set

$$(I.0.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0} = \left\{ a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C_\alpha > 0 : |\partial^\alpha a(x)| \leq \frac{C_\alpha}{\langle x \rangle^{1+|\alpha|+\sigma_0}}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

Here we have set $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$.

Let P be a second order differential operator,

$$(I.0.2) \quad P = \sum_{j,k=1}^n D_j (g^{jk}(x) D_k) + \sum_{j=1}^n (D_j b_j(x) + b_j(x) D_j) + V(x), D_j = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

with principal symbol $p(x, \xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$. (Here $g^{jk} = g^{kj}$).

*Université de Versailles, UMR 8100, Bât. Fermat, 45, Avenue des Etats-Unis, 78035 Versailles

†Université Paris Sud, UMR 8628, Département de Mathématiques, Bât. 425, 91406 Orsay Cedex

We shall make the following assumptions.

$$(I.0.3) \quad \begin{cases} (i) & \text{The coefficients } g^{jk}, b_j, V \text{ are real valued, } 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n. \\ (ii) & \text{There exists } \sigma_0 > 0 \text{ such that } g^{jk} - \delta_{jk} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}. \\ & \text{Here } \delta_{jk} \text{ is the Kronecker symbol.} \\ (iii) & V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

$$(I.0.4) \quad \text{There exists } \nu > 0 \text{ such that for every } (x, \xi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, p(x, \xi) \geq \nu |\xi|^2.$$

Then P has a self-adjoint extension with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now we associate to the symbol p the bicharacteristic flow given by the following equations for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(I.0.5) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_j(t) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x(t), \xi(t)), & x_j(0) = x_j, \\ \dot{\xi}_j(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(x(t), \xi(t)), & \xi_j(0) = \xi_j. \end{cases}$$

We shall denote by $(x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi))$ the solution, whenever it exists, of the system (I.0.5). In fact it is an easy consequence of (I.0.3) and (I.0.4) that this flow exists for all t in $\mathbb{R}$. Indeed by (I.0.4) we have

$$\nu |\xi(t)|^2 \leq p(x(t), \xi(t)) = p(x, \xi),$$

and it follows from (I.0.4) that

$$|\dot{x}_j(t)| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n |g^{jk}(x) \xi_k(t)| \leq C |\xi(t)| \leq C \nu^{-1/2} p(x, \xi)^{1/2}.$$

Our last assumption will be the following.

$$(I.0.6) \quad \text{For all } (x, \xi) \text{ in } T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \text{ we have } \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} |x(t, x, \xi)| = +\infty.$$

This means that the flow is not trapped backward nor forward. Now let us denote by e^{-itP} the solution of the following initial value problem

$$(I.0.7) \quad \begin{cases} i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - Pu = 0 \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Then the main result of this work is the following.

Theorem I.0.1 *Assume that the operator P satisfies the conditions (I.0.3), (I.0.4), (I.0.6). Let $T > 0$ and (q, r) be a couple of real numbers such that $q > 2$ and $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(I.0.8) \quad \|e^{-itP} u_0\|_{L^q([-T, T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for all u_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Such estimates are known in the literature under the name of Strichartz estimates. They have been proved for the flat Laplacian by Strichartz [St] when $p = q = \frac{2n+4}{n}$ and extended to the full range of (p, q) given by the scaling by Ginibre-Velo [GV] and Yajima [Y]. The limit case $q = 2$ (the end point) when $n \geq 3$ is due to Keel-Tao [KT]. These estimates have been a key tool in the study of non linear equations. Very recently several works appeared showing a new interest for such estimates in the case of variable coefficients. Steffilani-Tataru [ST] proved Theorem I.0.1 under conditions (I.0.4) and (I.0.6) for compactly supported perturbations of the flat Laplacian. In [B] Burq gave an alternative proof of this result using the work of Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [BGT]. In the same work Burq announced without proof that if you accept to replace in the right hand side of (I.0.8) the L^2 norm by an H^ε norm, for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, then you can weaken the decay hypotheses on the coefficients of P in the sense that you may replace in the definition (I.0.1) of $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}$ the power $|\alpha| + 1 + \sigma_0$ by $|\alpha| + \sigma_0$. We have also to mention a recent work of Hassel-Tao-Wunsch [HTW1] who proved in dimension $n = 3$ a weaker form of our result corresponding to the case where $q = 4$, $r = 3$, under conditions similar to ours. Still more recently these three authors announced the same result as ours under hypotheses on the coefficients similar to ours (see [HTW2]).

It is also worthwhile to mention the work of Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov who investigate the Strichartz estimates on compact Riemannian manifolds. In that case they show that such estimates hold with the L^2 norm replaced by the $H^{1/q}$ norm. In the same paper these authors show that the same result holds on $\mathbb{R}^n$ when the coefficients of their Laplacian (and its derivatives) are merely bounded. Let us note also that these estimates concern also the wave equation and many works have been devoted to this case. However we would like to emphasize that, due to the finite speed of propagation, the extension to the variable coefficients case appear to be much less technical (see [SS]).

Let us now give some ideas on the proof. It is by now well known that a proof of the Strichartz estimates can be done using a dispersion result, duality arguments and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev lemma. This has been formulated as an abstract result in the paper [KT] as follows. Assume that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have an operator $U(t)$ which maps $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies,

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \|U(t)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C \text{ independent of } t. \\ \text{(ii)} & \|U(s)(U(t))^*g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C |t-s|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad t \neq s, \end{cases}$$

then the Strichartz estimates (I.0.5) hold for $U(t)$. It is not difficult to see that the serious estimate to be proved is (ii). In the case when $U(t) = e^{it\Delta_0}$ (the flat Laplacian) this estimate is obtained by the explicit formula giving the solution in term of the data u_0 . In the variable coefficients case such a formula is of course out of hope and the better we can have is a parametrix. However due to strong technical difficulties (which we try to explain below) which seem to be serious we are not able to write a parametrix for e^{-itP} so we have to explain what we do instead. First of all let $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\varphi_0(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset [-1, 1]$. With a large $R > 0$ we write

$$e^{-itP} u_0(x) = \varphi_0\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) e^{-itP} u_0(x) + \left(1 - \varphi_0\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right) e^{-itP} u_0(x) = v + w.$$

It is not difficult to see that the Strichartz estimates for v will be ensured by the result of Staffilani-Tataru [ST] while the same estimate for w leads to consider an operator which is a small perturbation of the Laplacian (see Section II).

Now it is not a surprise that microlocal analysis is strongly needed in our proof. So let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\xi_0| = 1$ be a fixed direction. Let $\chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi_0(s) = 1$ if $s \leq \frac{3}{4}$, $\chi_0(s) = 0$ if $s \geq 1$, $0 \leq$

$\chi_0 \leq 1$ and let us set $\chi_+(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{-x \cdot \xi_0}{\delta_1}\right)$, $\chi_-(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{\delta_1}\right)$, $\delta_1 > 0$. We set $U_+(t) = \chi_+ e^{-itP}$, $U_-(t) = \chi_- e^{-itP}$. Now since $\chi_+(x) + \chi_-(x) \geq 1$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then Strichartz estimates separately for $U_+(t)$ and $U_-(t)$ will give the result. It is therefore sufficient to prove the estimate (ii) above for $U_+(s)$ ($U_+(t))^* = \chi_+ e^{i(s-t)P} \chi_+$ (and for $U_-(s)(U_-(t))^*$). In our proof we shall construct a parametrix for these operators.

Our construction relies heavily on the theory of FBI transform (see Sjöstrand [Sj] and Melin-Sjöstrand [MS]) viewed as a Fourier integral operator with complex phase. One of the reason of our choice is that in our former works on the analytic smoothing effect [RZ2] we have already done similar constructions (but only near the outgoing points: see below). Let us explain very roughly the main ideas. The standard FBI transform is given by

$$(I.0.9) \quad Tv(\alpha, \lambda) = c_n \lambda^{3n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda(y - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - \frac{\lambda}{2}|y - \alpha_x|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} v(y) dy$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and c_n is a positive constant.

Let us note that the phase can be written $i\lambda\varphi_0$ where $\varphi_0(y, \alpha) = \frac{i}{2} (y - (\alpha_x + i\alpha_\xi))^2$. Then T maps $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, e^{-\lambda|\alpha_\xi|^2} d\alpha)$. The adjoint T^* of T is given by a similar formula (see (VI.1.2)) and we have,

$$(I.0.10) \quad T^*T \text{ is the identity operator on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We embed the transform T into a continuous family of FBI transform

$$(I.0.11) \quad \begin{cases} T_\theta v(\alpha, \lambda) = \lambda^{3n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha) v(y) dy \text{ with} \\ \varphi(0, y, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(y - (\alpha_x + i\alpha_\xi))^2, a(0, y, \alpha) = c_n. \end{cases}$$

Let us set $U(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = T_\theta[K_\pm(t)u_0](\alpha, \lambda)$, where $K_\pm(t) = \chi_\pm e^{-itP} \chi_\pm$. Then it is shown that if φ satisfies the eikonal equation,

$$(I.0.12) \quad \left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} + p\left(x, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right) \right](\theta, x, \alpha) = 0,$$

and if the symbol a satisfies appropriate transport equations then U is a solution of the following equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \lambda \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right)(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) \sim 0.$$

It follows that essentially we have, $U(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = V(\theta - \lambda t, \alpha, \lambda)$. In particular this shows that $U(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = U(-\lambda t, 0, \alpha, \lambda)$. Written in terms of the transformations T_θ this reads

$$T[K_\pm(t)u_0](\alpha, \lambda) = T_{-\lambda t}[\chi_\pm^2 u_0](\alpha, \lambda).$$

Applying T^* to both members and using (I.0.10) we obtain

$$K_\pm(t)u_0(x) = T^*\{T_{-\lambda t}[\chi_\pm^2 u_0](\cdot, \lambda)\}(t, x).$$

Thus we have expressed the solution in terms of the data through a Fourier integral operator with complex phase.

This short discussion shows that as usual the main point of the proof is to solve the eikonal and transport equations. Let us point out the main difficulties which occur in solving these equations.

They are of three types: the bad behavior of the flow from incoming points and for large time, the global (in θ, x) character of all our constructions and the mixing of C^∞ coefficients and complex variables (coming from the non real character of our phase). Let us discuss each of them. First of all whatever the method you use to solve an eikonal equation (symplectic geometry or another one) a precise description of the flow of the symbol p is needed. Let us recall (see (I.0.5)) that our flow $(x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi))$, issued from the point $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$, is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case of the flat Laplacian we have $\xi(t, x, \xi) = \xi$ and $x(t, x, \xi) = x + 2t\xi$. Let now $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and assume that $x \cdot \xi \geq 0$. Then it is easy to see that $|x(t, x, \xi)|^2 \geq |x|^2 + 4t^2 |\xi|^2$ for $t \geq 0$ so that $|x(t, x, \xi)|$ becomes larger and larger while $x(t, x, \xi)$ may vanish for a large $t < 0$. Such a point is called “outgoing for $t \geq 0$ ” and “incoming for $t < 0$ ”. In the case of a perturbed Laplacian this distinction between the directions is very important. Indeed although the flow from outgoing points for $t \geq 0$ is very well described for $t \geq 0$ and has very similar properties to the flat case, it has a bad behavior for $t < 0$ in what concerns its derivatives with respect to (x, ξ) . For instance $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi)$ does not behave at all as $2t\delta_{jk}$. This is of great importance and causes some trouble in the proof. However still when $t < 0$, the flow behaves correctly as long as the point $(x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi))$ is outgoing for $t \geq 0$. Roughly speaking that is the reason why we are not able to construct a parametrix for e^{-itP} while it is possible for the operator $\chi_\pm e^{-itP} \chi_\pm$. The Section III is entirely devoted to a careful study of the flow. Let us now describe our method of resolution of the eikonal equation. The classical method uses the ideas of symplectic geometry. Roughly speaking the manifold constructed from the flow is a Lagrangian manifold on which the symbol $\tau + p(x, \xi)$ is constant. If it projects (globally) and clearly on the basis then it is a graph of some function φ which is the desired phase. However this general method leads immediately to a difficulty in our case. Indeed since we want that for $\theta = 0$ the phase φ coincides with the phase φ_0 of the FBI transform (see (I.0.9)) which is non real, we should take, in solving the flow, data which are non real, so the flow itself would be non real; but our symbol has merely C^∞ coefficients. To circumvent this difficulty a method has been proposed by Melin-Sjöstrand [MS] which uses the almost analytic machinery. Another method, different in spirit, that the one described above and known under the name of “Lagrangian ideals”, has been introduced by Hörmander [H]. Here the initial data in the flow are kept real. Let us set $u_j(x, \xi) = \xi_j - \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_j}(x, \xi) = \xi_j - \alpha_\varepsilon^j - i(x_j - \alpha_x^j)$. Then obviously we have $\{u_j, u_k\} = 0$ if $j \neq k$ (where $\{, \}$ denotes the Poisson bracket). Now let us set $v_j(\theta, x, \xi) = u_j(x(-\theta, x, \xi), \xi(-\theta, x, \xi))$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then for every θ in $\mathbb{R}$ the Poisson bracket of v_j and v_k still vanishes if $j \neq k$. Thus the ideal generated by the v_j 's is closed under the Poisson bracket. The main step in Hörmander's method is to show that this ideal is generated by functions of the form $\xi_j - \Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha)$. This will imply that one can find a function $\varphi = \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)$ such that $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha)$ and it turns out that φ is the desired phase. To achieve its main step, Hörmander uses a precise version of the Malgrange preparation theorem which is discussed in [H], tome 1. This is the way we chose to use in our case. It occupies all Section IV of the paper. The proof is made separately for outgoing and incoming points. Since the v_j 's are defined by mean of the backward flow, in both cases we encounter the difficulty caused by the bad behavior of the flow from incoming points. As it can be seen many technical difficulties arise in the procedure.

The next step in the proof is the resolution of the transport equations. Here also the cases of outgoing and incoming points have to be separated. We have also to be careful since these are first order equations with non real C^∞ coefficients. The first case is easier. Indeed due to the good behavior of the flow and the decay of the perturbation one can cut the Taylor expansion of the coefficients of the vector field to some order and thus reduce ourselves to the case of polynomial coefficients. Then by classical holomorphic methods one can solve the equations modulo flat terms

which will be enough for our purpose. In the second case there is no more such an asymptotic and the situation is much more intricate. So we use the classical idea which consists in straightening the vector field. This forces us to enter in the almost analytic machinery of Melin-Sjöstrand [MS] (see Section V). Of course all the constructions made above are done microlocally and in a neighborhood of the bicharacteristic. Therefore to define the general FBI transform T_θ (see (I.0.11)) as well as to pass from the standard T to $T_{-\lambda t}$ we have to insert many microlocal cut-off. Of course we have to check at each microlocalization that the remainder leads to an acceptable error. This is the goal of Section VI. At this stage of the proof the operator $K_\pm(t) = \chi_\pm e^{-itP} \chi_\pm$ is written as

$$K_\pm(t) u_0(x) = \int k_\pm(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy$$

where

$$k_\pm(t, x, y) = \int e^{i\lambda F(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)} a(\lambda t, x, y, \alpha) d\alpha.$$

Thus the dispersion estimate would follow from the bound

$$|k_\pm(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{n/2}}$$

for $0 < |t| \leq T$.

Here we have two regimes according to the fact that $|\lambda t| \geq 1$ or $|\lambda t| \leq 1$. In the first case on the support of $a(\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)$ we could be very far from the critical point of F . Fortunately the phase F has enough convexity to produce the desired bound of $k_\pm$. In the second regime we are close to the critical point of F so we expect a stationnary phase method to work. However since the phase F is non real and since the determinant of its Hessien in α degenerates in some direction when $|\lambda t| \rightarrow 0$ we cannot apply the standard results as they appear in [H]. Instead, after a careful study of the phase F we use merely an integration by part method with an appropriate vector field to conclude. This is done in Section VII. The rest of this part is devoted, using the Littlewood-Paley theory, to the end of the proof of our main Theorem.

Finally an Appendix gathers the proofs of some technical results used in the paper.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Nicolas Burq for useful discussions at an earlier stage of the work.

II Preliminaries and reduction to the case of a small perturbation of the Laplacian

II.1 Preliminaries

We begin by recalling several earlier results which will be used in the sequel.

The first result concerns the case of compactly supported perturbations of the Laplacian.

Theorem II.1.1 (Staffilani-Tataru [ST]) *Let P be defined by (I.0.2). Assume that P satisfies (I.0.4), (I.0.6) and*

$$(II.1.1) \quad \text{for } j, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad g^{jk} - \delta_{jk}, \quad b_j, V \text{ are compactly supported.}$$

Then the Strichartz estimates (I.0.8) hold.

The second result which we recall is the extension to the variable coefficients case by Doř [D] of the Kato smoothing effect. Let us introduce the following space. We set for s, μ in $\mathbb{R}$

$$H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}' : \langle x \rangle^\mu (I - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

with its standard norm.

Theorem II.1.2 (Doř [D]) *Let P be defined by (I.0.2) and assume it satisfies the conditions (I.0.3), (I.0.4), (I.0.6). Then for all $T > 0$ and all $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ one can find a constant $C \geq 0$ such that,*

$$(II.1.2) \quad \|e^{-itP} u_0\|_{L^2([-T, T], H_{-\sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for all u_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We shall also use the following result.

Lemma II.1.3 (Keel-Tao [KT]) *Let (X, dx) be a measure space, H a Hilbert space and $T > 0$. Suppose that for each time $t \in [-T, T]$ we have an operator $U(t) : H \rightarrow L^2(X)$ which satisfies the following estimates.*

(i) *There exists $C_1 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in [-T, T]$ and all $f \in H$,*

$$\|U(t) f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_H.$$

(ii) *There exists $C_2 \geq 0$ such that for all $t, s \in [-T, T]$, $t \neq s$ and all $g \in L^1(X)$,*

$$\|U(t)(U(s))^* g\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C_2 |t - s|^{-n/2} \|g\|_{L^1(X)}.$$

Let (q, r) be a couple of real numbers such that $q \geq 2$, $r < +\infty$ and $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r}$. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ such that for all f in H

$$\|U(t) f\|_{L^q([-T, T], L^r(X))} \leq C \|f\|_H.$$

This result will be used in the sequel with $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $X = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Finally let's recall the following technical lemma.

Lemma II.1.4 (Christ-Kiselev [CK]) Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and $K(t, s)$ be a continuous function taking its values in $B(X, Y)$, the space of bounded linear mappings from X to Y . Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$ and set

$$Sf(t) = \int_a^b K(t, s) f(s) ds$$

$$Wf(t) = \int_a^t K(t, s) f(s) ds.$$

Let $1 \leq p < q \leq +\infty$. Then if we can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|Sf\|_{L^q((a,b),Y)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p((a,b),X)}$$

it follows that

$$\|Wf\|_{L^q((a,b),Y)} \leq \frac{2^{-2(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \cdot 2C}{1 - 2^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}} \|f\|_{L^p((a,b),X)}.$$

Using these results we shall see that Theorem I.0.1 will be a consequence of the following Theorem.

Theorem II.1.5 Let us set $\Delta_g = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (g^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k})$ and assume that the conditions (I.0.3), (I.0.4), (I.0.6) are satisfied by Δ_g . Let $T > 0$ and (q, r) be a couple of real numbers such that $q > 2$ and $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|e^{it\Delta_g} u_0\|_{L^q([-T,T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all u_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us show how Theorem II.1.5 implies Theorem I.0.1.

Let us set $I = [0, T]$. (The case $I = [-T, 0]$ is symmetric). Using (I.0.2) we can write

$$(II.1.3) \quad i \partial_t u + \Delta_g u = - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (D_j b_j) + V \right) u - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j D_j u =: F = F_1 + F_2.$$

It follows from Duhamel formula that

$$(II.1.4) \quad e^{-itP} u_0 = e^{it\Delta_g} u_0 + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [F(s, \cdot)] ds.$$

Using Theorem II.1.5 we obtain

$$(II.1.5) \quad \|e^{it\Delta_g} u_0\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let us set now

$$(II.1.6) \quad Sf(t) = \int_0^T e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [f(s, \cdot)] ds.$$

Since $Sf(t) = e^{it\Delta_g} \int_0^T e^{-is\Delta_g} [f(s, \cdot)] ds$ we can use Theorem II.1.5 to write

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sf(t)\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\leq C \left\| \int_0^T e^{-is\Delta_g} [f(s, \cdot)] ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|e^{-is\Delta_g} [f(s, \cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|f(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds = C \|f\|_{L^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma II.1.4 with $p = 1$, $q > 2$, $Y = L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we deduce that

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [F_1(s, \cdot)] ds \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|F_1\|_{L^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$$

where $F_1 = -(\sum_{j=1}^n (D_j b_j) + V)u$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^n |D_j b_j| + |V|$ is bounded (by condition (I.0.3)) we have

$$\|F_1\|_{L^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \int_0^T \|u(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \leq C' T \|u_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore we have

$$(II.1.7) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [F_1(s, \cdot)] ds \right\| \leq C(T) \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let us look to the term corresponding to F_2 in (II.1.3), (II.1.4). Let us fix $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0$. Then by Theorem II.1.2 the operator $e^{it\Delta_g}$ is continuous from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(I, H_{-\sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Its adjoint is defined by

$$((e^{it\Delta_g} u_0, f)) = (u_0, U^* f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $((,))$ denotes the duality between $L^2(I, H_{-\sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $L^2(I, H_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. It satisfies the estimate

$$\|U^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(I, H_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$U^* f(x) = \int_0^T e^{-is\Delta_g} [f(s, \cdot)] ds.$$

Using Theorem II.1.5 for Δ_g we see that the operator S introduced in (II.1.6) satisfies the estimate

$$\|Sf(t)\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(I, H_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Using Lemma II.1.4 with $p = 2$, $q > 2$, $Y = L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $X = H_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we see that

$$(II.1.8) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [F_2(s, \cdot)] ds \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|F_2\|_{L^2(I, H_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$$

where $F_2 = -2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j D_j u$. If we set, with $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$,

$$(II.1.9) \quad A = \langle x \rangle^{\sigma} (I - \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j D_j (I - \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \langle x \rangle^{\sigma}$$

then we can write

$$(II.1.10) \quad \|F_2\|_{L^2(I, H_\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 = 4 \int_0^T \|A\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} (I - \Delta)^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds.$$

Let us consider the metric on the cotangent space

$$G = \frac{dx^2}{\langle x \rangle^2} + \frac{d\xi^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2}.$$

It is a Hörmander's metric and we have $\langle x \rangle^\sigma \in \text{Op } S(\langle x \rangle^\sigma, G)$, $(I - \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \in \text{Op } S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}, G)$, $b_j \in \text{Op } S(\langle x \rangle^{-2\sigma}, G)$, $D_j \in \text{Op } S(\langle \xi \rangle, G)$. It follows that the operator A introduced in (II.1.9) belongs to $\text{Op } S(1, G)$ and therefore is L^2 continuous. It follows then from (II.1.8), (II.1.10) that

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [F_2(s, \cdot)] ds \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \left[\int_0^T \|u(s, \cdot)\|_{H_{-\sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 ds \right]^{1/2}.$$

Using Theorem II.1.2 for P we deduce that

$$(II.1.11) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_g} [F_2(s, \cdot)] ds \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C' \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Gathering the informations given by (II.1.4), (II.1.5), (II.1.7) and (II.1.11) we obtain the conclusion of Theorem I.0.1. So we are left with the proof of Theorem II.1.5.

II.2 Reduction to a small perturbation

The purpose of this Section is to show that, using the result of II.1 one can reduce the proof of Theorem II.1.5 to the case of a small perturbation of the flat Laplacian.

Let φ be in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We write $e^{it\Delta_g} u_0 = u$ and

$$(II.2.1) \quad u = \varphi u + (1 - \varphi) u = v + w.$$

(i) Estimate of v

Since $v = \varphi u$ it follows from (I.0.7) that $(i\partial_t + \Delta_g) v = [\Delta_g, \varphi] u$. Let $\varphi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such $\varphi_1 = 1$ on the support of φ then setting $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ one can write

$$(II.2.2) \quad (i\partial_t + \Delta_g) v = (i\partial_t + \Delta + \varphi_1(\Delta_g - \Delta)\varphi_1) v = [\Delta_g, \varphi] u$$

and $\varphi_1(\Delta_g - \Delta)$ is a compactly supported perturbation of the flat Laplacian. Let us set $\tilde{P} = -\Delta - \varphi_1(\Delta_g - \Delta)\varphi_1$. We have, from (II.2.2)

$$(II.2.3) \quad v = e^{-it\tilde{P}} \varphi u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\tilde{P}} [f(s, \cdot)] ds$$

where $f = [\Delta_g, \varphi] u$.

It follows from Theorem II.1.1 that

$$(II.2.4) \quad \|e^{-it\tilde{P}}\varphi u_0\|_{L^q([-T,T],L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (II.2.3) we shall use Lemma II.1.4 with $a = -T$, $b = T$, $Y = L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p = 2$, $X = H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this one first remark that if $U = e^{-it\tilde{P}}$ then Theorem II.1.2 shows that U is continuous from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2([-T,T],H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then it is easy to see that $U^* : L^2([-T,T],H_c^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous and is given by $U^*f(x) = \int_0^T e^{-is\tilde{P}}[f(s,\cdot)]ds$. It follows that

$$\left\| \int_0^T e^{-i(t-s)\tilde{P}}[f(s,\cdot)]ds \right\|_{L^q([-T,T],L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \|U U^* f\|_{L^q([-T,T],L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Then, using again Theorem II.1.1 and the above continuity of U^* we get

$$\|U U^* f\|_{L^q([-T,T],L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|U^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C' \|f\|_{L^2([-T,T],H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$$

since $f = [\Delta_g, \varphi]u$ has compact support in x .

Now we use Lemma II.1.4 to deduce that

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\tilde{P}}[f(s,\cdot)]ds \right\|_{L^q([-T,T],L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C'' \|f\|_{L^2([-T,T],H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$$

since $f(s,\cdot)$ has compact support in x and $q > 2$.

Moreover since $[\Delta_g, \varphi]$ is first order we have, using again Theorem II.1.2,

$$\|f\|_{L^2([-T,T],H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|\psi u\|_{L^2([-T,T],H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C' \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi = 1$ on the support of φ . This gives the estimate of the second term in the right hand side of (II.2.3) which, together with (II.2.4) shows that v satisfies the Strichartz estimate.

(ii) Estimate of w

We shall take the function φ , introduced above, of the following form. Let $R > 0$ (which will be chosen large enough) and $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_0(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq \frac{3}{2}$, $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset [-2, 2]$. We shall take $\varphi(x) = \varphi_R(x) = \varphi_0(\frac{x}{R})$.

Let $\tilde{\varphi}_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\tilde{\varphi}_0(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}_0 \subset [-1, 1]$ and let us set $\tilde{\varphi}_R(x) = \tilde{\varphi}_0(\frac{x}{R})$.

Let $w = (1 - \varphi_R)u$ be the second term in the right hand side of (II.2.1). Since $1 - \tilde{\varphi}_R = 1$ on the support of $1 - \varphi_R$ we have according to (I.0.2)

$$(II.2.5) \quad (i\partial_t + \Delta_g)w = \left(i\partial_t + \Delta + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[(1 - \tilde{\varphi}_R) b_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right] \right) w = -[\Delta_g, \varphi_R]u$$

where $b_{jk} = g^{jk} - \delta_{jk}$.

Now if we denote by f one of the coefficients b_{jk} we claim that we have

$$(II.2.6) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha [(1 - \tilde{\varphi}_R) f](x)| \leq \frac{1}{R^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}} \frac{C_\alpha}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Using (I.0.1) and denoting by A the left hand side of (II.2.6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \left(1 - \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right) |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| + \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{1}{R^{|\beta|}} \left|(\partial_x^\beta \tilde{\varphi})\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right| |\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} f(x)| \\ A &\leq \left(1 - \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right) \frac{C_\alpha}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\sigma_0}} + \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \frac{C'_{\alpha\beta}}{R^{|\beta|}} \left|(\partial_x^\beta \tilde{\varphi})\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|-|\beta|+1+\sigma_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, on the support of $1 - \tilde{\varphi}(\frac{x}{R})$ we have $\langle x \rangle > |x| \geq \frac{3}{2}R$ so

$$(1) \leq \frac{C'_\alpha}{R^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}}.$$

On the support of $\partial^\beta \tilde{\varphi}(\frac{x}{R})$, with $\beta \neq 0$, we have $\frac{1}{2}R \leq |x| \leq R$ so $\langle x \rangle \leq \sqrt{2}R$ if $R > 1$. Therefore

$$(2) \leq \frac{1}{R^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}} \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} C''_{\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|-\frac{\sigma_0}{2}+|\alpha|-|\beta|+1+\sigma_0}} \leq \frac{1}{R^{\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}} \frac{C''_\alpha}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}}.$$

It follows from (II.2.6) that we can work in the rest of the paper with a non negative self adjoint operator P such that

$$(II.2.7) \quad \begin{cases} P = -\Delta + \varepsilon Q, \text{ where } Q = \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} a_\beta^\varepsilon D^\beta, \\ \varepsilon \text{ is a small constant and } |D_x^\alpha a_\beta^\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{C_\alpha}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ \text{uniformly for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } C_\alpha \text{ independent of } \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Since the estimates on the coefficients are uniform in ε we shall write a_β instead of a_β^ε . The principal symbol p of P will be written as

$$p(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + \varepsilon q(x, \xi), \quad q(x, \xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$$

and we shall take ε so small that

$$\frac{9}{10} |\xi|^2 \leq p(x, \xi) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi|^2.$$

Finally without loss of generality we shall take σ_0 instead of $\frac{\sigma_0}{2}$ in (II.2.7).

We assume that P satisfies the condition (I.0.3) and (I.0.6). Let $T > 0$.

Theorem II.2.1 *Let (q, r) be such $q \geq 2$ and $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r}$. If ε is small enough then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{-itP} v_0\|_{L^q([-T, T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us assume that we have proved this result. Then we can applied it to the operator occuring in (II.2.5) with R large enough. We have,

$$w = e^{-itP} (1 - \varphi_R) u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)P} [f_R(s, \cdot)] ds.$$

It follows from Theorem II.2.1 that

$$(II.2.8) \quad \|e^{-itP} (1 - \varphi_R) u_0\|_{L^q([-T, T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and the same argument as used in the estimate of v , namely the use of Theorem II.2.1, and Lemma II.1.4 shows that

$$(II.2.9) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)P} [f_R(s, \cdot)] ds \right\|_{L^q([-T, T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C(R) \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then using (II.2.8) we see that the second term w in the right hand side of (II.2.1) satisfies the Strichartz estimate which completes the proof of Theorem II.1.5.

Our goal now is to prove Theorem II.2.1. The first step is to make a carefull study of the flow.

III Study of the flow

III.1 Preliminaries

Let $p(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + \varepsilon q(x, \xi)$, $q(x, \xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$ where,

$$(III.1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{there exists } \sigma_0 > 0 \text{ such that for every } \ell \in \mathbb{N} \text{ one can find } A_\ell > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\partial_x^\alpha b_{jk}(x)| \leq \frac{A_\ell}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\ell+\sigma_0}} \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

We introduce the equations of the bicharacteristic flow issued from a point (x, ξ) in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. They are given for $j = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$(III.1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_j(t) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x(t), \xi(t)), & x_j(0) = x_j, \\ \dot{\xi}_j(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(x(t), \xi(t)), & \xi_j(0) = \xi_j, \end{cases}$$

and we denote by $(x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi))$ the solution of (III.1.2) whenever it exists (or $(x(t), \xi(t))$ for short if no confusion is possible).

Assuming ε so small that $\varepsilon A_0 \leq \frac{1}{10}$ we see that $\frac{9}{10} |\xi|^2 \leq p(x, \xi) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi|^2$. It follows that

$$\frac{9}{10} |\xi(t, x, \xi)|^2 \leq p(x(t), \xi(t)) = p(x, \xi) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi|^2,$$

so that

$$(III.1.3) \quad |\xi(t, x, \xi)| \leq 2 |\xi|.$$

Using the first equation of (III.1.2) we see then, that the solution of (III.1.2) exists for all t in $\mathbb{R}$ and is a C^∞ function with respect to (x, ξ) . Moreover we have the following lemma.

Lemma III.1.1 *For all t in $\mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$x(t, x, \xi) \cdot \xi(t, x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + 2t p(x, \xi) + f(t, x, \xi)$$

where

$$|f(t, x, \xi)| \leq 4\varepsilon A_1 |\xi|^2 \left| \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle x(s) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \right| \leq 4\varepsilon A_1 |t| |\xi|^2.$$

Proof We have by (III.1.2)

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) \cdot \xi(t)] = \xi(t) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x(t), \xi(t)) - \varepsilon x(t) \cdot \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(x(t), \xi(t)).$$

Using Euler's identity we obtain

$$\xi(t) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x(t), \xi(t)) = 2p(x(t), \xi(t)) = 2p(x, \xi).$$

We set $f(t, x, \xi) = -\varepsilon \int_0^t x(s) \cdot \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(x(s), \xi(s)) ds$. Now since

$$\left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(x(s), \xi(s)) \right| \leq \frac{A_1}{\langle x(s) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} |\xi(s)|^2,$$

it follows from (III.1.3) that

$$|f(t, x, \xi)| \leq 4\varepsilon A_1 |\xi|^2 \left| \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle x(s) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \right| \leq 4\varepsilon A_1 |t| |\xi|^2.$$

□

We shall use later on the result given by the following lemma.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ let us set,

$$(III.1.4) \quad \rho(t, x, \xi) = (x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi)).$$

Lemma III.1.2 *We have the following identities for $j, k = 1, \dots, n$.*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}(t, x, \xi) &= \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial \xi_j}(-t, \rho(t, x, \xi)) \\ \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) &= -\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \xi_j}(-t, \rho(t, x, \xi)) \\ \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_k}(t, x, \xi) &= -\frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x_j}(-t, \rho(t, x, \xi)) \\ \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) &= \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j}(-t, \rho(t, x, \xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof For $j = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{cases} x_j(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) &= x_j \\ \xi_j(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) &= \xi_j \end{cases}$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to x_k and ξ_k we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k}(t; x, \xi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(t; x, \xi) &= \delta_{jk} \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(t; x, \xi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(t; x, \xi) &= 0 \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k}(t; x, \xi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(t; x, \xi) &= 0 \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(t; x, \xi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(t; x, \xi) &= \delta_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol.

If we set

$$M(t; \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}\right)(t; \rho) & \left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}\right)(t; \rho) \\ \left(\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_k}\right)(t; \rho) & \left(\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}\right)(t; \rho) \end{pmatrix}$$

then the above relations can be written

$$(III.1.5) \quad M(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi)) \cdot M(t; x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Let us introduce for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the following matrix.

$$(III.1.6) \quad A(s; \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t\left(\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}\right)(s; \rho) & -{}^t\left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}\right)(s; \rho) \\ -{}^t\left(\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_k}\right)(s; \rho) & {}^t\left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}\right)(s; \rho) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(III.1.7) \quad A(s; \rho) M(s; \rho) = I_{2n}$$

where I_{2n} is the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix.

Indeed let us set $A(s; \rho) \cdot M(s; \rho) = (C_{\alpha\beta})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2n}$. We have for $j, k = 1 \dots n$,

$$(III.1.8) \quad \begin{cases} C_{j,k} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_k} \right)(s; \rho) \\ C_{j,k+n} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_k} - \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_k} \right)(s; \rho) \\ C_{j+n,k} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_j} \right)(s; \rho) \\ C_{j+n,k+n} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_k} - \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_k} \right)(s; \rho). \end{cases}$$

Let us remark that $C_{j+n,k+n} = C_{k,j}$.

Now we recall that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the map $(x, \xi) \mapsto \rho(s; x, \xi)$ is symplectic which means that

$$(III.1.9) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^n d(\xi_\ell(s; x, \xi)) \wedge d(x_\ell(s; x, \xi)) = \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge dx_j.$$

Writting $u(s) = u(s; x, \xi)$ for short we have

$$(1) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n d(\xi_\ell(s)) \wedge d(x_\ell(s)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_j}(s) dx_j + \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_j}(s) d\xi_j \right) \wedge \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s) dx_k + \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(s) d\xi_k \right) \right)$$

It follows that

$$(1) = \sum_{j \leq k} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_j}(s) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s) - \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_j}(s) \right) \right) dx_j \wedge dx_k + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_j}(s) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s) - \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_j}(s) \right) \right) d\xi_j \wedge dx_k + \sum_{j \leq k} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_j}(s) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(s) - \frac{\partial \xi_\ell}{\partial \xi_k}(s) \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial \xi_j}(s) \right) \right) d\xi_j \wedge d\xi_k.$$

Using (III.1.8) and (III.1.9) we see easily that

$$C_{j,k} = \delta_{jk}, \quad C_{j,k+n} = C_{j+n,k} = 0, \quad C_{j+n,k+n} = C_{k,j} = \delta_{jk}.$$

This proves (III.1.7).

It follows from (III.1.5) and (III.1.7) that

$$(III.1.10) \quad M(t; x, \xi) = A(-t; \rho(t; x, \xi))$$

which by (III.1.6) proves the Lemma III.1.2. □

III.2 The flow for short time

Here is a description of the flow for short time.

Proposition III.2.1 *Let us set*

$$\begin{cases} r(t, x, \xi) = x(t, x, \xi) - (x + 2t\xi) \\ \zeta(t, x, \xi) = \xi(t, x, \xi) - \xi. \end{cases}$$

Let $T > 0$. Then for all A, B in $\mathbb{N}^n$ one can find $C_{A,B} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (i) & |\partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B Z(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{A,B} \varepsilon |t| \\ (ii) & |\partial_t \partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B Z(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{A,B} \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

if $Z = r$ or ζ , for all $|t| \leq T$ and all $(x, \xi) \in T^\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\xi| \leq 3$.*

Proof See Appendix, Paragraph VIII.2.

We introduce now the following definition which distinguish microlocally the points in the cotangent bundle.

Definition III.2.2 *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_+ &= \left\{ (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : x \cdot \xi \geq -\frac{1}{4} \langle x \rangle |\xi| \right\} \\ \mathcal{S}_- &= \left\{ (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : x \cdot \xi \leq \frac{1}{4} \langle x \rangle |\xi| \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_-$) is called the set of outgoing points for $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t \leq 0$).

Of course the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ in the above definition is unimportant and could be replaced by any fixed small constant. The reason for this definition is the following. If $(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}_+$ then, for $t \geq 0$

$$1 + |x + 2t\xi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2 |\xi|^2).$$

Since $x + 2t\xi$ will be an approximation of $x(t; x, \xi)$, then $\mathcal{S}_+$ will be the set of points (x, ξ) for which the projection of the bicharacteristic goes to $+\infty$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ in staying away from the origin.

III.3 The forward flow from points in $\mathcal{S}_+$ and backward from $\mathcal{S}_-$

Our goal is to obtain for these points a nice global representation of the flow together with precise estimates of its derivatives with respect to x and ξ .

Proposition III.3.1 *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on the constants A_0, A_1 in (III.1.1) such that for ε in $]0, \varepsilon_0[$ the solution of (III.1.2) with (x, ξ) in $\mathcal{S}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_-$) and $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ can be written for all $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t \leq 0$)*

$$(III.3.1) \quad \begin{cases} x(t; x, \xi) = x + 2t\xi(t; x, \xi) + z(t; x, \xi) \\ \xi(t; x, \xi) = \xi + \zeta(t; x, \xi) \end{cases}$$

with

$$(III.3.2) \quad |z_j(t; x, \xi)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^2}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1), \quad |\zeta_j(t; x, \xi)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^2}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1),$$

where A_0, A_1 are the constants arising in (III.1.1) and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Moreover for all $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t \leq 0$) we have

$$(III.3.3) \quad \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1 + |x(t; x, \xi)|^2}{1 + |x|^2 + t^2} \leq 40.$$

Proof Let $I = \{T > 0 : |z_j(t)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^2}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1), |\zeta_j(t)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^2}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and all } t \in [0, T]\}$. Then I is an interval which is non empty by the local Cauchy-Lipschitz Theorem. Let $T^* = \sup I$. If $T^* = +\infty$ we are done. Otherwise let $T < T^*$. Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ we have for $t \in [0, T]$, $\frac{1}{3} \leq |\xi(t)| \leq 3$ if $\varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1)$ is small enough. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon A_0)|\xi|^2 &\leq p(x, \xi) = p(x(t), \xi(t)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon A_0)|\xi(t)|^2 \\ (1 - \varepsilon A_0)|\xi(t)|^2 &\leq p(x(t), \xi(t)) = p(x, \xi) \leq (1 + \varepsilon A_0)|\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for t in $[0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + |x(t)|^2 &= \langle x \rangle^2 + 4t^2 |\xi|^2 + 4t^2 |\zeta(t)|^2 + |z(t)|^2 + \underbrace{4tx \cdot \xi}_{(1)} + \underbrace{4tx \cdot \zeta(t)}_{(2)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{2x \cdot z(t)}_{(3)} + \underbrace{8t^2 \xi \cdot \zeta(t)}_{(4)} + \underbrace{4t \xi \cdot z(t)}_{(5)} + \underbrace{4t \zeta(t) \cdot z(t)}_{(6)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}_+$ we have for $t \geq 0$, $(1) \geq -\frac{1}{2}(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2 |\xi|^2)$. Now, by the definition of I we have on $[0, T]$ if $\varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1)$ is small enough.

$$\begin{aligned} |(2)| &\leq C_1(n) t |x| \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1) \leq 10^{-2} (|x|^2 + t^2) \\ |(3)| &\leq C_2(n) |x| \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1) \leq 10^{-2} \langle x \rangle^2 \\ |(4)| &\leq C_3(n) t^2 \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1) \leq 10^{-2} t^2 \\ |(5)| &\leq C_4(n) t \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1) \leq 10^{-2} (1 + t^2) \\ |(6)| &\leq C_5(n) t (\varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1))^2 \leq 10^{-2} (1 + t^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\langle x(t) \rangle^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2) - 4 \cdot 10^{-2}(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2) \geq \frac{1}{3}(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2).$$

The same computation shows that $\langle x(t) \rangle^2 \leq 40(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2)$. It follows that on $[0, T]$ we have

$$(III.3.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + t) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2)^{1/2} \leq \langle x(t) \rangle \leq 7(\langle x \rangle^2 + t^2)^{1/2}.$$

Now it follows from (III.1.2) that $(z(t), \zeta(t))$ satisfy the equations

$$(III.3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}_j(t) = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi_j}(x(t), \xi(t)) + 2t \varepsilon \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_j}(x(t), \xi(t)) \\ \dot{\zeta}_j(t) = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_j}(x(t), \xi(t)) \end{cases}$$

with $z_j(0) = \zeta_j(0) = 0$.

We deduce from (III.1.1), (III.3.4) and the bounds $\frac{1}{3} \leq |\xi(t)| \leq 3$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi_j}(x(t), \xi(t)) \right| &\leq \frac{3 A_0}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \leq \frac{3(\sqrt{6})^{1+\sigma_0} A_0}{(1+t)^{1+\sigma_0}} \leq \frac{12 A_0}{(1+t)^{1+\sigma_0}}, \\ \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_j}(x(t), \xi(t)) \right| &\leq \frac{9 A_1}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} \leq \frac{9(\sqrt{6})^{1+\sigma_0} \sqrt{3} A_1}{(1+t)^{1+\sigma_0} \langle t \rangle} \leq \frac{60 A_1}{(1+t)^{1+\sigma_0} \langle t \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

(since we may assume that $1 + \sigma_0 < \frac{3}{2}$ and $(\sqrt{6})^{1+\sigma_0} < 4$). It follows from (III.3.5) that

$$|\dot{z}_j(t)| \leq \frac{132 \varepsilon}{(1+t)^{1+\sigma_0}} \max(A_0, A_1), \quad |\dot{\zeta}_j(t)| \leq \frac{60 \varepsilon}{(1+t)^{1+\sigma_0}} \max(A_0, A_1).$$

Therefore we have on $[0, T]$

$$|z_j(t)| \leq \frac{132}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1), \quad |\zeta_j(t)| \leq \frac{60}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1).$$

Since $z(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ exist for all $t \geq 0$ and are smooth we still have the above estimates on $[0, T^*]$. By continuity it will exist $\eta > 0$ such that $|z_j(t)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^2}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1)$ and $|\zeta_j(t)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^2}{\sigma_0} \varepsilon \max(A_0, A_1)$ on $[0, T^* + \eta]$. This contradicts the maximality of T^* and proves that $T^* = +\infty$.

□

Now we estimate the derivatives of the flow with respect to (x, ξ) .

Proposition III.3.2 *With the notations of Proposition III.3.1, for every integer k one can find a positive constant M_k such that for all $(A, B) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|A| + |B| \leq k$, all $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t \leq 0$) and (x, ξ) in $\mathcal{S}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_-$) we have,*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B z(t, x, \xi)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon M_k}{\langle x \rangle^{|A| + \sigma_0}}, \\ |\partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B \zeta(t, x, \xi)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon M_k}{\langle x \rangle^{1 + |A| + \sigma_0}}. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof See Appendix VIII.3.

Corollary III.3.3 *Keeping the notations of Proposition III.3.1 we have, for all $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t \leq 0$) and all $(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_-$)*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) &= 2t \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle), \quad \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}(t, x, \xi) = \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle) \\ \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) &= \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \quad \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_k}(t, x, \xi) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol and $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ means “bounded by $C\varepsilon$ where C is independent of (x, ξ) ”. In particular we have

$$(III.3.6) \quad \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) - i \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) = (1 - 2it) \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

III.4 Precisions on the flow in the general case

The results obtained above allow us to give a rough form of the flow through any point in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition III.4.1 *Let $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $|\xi| \leq 2$. Then,*

- (i) *the function $s \mapsto \langle x(s, x, \xi) \rangle^{-(1 + \sigma_0)}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$,*

(ii) for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have,

$$\begin{cases} x(t, x, \xi) = x + 2t\xi + r(t, x, \xi), \\ \xi(t, x, \xi) = \xi + \zeta(t, x, \xi), \end{cases}$$

where $|r(t, x, \xi)| \leq C\varepsilon \langle t \rangle$, $|\zeta(t, x, \xi)| \leq C\varepsilon$ with C independent of (x, ξ) .

Before going into the proof let us note that in general we do not have good estimates on the derivatives of r with respect to (x, ξ) (in the spirit of those given in Proposition III.3.1 for instance). In particular we do not have a good control of $\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi)$. This occurs for instance for points (x, ξ) such that $|x|$ is very large and the bicharacteristic crosses back a neighborhood of the origin. That's why we used the term rough for this description.

Proof of Proposition III.4.1 If $|x \cdot \xi| \leq -\frac{1}{4}\langle x \rangle |\xi|$ then Proposition III.3.1 gives the claimed description of the flow for $t \geq 0$ and $t \leq 0$. If $x \cdot \xi \leq -\frac{1}{4}\langle x \rangle |\xi|$ the same Proposition applies for $t \leq 0$ so we are left with the case $t \geq 0$. (The case $x \cdot \xi \geq -\frac{1}{4}\langle x \rangle |\xi|$ is symmetric). It follows from Lemma III.1.1 that, if εA_1 is small enough, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \cdot \xi(t) = +\infty$. Since $x \cdot \xi \leq 0$ one can find $t^* > 0$ such that $x(t^*, x, \xi) \cdot \xi(t^*, x, \xi) = 0$. If we set $x^* = x(t^*, x, \xi)$ $\xi^* = \xi(t^*, x, \xi)$ then, according to Definition III.2.2, we have $(x^*, \xi^*) \in \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$ so we can use Proposition III.3.1 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now we have by the flow property for $t \geq 0$,

$$x(t, x, \xi) = x(t - t^*, x^*, \xi^*).$$

Using Proposition III.3.1 we deduce the following lower bound

$$(III.4.1) \quad \langle x(t, x, \xi) \rangle = \langle x(t - t^*, x^*, \xi^*) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle t - t^* \rangle.$$

This proves the part (i) in Proposition III.4.1. To prove part (ii) we use the formulas (III.1.2) for the flow. Then we see that for $t \geq 0$,

$$\xi_\ell(t, x, \xi) = \xi_\ell + \zeta_\ell(t, x, \xi), \quad \zeta_\ell(t, x, \xi) = -\varepsilon \int_0^t \sum_{jk=1}^n \frac{\partial b_{jk}}{\partial x_\ell}(x(s)) \xi_j(s) \xi_k(s) ds.$$

Then using (III.1.1), (III.4.1), (III.1.3) and the fact that $|\xi| \leq 2$ we see that $|\zeta_\ell(t, x, \xi)| \leq C\varepsilon$, where C depends only on A_1 .

On the other hand we have

$$\dot{x}_j(t, x, \xi) = 2\xi_j + 2\zeta_j(t, x, \xi) + 2\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk}(x(t, x, \xi)) \xi_k(t, x, \xi).$$

Integrating between 0 and t and using the above estimates we obtain the claimed description of $x(t, x, \xi)$. $\square$

III.5 The flow from points in $(\mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-)^c$

We study now, more carefully the flow from points $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, such that,

$$(III.5.1) \quad |x \cdot \xi| > c_0 \langle x \rangle |\xi| \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2.$$

Even if, as we said before, we do not have a nice representation of the flow for all t in $\mathbb{R}$ we shall see that such a representation is available for limited values of t .

Since the description is symmetric, we shall assume that

$$(III.5.2) \quad x \cdot \xi \leq -c_0 \langle x \rangle |\xi|.$$

Then $(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}_-$ and Proposition III.3.1 give a good description of the flow for $t \leq 0$.

Definition III.5.1 *Let (x, ξ) satisfying III.5.2. We set*

$$I_+ = \left\{ t \geq 0 : x(t, x, \xi) \cdot \xi(t, x, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{4} \langle x(t, x, \xi) \rangle |\xi(t, x, \xi)| \right\}.$$

In other word I_+ is the set of $t \geq 0$ such that $(x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi))$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$.

The main result of this Section is the following description of the flow on I_+ .

Proposition III.5.2 *Let (x, ξ) satisfying III.5.2. Then for t in I_+ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, x, \xi) &= x + 2t\xi - z(-t, x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi)), \\ \xi(t, x, \xi) &= \xi - \zeta(-t, x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi)), \end{aligned}$$

where z and ζ have been defined in Proposition III.3.1. Moreover for $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}(t, x, \xi) &= \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), & \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) &= 2t \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle) \\ \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) &= \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle), & \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_k}(t, x, \xi) &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol and $\mathcal{O}(A)$ means “bounded by CA ” with C independent of (x, ξ) . In particular we have

$$\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) - i \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_k}(t, x, \xi) = (1 - 2it) \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle).$$

Proof As said before, for $t \in I_+$ the point $\rho(t, x, \xi) = (x(t, x, \xi), \xi(t, x, \xi))$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$. Therefore we can apply Proposition III.3.1 for $\theta \leq 0$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} x(\theta, \rho(t, x, \xi)) &= x(t, x, \xi) + 2\theta \xi(\theta, \rho(t, x, \xi)) + z(\theta, \rho(t, x, \xi)) \\ \xi(\theta, \rho(t, x, \xi)) &= \xi(t, x, \xi) + \zeta(\theta, \rho(t, x, \xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\theta = -t$ with $t \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x(t, x, \xi) - 2t\xi + z(-t, \rho(t, x, \xi)), \\ \xi &= \xi(t, x, \xi) + \zeta(-t, \rho(t, x, \xi)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of Proposition III.5.2. To prove the claim on the derivatives we use Lemma III.1.2 and Corollary III.3.3. $\square$

Remark III.5.3 *Since the points (x, ξ) satisfying III.5.2 belong to $\mathcal{S}_-$, Propositions III.3.1 and III.5.2 provide a description of the flow on $(-\infty, 0) \cup I_+$.*

IV The phase equation

IV.1 Statement of the result

Let $p(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + \varepsilon q(x, \xi)$, $q(x, \xi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$ where the coefficients b_{jk} satisfy the condition (III.1.1).

In this Section $\alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi)$ will be a fixed point in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$. Let us recall that $(x(t, \alpha), \xi(t, \alpha))$ denotes the flow of p starting for $t = 0$ at the point α .

We introduce now several sets.

Definition IV.1.1 Let $\delta > 0$, $c_0 > 0$, $c_1 > 0$ be small constants (chosen later on).

(i) If $|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ we set,

$$(IV.1.1) \quad \Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle\}.$$

(ii) If $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ we set,

$$(IV.1.2) \quad \Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle, \ x \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq -c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}.$$

(iii) If $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi < -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ we set,

$$(IV.1.3) \quad \Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle, \ x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}.$$

Let us give some explanations on this Definition.

Taking c_0 and c_1 small with respect to $\frac{1}{4}$ we see from Definition III.2.2 that the case (i) corresponds to points (α_x, α_ξ) which are outgoing for $\theta \geq 0$ and $\theta \leq 0$. Then Ω_δ is simply a conic neighborhood of the projection of the bicharacteristic. In the case (ii) the point (α_x, α_ξ) is outgoing for $\theta \geq 0$ and Ω_δ can be written as follows.

$$(IV.1.4) \quad \Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle\} \cup \{(\theta, x) \in (-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle \text{ and } x \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq -c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}.$$

Indeed if $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ and $\theta \geq 0$ we have by Proposition III.4.1, $x \cdot \alpha_\xi = (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi + 2\theta |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle)$. Since $|\alpha_\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and we are in case (ii) we deduce that $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{1}{2} \theta - C(\varepsilon + \delta) \langle \theta \rangle \geq 0$ if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough. Therefore when $\theta \geq 0$ the condition $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq -c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ is automatically satisfied.

In the case (iii) we have the same discussion changing $\theta \geq 0$ to $\theta \leq 0$.

The purpose of this Section is to prove the following result.

Theorem IV.1.2 *There exist $\delta > 0$, $c_0 > 0$, $c_1 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$ one can find a function $\varphi = \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)$ on Ω_δ which is C^∞ and satisfies the following.*

$$(i) \quad \varphi(0, x, \alpha) = (x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + g(x, \alpha)$$

where $|g(x, \alpha)| \leq C_N |x - \alpha_x|^N$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + p\left(x, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha)\right) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$$

for all (θ, x) in Ω_δ .

Moreover for (θ, x) in Ω_δ we have

$$(iii) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}).$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{Im } \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} + o(1) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

$$(v) \quad |\partial_x^A \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_A, \quad \text{for every } A \text{ in } \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

where $o(1)$ and C_A are independent of (θ, x, α) .

The proof of this result is based on the theory of Lagrangian ideals of L. Hörmander ([H], vol 4, chap. XXV). It will require several steps. The first one is a slight extension of Theorem 7.5.4 in [H], vol. 1 to the case of higher dimensions.

IV.2 The preparation theorem

The aim of this Section is to prove the following result.

Lemma IV.2.1 *Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then there exist functions $q_j(\xi, z, g)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $r(z, g)$ which are C^∞ with respect to ξ and z , which depend linearly on g such that*

$$(IV.2.1) \quad g(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi, z, g)(\xi_j + z_j) + r(z, g)$$

$$(IV.2.2) \quad \begin{cases} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_z^\beta q_j(\xi, z, g)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| + 4n} \int |\partial_\eta^\gamma g(\eta)| d\eta \\ |\partial_z^\beta r(z, g)| \leq C_\beta \sum_{|\gamma| \leq |\beta| + 3n} \int |\partial_\eta^\gamma g(\eta)| d\eta. \end{cases}$$

Proof We proceed by induction on the dimension n . If $n = 1$ this follows from Theorem 7.5.4 of [H]. Let $n \geq 2$ and let us set $\xi' = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$. For fixed $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we apply Theorem 7.5.4 of [H] to the function $\xi_n \mapsto g(\xi', \xi_n)$. We get

$$(IV.2.3) \quad g(\xi', \xi_n) = q(\xi_n, z_n, g(\xi', \cdot))(\xi_n + z_n) + r(z_n, g(\xi', \cdot)).$$

Let us set $Q_n(\xi, z_n, g) = q(\xi_n, z_n, g(\xi', \cdot))$ and $\tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g) = r(z_n, g(\xi', \cdot))$. Since r is linear in g we have $\partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g) = r(z_n, \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha g(\xi', \cdot))$ and the estimates (IV.2.2) for $n = 1$ show that $\xi' \mapsto \tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g)$ is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Therefore we can apply, by the induction, the Lemma to the function $\xi' \mapsto \tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g)$ and to $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$. We obtain the existence of q_j , $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and R satisfying the estimates (IV.2.2) such that

$$\tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q_j(\xi', z', \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g))(\xi_j + z_j) + R(z', \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g)).$$

Using (IV.2.3) we obtain therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g(\xi) &= Q_n(\xi, z_n, g)(\xi_n + z_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi', z', \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g))(\xi_j + z_j) \\ &\quad + R(z', \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g)). \end{aligned}$$

If we set

$$(IV.2.4) \quad \begin{cases} Q_j(\xi, z, g) = q_j(\xi', z', \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g)), & j = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ r(z, g) = R(z', \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g)) \end{cases}$$

we obtain (IV.2.1) at the level n . Moreover Q_j and r are linear in g since q_j, R are linear in $\tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g)$ and $\tilde{r}$ is linear in g . Let us look to the estimate (IV.2.2) for r . We have

$$\partial_{z'}^{\beta'} \partial_{z_n}^{\beta_n} r(z, g) = \partial_{z'}^{\beta'} R(z', \partial_{z_n}^{\beta_n} \tilde{r}(z_n, \cdot, g))$$

so,

$$|\partial_z^\beta r(z, g)| \leq C \sum_{|\gamma'| \leq |\beta'| + 3(n-1)} \int |\partial_{\xi'}^{\gamma'} \partial_{z_n}^{\beta_n} \tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g)| d\xi'.$$

Now $|\partial_{\xi'}^{\gamma'} \partial_{z_n}^{\beta_n} \tilde{r}(z_n, \xi', g) = \partial_{z_n}^{\beta_n} r(z_n, \partial_{\xi'}^{\gamma'} g(\xi', \cdot))$ and from the case $n = 1$ we have

$$|\partial_{z_n}^{\beta_n} r(z_n, \partial_{\xi'}^{\gamma'} g(\xi', \cdot))| \leq C \sum_{|\gamma_n| \leq \beta_n + 3} \int |\partial_{\xi_n}^{\gamma_n} \partial_{\xi'}^{\gamma'} g(z_n, \xi', \xi_n)| d\xi_n.$$

It follows that

$$(IV.2.5) \quad |\partial_z^\beta r(z, g)| \leq \sum_{|\gamma'| \leq |\beta'| + 3(n-1)} \sum_{|\gamma_n| \leq \beta_n + 3} \int |\partial_{\xi'}^{\gamma'} \partial_{\xi_n}^{\gamma_n} g(\xi', \xi_n)| d\xi' d\xi_n.$$

The proof of the estimates for the q_j 's is the same. □

Remark IV.2.2 Let us set $z = a + ib$ and let us write $r(z, g) = r(a, b, g)$ and $q_j(\xi, z, g) = q_j(\xi, a, b, g)$. If we take in (IV.2.1) $b = 0$, $\xi = -a$ we obtain

$$(IV.2.6) \quad r(a, 0, g) = g(-a).$$

If we differentiate (IV.2.1) with respect to b_k and then take $z = a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi = -a$, we get

$$(IV.2.7) \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial b_k}(a, 0, g) = -i q_k(-a, a, 0, g), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Finally if we differentiate (IV.2.1) with respect to ξ_ℓ and then take $z = a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi = -a$ we obtain

$$(IV.2.8) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-a) = q_\ell(-a, a, 0, g), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n.$$

We introduce now the following notations which will be used in the next sections.

Notation IV.2.3 Let $\alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$. We introduce

$$(IV.2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_0(x, \alpha) = (x - \alpha_x) \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} (x - \alpha_x)^2 + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2, \\ u_j(x, \xi, \alpha) = \xi_j - \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_j}(x, \alpha) = \xi_j - \alpha_\xi^j - i(x_j - \alpha_x^j). \end{cases}$$

Let $p(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + \varepsilon q(x, \xi)$; we denote by H_p its hamiltonian and we introduce

$$(IV.2.10) \quad \begin{cases} v_j(\theta; x, \xi, \alpha) = u_j(\exp(-\theta H_p)(x, \xi)) \\ \quad \quad \quad = \xi_j(-\theta; x, \xi) - \alpha_\xi^j - i(x_j(-\theta; x, \xi) - \alpha_x^j), \end{cases}$$

where (x, ξ) is close to $(x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha))$.

We split the proof of Theorem IV.1.2 according to the different values of α described in Definition IV.1.1.

IV.3 The case of outgoing points

Let us set

$$(IV.3.1) \quad \mathcal{S} = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \quad |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \right\}.$$

We shall use the following notations

$$(IV.3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\Omega}_\delta = \{(\theta, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle\} \\ \text{sgn } \theta = 1 \text{ (resp. } -1) \text{ if } \theta > 0 \text{ (resp. } \theta < 0). \end{cases}$$

Let now $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that,

$$\chi_0(t) = 1 \text{ if } |t| \leq 1, \quad \chi_0(t) = 0 \text{ if } |t| \geq 2 \text{ and } 0 \leq \chi_0 \leq 1,$$

$$\chi_1(\theta) = 1 \text{ if } |\theta| \leq 1, \quad \chi_1(\theta) = 0 \text{ if } |\theta| \geq 2 \text{ and } 0 \leq \chi_1 \leq 1.$$

Then we can state the following result.

Theorem IV.3.1 *There exist small positive constants μ_0, δ such that if we set for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $j = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$g_j(\eta) = \chi_0\left(\frac{1}{\mu_0}\eta\right) v_j\left(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta \chi_1(\theta) + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))\left[\frac{\eta}{\langle\theta\rangle} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{sgn } \theta}{\langle\theta\rangle} y\right] + \xi(\theta, \alpha), \alpha\right)$$

there exist smooth functions $a_j = a_j(\theta, y, \alpha)$, $b_j = b_j(\theta, y, \alpha)$ defined on $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ such that, with $a = (a_j)_{j=1, \dots, n}$, $b = (b_j)_{j=1, \dots, n}$ we have for η in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$,

$$(i) \quad g_j(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j)(\eta_k + a_k(\theta, y, \alpha) + i b_k(\theta, y, \alpha))$$

where the q'_k s have been introduced in Lemma IV.2.1.

Moreover in the set $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ we have

$$(ii) \quad |a(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq 10 \frac{|y|}{\langle\theta\rangle}, \quad \left|b(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{K(\theta)}{1 + 4\theta^2} y\right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle\theta\rangle},$$

where $K(\theta) = \frac{\langle\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta\rangle \chi_1(\theta) + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \langle\theta\rangle \leq K(\theta) \leq \langle\theta\rangle$.

On the other hand we have, uniformly with respect to $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$(iii) \quad |\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + |\partial_y^\alpha b(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle\theta\rangle^{|A|}}, \quad A \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Moreover for $j = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(iv) \quad \left|q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) - (1 + 2i\theta) \frac{k(\theta)}{\langle\theta\rangle} \delta_{jk}\right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta), \text{ if } |\eta| \leq \delta$$

where $k(\theta) = \langle\theta\rangle \chi_1(\theta) + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)$.

$$(v) \quad |\partial_{(a,b)}^A \partial_\eta^B q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j)| \leq C(\mu_0), \text{ if } |A| + |B| \geq 1, |\eta| \leq \mu_0, 1 \leq j, k \leq n.$$

Proof According to Definition III.2.2 we have $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$. Moreover

$$(IV.3.3) \quad B(\alpha, c_0) := \{\tilde{\alpha} \in T^* \mathbb{R}^n : |\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}| \leq c_0\} \subset \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-.$$

We start with the following Lemma.

Lemma IV.3.2 *There exists a small positive constant μ_0 such that for all (θ, y) with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $|y| \leq \mu_0 \langle\theta\rangle$ and all η in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\eta| \leq 2\mu_0$ there exists a unique $\beta = \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)$ in $B(\alpha, c_0)$ such that*

$$(IV.3.4) \quad \begin{cases} x(\theta, \beta) = y + x(\theta, \alpha) \\ \xi(\theta, \beta) = \chi_1(\theta) \eta + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))\left[\frac{\eta}{\langle\theta\rangle} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{sgn } \theta}{\langle\theta\rangle} y\right] + \xi(\theta, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have

$$(IV.3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_x = \alpha_x + \left[1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle\theta\rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta))\right] y - \frac{2\theta}{\langle\theta\rangle} \eta (\chi_1(\theta) \langle\theta\rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)) + z(\theta, \alpha) - z(\theta, \beta) \\ \beta_\xi = \alpha_\xi + \frac{\eta}{\langle\theta\rangle} [\chi_1(\theta) \langle\theta\rangle + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle\theta\rangle} \text{sgn } \theta y + \zeta(\theta, \alpha) - \zeta(\theta, \beta) \end{cases}$$

where z and ζ have been introduced in Proposition III.3.1 and

$$(IV.3.6) \quad \begin{cases} (i) & |\beta - \alpha| \leq 10(|\eta| + \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}) \\ (ii) & |\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \eta}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)| \leq C \\ (iii) & |(\frac{\partial \beta_\xi^j}{\partial \eta_k} - i \frac{\partial \beta_x^j}{\partial \eta_k})(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) - \frac{1+2i\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (\langle \theta \rangle \chi_1(\theta) + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))) \delta_{jk}| \leq C \varepsilon \\ (iv) & |\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^B \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)| \leq C_{AB} \varepsilon, \text{ if } |A| + |B| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof The system (IV.3.4) with $\beta \in B(\alpha, c_0) \subset \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$ is equivalent by Proposition III.3.1 to the following

$$(IV.3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_x + 2\theta \xi(\theta, \beta) + z(\theta, \beta) = y + \alpha_x + 2\theta \xi(\theta, \alpha) + z(\theta, \alpha) \\ \beta_\xi + \zeta(\theta, \beta) = \chi_1(\theta) \eta + \frac{1-\chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\eta + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \theta y] + \alpha_\xi + \zeta(\theta, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

Using again (IV.3.4) the left hand side of the first line of (IV.3.7) can be written

$$\beta_x + 2\theta \chi_1(\theta) \eta + \frac{2\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \eta + \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) y + 2\theta \xi(\theta, \alpha) + z(\theta, \beta).$$

Finally (IV.3.7) is equivalent to

$$(IV.3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_x = \alpha_x + [1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta))] y - \frac{2\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} \eta (\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)) + z(\theta, \alpha) - z(\theta, \beta) \\ \beta_\xi = \alpha_\xi + \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \operatorname{sgn} \theta y + \zeta(\theta, \alpha) - \zeta(\theta, \beta). \end{cases}$$

Writing this system $\beta_x = \Phi_x(\beta)$ $\beta_\xi = \Phi_\xi(\beta)$ and setting $\Phi(\beta) = (\Phi_x(\beta), \Phi_\xi(\beta))$ we are going to solve it using the fixed point theorem in $B(\alpha, c_0)$.

(i) Φ maps $B(\alpha, c_0)$ in itself.

We have $0 < 1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$, $|\theta| \chi_1(\theta) \leq 2$, $\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta) \leq \sqrt{5}$, $|y| \leq \mu_0 \langle \theta \rangle$, $|\eta| \leq 2\mu_0$, $|z| + |\zeta| \leq C \varepsilon$ by Proposition III.3.2. It follows that $|\Phi(\beta) - \alpha| \leq 20\mu_0 + C \varepsilon \leq c_0$ if μ_0 and ε are small enough.

(ii) Let β, β' be in $B(\alpha, c_0)$. Then $t\beta + (1-t)\beta' \in B(\alpha, c_0) \subset \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$ for all t in $(0, 1)$. It follows that

$$|\Phi(\beta) - \Phi(\beta')| \leq |z(\theta, \beta) - z(\theta, \beta')| + |\zeta(\theta, \beta) - \zeta(\theta, \beta')| \leq C \varepsilon |\beta - \beta'|$$

by Proposition III.3.2. Here C depends only on the constants A_0, A_1 in (III.1.1). Taking ε so small that $C \varepsilon < 1$ we see that we can apply the fixed point theorem in $B(\alpha, c_0)$. This proves the existence of β satisfying (IV.3.4) and (IV.3.5) by (IV.3.8). Now (i) in (IV.3.6) follows from (IV.3.5) taking ε small enough since $|Z(\theta, \alpha) - Z(\theta, \beta)| \leq C \varepsilon |\alpha - \beta|$ if $Z = z$ or ζ . The claim (ii) is obtained by differentiating the equations (IV.3.5) with respect to η_k and using Proposition III.3.2. Then (iii) follows easily from (IV.3.5) and (ii). Finally (iv) is obtained by an induction on $|A| + |B|$. $\square$

From now on we fix the constant μ_0 occuring in Lemma IV.3.2.

Now for $j = 1, \dots, n$ let g_j be the function introduced in the statement of Theorem IV.3.1. Then, according to Lemma IV.3.2 and (IV.2.10) we have for $|y| \leq \mu_0 \langle \theta \rangle$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(IV.3.9) \quad g_j(\eta) = \chi_0 \left(\frac{\eta}{\mu_0} \right) [\beta_\xi^j(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) - \alpha_\xi^j - i(\beta_x^j(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) - \alpha_x^j)],$$

since $f(-\theta, x(\theta, \beta), \xi(\theta, \beta)) = \beta_f$ for $f = x$ and ξ . It follows from Lemma IV.2.1 that the existence of a_j, b_j in Theorem IV.3.1 will be proved if we can solve the equations

$$(IV.3.10) \quad r(a, b, g_j(\cdot)) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let us now take $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$, that is $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, |y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ where $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \mu_0$ is to be chosen. We look for a solution (a, b) of the system (IV.3.10) in the set

$$(IV.3.11) \quad \begin{cases} E = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |a| \leq \frac{10|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \quad |b + \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} y| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}\} \\ \text{where } K(\theta) = \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{\chi_1(\theta) + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \langle \theta \rangle \leq K(\theta) \leq \langle \theta \rangle. \end{cases}$$

We shall first give equivalent equations to (IV.3.10) in the set E . We write,

$$r(a, b, g_j) = r(a, 0, g_j) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial b_k}(a, 0, g_j) b_k + \sum_{p,q=1}^n H_{p,q}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b_p b_q$$

where

$$(IV.3.12) \quad H_{p,q}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) = \int_0^1 (1-t) \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial b_p \partial b_q}(a, t b, g_j(\cdot)) dt.$$

It follows from (IV.2.6), (IV.2.7) and (IV.2.8) that

$$(IV.3.13) \quad r(a, b, g_j(\cdot)) = g_j(-a) - i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \eta_k}(-a) b_k + \sum_{p,q=1}^n H_{p,q}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b_p b_q.$$

Now if $(a, b) \in E$ we have $|a| \leq \frac{12|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 12\delta \leq \mu_0$. Therefore $\chi_0(-\frac{a}{\mu_0}) = 1, \chi'_0(-\frac{a}{\mu_0}) = 0$. Then by (IV.3.9) and (IV.3.5) we obtain,

$$(IV.3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} g_j(-a) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \operatorname{sgn} \theta y_j - \frac{a_j}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)] + \zeta_j(\theta, \alpha) - \zeta_j(\theta, \beta) \\ &\quad - i \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \right) y_j - \frac{2i\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} a_j [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)] - i(z_j(\theta, \alpha) - z_j(\theta, \beta)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(IV.3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \eta_k}(-a) &= \frac{1 + 2i\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))] - \partial \zeta_j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a)) \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, -a) \\ &\quad + i \partial z_j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a)) \cdot \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, -a) \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial = (\partial_x, \partial_\xi)$ and $\beta = (\beta_x, \beta_\xi)$.

On the other hand we deduce from (IV.3.12) and (IV.2.2) that

$$(IV.3.16) \quad \left| \partial_{(a,b)}^A \partial_y^B H_{pq}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) \right| \leq C_{AB} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq |A| + 3n + 2} \int |\partial_\eta^\gamma \partial_y^B g_j(\eta)| d\eta.$$

Using (IV.3.6) and (IV.3.9) we obtain

$$(IV.3.17) \quad \left| \partial_{(a,b)}^A \partial_y^B H_{pq}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) \right| \leq C'_{AB}(\mu_0).$$

It follows from (IV.3.13), (IV.3.14), (IV.3.15) that (IV.3.10) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{a_j}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)] - \frac{2i\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} a_j [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \operatorname{sgn} \theta y_j \\
& - i \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \right) y_j + \zeta_j(\theta, \alpha) - \zeta_j(\theta, \beta) - i(z_j(\theta, \alpha) - z_j(\theta, \beta)) \\
& - i \frac{1 + 2i\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)] b_j + F_1^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a) \cdot b + i F_2^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a) \cdot b \\
& + H_1^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b \cdot b + i H_2^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b \cdot b = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{(IV.3.18)} \quad \begin{cases} \beta = \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a), \\ F_1^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a) = \partial z_j(\theta, \beta) \cdot \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \eta}(\theta, y, \alpha, -a), \\ F_2^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a) = \partial \zeta_j(\theta, \beta) \cdot \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \eta}(\theta, y, \alpha, -a), \\ H^j = (H_{pq}^j) = H_1^j + i H_2^j. \end{cases}$$

Taking the real and the imaginary parts we are led to the system

$$\begin{aligned}
a_j - 2\theta b_j &= K(\theta) \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \operatorname{sgn} \theta y_j + \zeta_j(\theta, \alpha) - \zeta_j(\theta, \beta) + F_1^j b + H_1^j b \cdot b \right] \\
2\theta a_j + b_j &= K(\theta) \left[- \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \right) y_j - (z_j(\theta, \alpha) - z_j(\theta, \beta)) + F_2^j b + H_2^j b \cdot b \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $K(\theta) = \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{\chi_1(\theta) \langle \theta \rangle + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)}$.

Inverting this system we are led to solve

$$\text{(IV.3.19)} \quad \begin{cases} a_j = \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \operatorname{sgn} \theta - 2\theta \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \right) \right) y_j + Z_1^j(\theta, \alpha) - Z_1^j(\theta, \beta) \\ \quad \quad \quad + F_3^j b + H_3^j b \cdot b =: \Phi_1^j(a, b) \\ b_j = -\frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} y_j + Z_2^j(\theta, \alpha) - Z_2^j(\theta, \beta) + F_4^j b + H_4^j b \cdot b =: \Phi_2^j(a, b) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\text{(IV.3.20)} \quad \begin{cases} Z_1^j(\theta, \cdot) = \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} (\zeta_j(\theta, \cdot) - 2\theta z_j(\theta, \cdot)) \\ Z_2^j(\theta, \cdot) = -\frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} (2\theta \zeta_j(\theta, \cdot) + z_j(\theta, \cdot)) \\ F_3^j = \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} (F_1^j + 2\theta F_2^j), \quad F_4^j = \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} (-2\theta F_1^j + F_2^j) \\ H_3^j = \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} (H_1^j + 2\theta H_2^j), \quad H_4^j = \frac{K(\theta)}{1+4\theta^2} (-2\theta H_1^j + H_2^j). \end{cases}$$

Let us set $\Phi^j = (\Phi_1^j, \Phi_2^j)$ (see (IV.3.19)) and $\Phi = (\Phi^j)_{j=1, \dots, n}$. We have shown that our initial system (IV.3.10) is equivalent in E to the equation $\Phi(a, b) = (a, b)$. We are going to show that this equation has a unique solution in E by using the fixed point theorem.

(i) $\Phi(E) \subset E$.

We have $2|\theta|(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle}) \leq \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle}$, $2\frac{|\theta|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle} \chi_1(\theta) \leq \frac{8}{\langle \theta \rangle}$ and by (IV.3.20), (IV.3.18), (IV.3.6) we see that $|F_3^j| + |F_4^j| \leq C\varepsilon$. Moreover we deduce from (IV.3.17) and (IV.3.20) that $|H_3^j| + |H_4^j| \leq C(\mu_0)$.

Finally in E we have $|b| \leq \frac{2|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 2\delta$. It follows then from (IV.3.19) that

$$|\Phi_1(a, b)| \leq \frac{19}{2} \frac{|y|}{1 + 4\theta^2} + C\varepsilon |\alpha - \beta| + C'\varepsilon \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} + C(\mu_0) \delta \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

Now using (IV.3.6) (i) we see that when (a, b) belongs to E we have

$$|\beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a) - \alpha| \leq 10 \left(|a| + \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \leq 110 \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

Therefore

$$|\Phi_1(a, b)| \leq \left(\frac{19}{2} + 110 C \varepsilon + C' \varepsilon + C(\mu_0) \delta \right) \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq \frac{10|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle},$$

if ε and δ are small enough.

By the same estimates we obtain,

$$\left| \Phi_2(a, b) + \frac{K(\theta)}{1 + 4\theta^2} y \right| \leq C\varepsilon |\beta - \alpha| + C\varepsilon \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} + C(\mu_0) \delta \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle};$$

so if ε and δ are small enough we can bound the right hand side by $\sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. This shows that Φ maps E to E .

(ii) Φ is a contraction.

Let now $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)$ be two points in E . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(a_1, b_1) - \Phi(a_2, b_2)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \underbrace{\left| Z_\ell^j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a_1)) - Z_\ell^j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a_2)) \right|}_{(1)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=3}^4 \underbrace{\left\{ |F_\ell^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a_1) \cdot b_1 - F_\ell^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a_2) \cdot b_2| \right\}}_{(2)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\left| H_\ell^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a_1, b_1) b_1 \cdot b_1 - H_\ell^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a_2, b_2) b_2 \cdot b_2 \right|}_{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (IV.3.20) and Proposition III.3.2 we can write

$$(1) \leq C\varepsilon |\beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a_1) - \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a_2)|.$$

Then (IV.3.6) gives $(1) \leq C'\varepsilon |a_1 - a_2|$.

To handle the therm (2) we use (IV.3.18), (IV.3.20), (IV.3.6) and Proposition III.3.2. We obtain

$$(2) \leq C\varepsilon (|a_1 - a_2| + |b_1 - b_2|).$$

Finally using (IV.3.17), (IV.3.18), (IV.3.20) and the fact that in E we have $|b| \leq 2 \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 2\delta$ we see easily that

$$(3) \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|a_1 - a_2| + |b_1 - b_2|).$$

It follows then that

$$|\Phi(a_1, b_1) - \Phi(a_2, b_2)| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|a_1 - b_1| + |a_2 - b_2|)$$

where C is an absolute constant depending only on the dimension and a finite number of A_ℓ appearing in (III.1.1). Thus we can take ε and δ so small that $C(\varepsilon + \delta) < 1$.

Therefore we can apply the fixed point theorem to solve (IV.3.19) which is equivalent to (IV.3.10). This proves the claims (i) and (ii) in Theorem IV.3.1.

Let us now prove the point (iii). We state a Lemma.

Lemma IV.3.3 *There exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for every $A \in \mathbb{N}^n$ there exist $C_A \geq 0$, $C'_A \geq 0$ such that with β defined in Lemma IV.3.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad & |\partial_y^A [\beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha))]| \leq C_0 |\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}, \\ b) \quad & |\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + |\partial_y^A b(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C'_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}, \text{ for all } (\theta, y) \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof We shall use an induction on $|A|$, starting with the formulas (IV.3.19). But before we need some intermediate results. We introduce the following space of functions.

Let $f = f(\theta, y, \alpha)$ be a function from $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ to $\mathbb{C}$. We shall say that $f \in \mathcal{G}_\pm$ if we can write

$$(IV.3.21) \quad f(\theta, y, \alpha) = G(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha)))$$

where $G : \mathbb{R}_\theta^\pm \times \mathbb{R}_X^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is smooth in X and satisfies

$$(IV.3.22) \quad \sup_{\mathbb{R}^\pm \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\partial_X^\gamma G(\theta, X)| \leq C_\gamma \varepsilon, \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

For example Proposition III.3.2 shows that the functions $(\theta, y, \alpha) \mapsto z_j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha)))$ (and ζ_j) belong to $\mathcal{G}_\pm$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\pm$. (Here we have the sign $+$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_+$ and $-$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_-$).

Then we have the following claim.

Claim 1 For all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\nu| \geq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$(IV.3.23) \quad \partial_\eta^\nu \beta_x^j(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) = G_\nu^j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta))$$

where G_ν^j has all derivatives uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}^\pm \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The same is true for $\partial_\eta^\nu \beta_\xi^j$.

Proof of the claim We proceed by induction on $|\nu|$ beginning with $|\nu| = 1$. Let us set $k(\theta) = \langle \theta \rangle \chi_1(\theta) + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)$. Then $1 \leq k(\theta) \leq \sqrt{5}$ since $|\theta| \leq 2$ on $\text{supp } \chi_1$. It follows from (IV.3.5) that

for fixed k in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \beta_x^j}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) &= -\frac{2\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} k(\theta) \delta_{jk} - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) \frac{\partial \beta_x^\ell}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^j}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) \right) \\ \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^j}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) &= \frac{k(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \delta_{jk} - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) \frac{\partial \beta_x^\ell}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^\ell}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Let us set $X_j = \frac{\partial \beta_x^j}{\partial \eta_k}$, $\Xi_j = \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^j}{\partial \eta_k}$, $U = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \Xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \\ \Xi_n \end{pmatrix}$, $M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \xi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \xi_n} \\ \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi_1} & & \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi_n} \end{pmatrix}$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$F(\theta) = \frac{k(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \begin{pmatrix} -2\theta \delta_{1k} \\ \delta_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ -2\theta \delta_{nk} \\ \delta_{nk} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the above equation imply that

$$U(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) = F(\theta) - M(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) U(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta).$$

Now by Proposition III.3.2 the entries of the matrix M are $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. It follows that the matrix $I + M$ is invertible. Therefore we obtain

$$U(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) = (I + M(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)))^{-1} F(\theta).$$

This proves the case $|\nu| = 1$.

Assume now that our claim is proved for $|\nu| \leq N - 1$. Then

$$\partial_\eta^\nu \beta_x^j(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) = G_\nu^j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)).$$

Then for $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k} \partial_\eta^\nu \beta_x^j(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial G_\nu^j}{\partial X_\ell}(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) \frac{\partial \beta_x^\ell}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\partial G_\nu^j}{\partial X_\ell}(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)) \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^\ell}{\partial \eta_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta).\end{aligned}$$

Using (IV.3.23) with $|\nu| = 1$ we obtain the claim up to the order $|\nu| = N$. □

Consequence IV.3.4 *With the notations of (IV.3.18), (IV.3.20) and (IV.3.21) The functions $(\theta, y, \alpha) \mapsto Z_\ell^j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha)), \ell = 1, 2, F_p^j, p = 3, 4$ belong to $\mathcal{G}_+$.*

Let us now go back to the proof of Lemma IV.3.3. We begin by the case $|A| = 1$. For convenience we shall set

$$(IV.3.24) \quad f(y) = \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha)).$$

It follows from (IV.3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_x^j}{\partial y_k}(y) &= \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta))\right) \delta_{jk} + \frac{2\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} k(\theta) \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y_k} - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, f(y)) \frac{\partial f_x^j}{\partial y_k}(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\theta, f(y)) \frac{\partial f_\xi^j}{\partial y_k}(y) \right) \\ \frac{\partial f_\xi^j}{\partial y_k}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \frac{\text{sgn } \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} k(\theta) \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y_k} - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, f(y)) \frac{\partial f_x^j}{\partial y_k}(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\theta, f(y)) \frac{\partial f_\xi^j}{\partial y_k}(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition III.3.2 that,

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_k}(y) \right| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y, \alpha) \right| + \varepsilon \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_k}(y) \right| \right)$$

where C depends only on the constants A_0, A_1 appearing in (III.1.1). Therefore taking ε so small that $C\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain the point a) in Lemma IV.3.3 for $|A| = 1$. Let us prove the point b). First of all we deduce from a) and the Consequence IV.3.4 that

$$(IV.3.25) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} [Z_\ell^j(\theta, f(y))], \ell = 1, 2, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} [F_p^j], p = 3, 4 \\ \text{are bounded by } C\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y, \alpha) \right| \right). \end{cases}$$

Now we claim that for $p = 3, 4$,

$$(IV.3.26) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} [H_p^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b)] \right| \leq C \left(\left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y, \alpha) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y, \alpha) \right| + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right).$$

Indeed the left hand side of (IV.3.26) can be written

$$\frac{\partial H_p^j}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial y_k} + \frac{\partial H_p^j}{\partial b} \cdot \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_k} + \frac{\partial H_p^j}{\partial y_k} = (1) + (2) + (3).$$

Now using (IV.3.20), (IV.3.18) and (IV.3.17) we see that (1) and (2) can be bounded by the right hand side of (IV.3.26). To handle the term (3) we use (IV.3.16) with $A = 0, |B| = 1$. We obtain

$$|(3)| \leq C \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 3n+2} \int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \partial_\eta^\gamma g_j(\eta) \right| d\eta.$$

Now we use (IV.3.9) and (IV.3.23). We obtain

$$\partial_\eta^\gamma g_j(\eta) = \partial_\eta^\gamma \left[\chi_0 \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0} \eta \right) \right] \left[(\beta_\xi^j - i \beta_x^j)(\theta, y, \alpha, \gamma) - (\alpha_\xi^j + i \alpha_x^j) \right] + \sum_{|\gamma'| < |\gamma|} \partial_\eta^{\gamma'} \left[\chi_0 \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0} \eta \right) \right] G_{\gamma'}^j(\theta, \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta))$$

where $G_{\gamma'}^j$ satisfy (IV.3.22). Since by (IV.3.5) we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

We obtain

$$|(3)| \leq \frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle}$$

which proves (IV.3.26).

Now we use (IV.3.19), (IV.3.25), (IV.3.26) and the fact that $|b| \leq \frac{2|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 2\delta$. We obtain with $a_j = a_j(\theta, y, \alpha)$, $b_j = b_j(\theta, y, \alpha)$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y_k} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial y_k} \right| \leq \frac{12}{\langle \theta \rangle} + C(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial y_k} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_k} \right| \right).$$

Taking ε and δ small enough we obtain the point b) in Lemma IV.3.3 when $|A| = 1$.

Assume now that a) and b) in Lemma IV.3.3 are true when $|A| \leq N$ and let $|A| = N + 1$. It follows from the induction that

$$(IV.3.27) \quad \left| \partial_y^B [\beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha))] \right| \leq \frac{C_B}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|B|}}, \text{ if } |B| \leq N.$$

Claim 1 If $|A| = N + 1 \geq 2$,

$$(IV.3.28) \quad \left| \partial_y^A [\beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha))] \right| \leq C_0 \left| \partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha) \right| + \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Indeed, according to (IV.3.5) we have, setting for short $f(y) = \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha))$ and $k(\theta) = \langle \theta \rangle \chi_1(\theta) + 1 - \chi_1(\theta)$,

$$\begin{cases} f_x(y) = \alpha_x + \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta))\right) y + \frac{2\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} k(\theta) a(\theta, y, \alpha) + z(\theta, \alpha) - z(\theta, f(y)) \\ f_\xi(y) = \alpha_\xi + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \frac{\text{sgn } \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} y - \frac{k(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} a(\theta, y, \alpha) + \zeta(\theta, \alpha) - \zeta(\theta, f(y)). \end{cases}$$

Differentiating both size A times with respect to y we obtain since $|A| \geq 2$,

$$|\partial_y^A f(y)| \leq 5 |\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + |\partial_y^A [z(\theta, f(y))]| + |\partial_y^A [\zeta(\theta, f(y))]|.$$

We use now the Faa di Bruno formula (see Appendix VIII.1). Let Z be z or ζ then

$$\partial_y^A [Z(\theta, f(y))] = \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x_j}(\theta, f(y)) \partial_y^A f_x^j(y) + \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \xi_j}(\theta, f(y)) \partial_y^A f_\xi^j(y) \right\}}_{(1)} + (2)$$

where (2) is a finite sum of terms of the form

$$(\partial_X^\nu Z)(\theta, f(y)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_y^{\ell_j} f(y))^{k_j}$$

where $X = (x, \xi)$, $2 \leq |\nu| \leq |A|$, $|\ell_j| \geq 1$, $|k_j| \geq 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \nu, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| \ell_j = A.$$

The term (1) can be bounded by $C_0 \varepsilon |\partial_y^A f(y)|$ (where C_0 depends on A_0, A_1 in (III.1.1)). Since $|\nu| \geq 2$ it is easy to see that $|\ell_j| \leq |A| - 1$. We can therefore use (IV.3.27) and Proposition III.3.2 to write

$$|(2)| \leq C_A \varepsilon \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\ell_j| |k_j|}} \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Thus (IV.3.28) is proved which implies the part a) of Lemma IV.3.3 when $|A| = N + 1$.

Claim 2 If $F \in \mathcal{G}_\pm$ (see (IV.3.21)) and $|A| = N + 1$ we have

$$(IV.3.29) \quad |\partial_y^A F(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq \varepsilon \left(C_0 |\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}} \right).$$

Let us set for convenience as in (IV.3.24),

$$f(y) = \beta(\theta, y, \alpha, -a(\theta, y, \alpha)).$$

We know by assumption that $F(\theta, y, \alpha) = G(\theta, f(y))$ where G satisfies (IV.3.22). By the Faa di Bruno formula we have

$$(IV.3.30) \quad \partial_y^A F(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \underbrace{\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}(\theta, f(y)) \partial_y^A f(y)}_{(1)} + (2)$$

where (2) is a finite sum of terms of the form

$$(\partial_X^\nu G)(\theta, f(y)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_y^{\ell_j} f(y))^{k_j}$$

where $2 \leq |\nu| \leq |A|$, $|\ell_j| \geq 1$, $|k_j| \geq 1$, $1 \leq s \leq |A|$ and

$$(IV.3.31) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \nu, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| \ell_j = A.$$

Now by the Claim 1 we have

$$(IV.3.32) \quad |(1)| \leq \varepsilon \left(C_0 |\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}} \right).$$

On the other hand in the term (2) it is easy to see that $|\ell_j| \leq |A| - 1$. Indeed if we had a j_0 such that $|\ell_{j_0}| = |A|$ it would follow from (IV.3.31) that $j_0 = 1$, $s = 1$ and $|k_1| = 1$; but then

$|k_1| = 1 = |\nu|$ which is in contradiction with $|\nu| \geq 2$. Therefore we can use (IV.3.27), (IV.3.31) to write,

$$|(2)| \leq C_A \varepsilon \prod_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\ell_j}} \right)^{|k_j|} = C_A \varepsilon \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Then (IV.3.29) follows from (IV.3.30) and (IV.3.32).

Claim 3 If $|A| = N + 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\ell = 3, 4$ we have,

$$(IV.3.33) \quad \left| \partial_y^A (H_\ell^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b)) \right| \leq C_0 (|\partial_y^A a(\theta, y, \alpha)| + |\partial_y^A b(\theta, y, \alpha)|) + \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}},$$

where H_ℓ^j is defined in (IV.3.20), (IV.3.18), (IV.3.13).

The proof is exactly the same as in the Claim 2. We use the Faa di Bruno formula, the estimates on a, b given by the induction, the estimate (IV.3.16) to obtain (IV.3.33). We are ready now to prove the part b) of Lemma IV.3.3 when $|A| = N + 1$.

We use the equations (IV.3.19), (IV.3.20) which we differentiate $|A|$ times with respect to y . Since $Z_\ell^j(\theta, \beta)$ and F_k^j belong to $\mathcal{G}_\pm$ we use the Claim 2 to estimate them ; the term $H_\ell^j b \cdot b$ is handled by (IV.3.33), the Leibniz formula and the induction hypothesis. Finally we obtain since $|b| \leq \frac{2|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 2\delta$,

$$|\partial_y^A a_j| \leq (\varepsilon + \delta) C_0 (|\partial_y^A a| + |\partial_y^A b|) + \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Taking ε and δ small enough we obtain the part b) of Lemma IV.3.3. □

So far we have proved the points (i), (ii), (iii) in Theorem IV.3.1.

Let us prove (iv). It follows from (IV.2.1), (IV.3.6) and (IV.3.9) that

$$\left| \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial \eta_\ell}(\eta, a, b, g_j) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial b_\ell}(\eta, a, b, g_j) \right| \leq C$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Since $|\eta| \leq \delta$, $|a| \leq \frac{10|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 10\delta$, $|b| \leq 2 \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 2\delta$ we can write

$$(IV.3.34) \quad |q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) - q_k(-a, a, 0, g_j)| \leq C' \delta.$$

Now (IV.2.8) gives $q_k(-a, a, 0, g_j) = \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \eta_k}(-a)$. It follows then from (IV.3.15), (IV.3.6) and Proposition III.3.2 that

$$\left| q_k(-a, a, 0, g_j) - (1 + 2i\theta) \frac{k(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \delta_{jk} \right| \leq C \varepsilon$$

which combined with (IV.3.34) gives the point (iv).

Finally (v) follows easily from (IV.2.2), (IV.3.9) and (IV.3.6). This ends the proof of Theorem IV.3.1. □

Corollary IV.3.5 *Let us set $k(\theta) = \langle \theta \rangle \chi_1(\theta) + (1 - \chi_1(\theta))$ and for $j = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$\tilde{g}_j(\eta) = \chi_0 \left(\frac{\eta - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y}{\mu_0 k(\theta)} \right) v_j \left(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha), \alpha \right).$$

Then we can write

$$(IV.3.35) \quad \tilde{g}_j(\eta) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \tilde{q}_\ell(\eta, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j) \left(\eta_\ell - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y + (\tilde{a}_\ell + i \tilde{b}_\ell)(\theta, y, \alpha) \right)$$

where

$$\tilde{q}_\ell(\eta, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j) = \frac{1}{k(\theta)} q_\ell \left(\frac{\eta - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y}{k(\theta)}, \frac{\tilde{a}}{k(\theta)}, \frac{\tilde{b}}{k(\theta)}, \tilde{g}_j \right)$$

and $\tilde{q}_\ell, \tilde{a}_\ell, \tilde{b}_\ell$ satisfy

$$(i) \quad |\tilde{a}(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq 10 \sqrt{5} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}$$

$$|\tilde{b}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{1 + 4\theta^2} y| \leq \sqrt{5\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

$$(ii) \quad |\partial_y^A \tilde{a}(\theta, y, \alpha)| + |\partial_y^A \tilde{b}(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}, \quad A \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

$$(iii) \quad \left| \tilde{q}_\ell(\eta, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j) - \frac{(1 + 2i\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \delta_{jk} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) \text{ if } |\eta| \leq \delta.$$

$$(iv) \quad |\partial_{(a,b)}^A \partial_\eta^B \tilde{q}_\ell(\eta, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j)| \leq C(\mu_0) \text{ if } |A| + |B| \geq 1, |\eta| \leq \mu_0, 1 \leq j, \ell \leq n$$

uniformly with respect to $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof We have $\chi_1(\theta) \eta + (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \left[\frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y \right] = \frac{k(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle} (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y$. So let us set in the statement of Theorem IV.3.1 $\tilde{\eta} = k(\theta) \eta + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y$; then we obtain the decomposition of $\tilde{g}_j$ in Corollary IV.3.5 with $\tilde{a}_\ell = k(\theta) a_\ell, \tilde{b}_\ell = k(\theta) b_\ell$ and the estimates on $\tilde{q}_\ell, \tilde{a}_\ell, \tilde{b}_\ell$ follow easily from the correspondent one for q_ℓ, a_ℓ, b_ℓ stated in Theorem IV.3.1. $\square$

Lagrangian ideals and the phase equation

We pursue here the proof of Theorem IV.1.2. Let us set

$$(IV.3.36) \quad \mathcal{O} = \left\{ (\theta, y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle, \frac{|\eta - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y|}{k(\theta)} < \delta \right\}.$$

We introduce now a space of families $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ of functions on $\mathcal{O}$.

Definition IV.3.6 *We say that $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ if*

$$(i) \quad \text{For all } \alpha \text{ in } \mathcal{S}, (\theta, y, \eta) \mapsto f(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \text{ belongs to } C^\infty(\mathcal{O}).$$

(ii) For every A, B in $\mathbb{N}^n$ there exists $C_{AB} > 0$ independent of α such that

$$\sup_{(\theta, y, \eta) \in \mathcal{O}} |\partial_y^A \partial_\eta^B f(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha)| \leq C_{AB}, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Remark IV.3.7 1) $\mathcal{H}$ is closed under multiplication and derivation with respect to (y, η) .

2) If we set, with notation (IV.2.10)

$$f(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)$$

then $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$. This follows from (IV.3.6).

Definition IV.3.8 Let $F = (F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$. We say that $F \in \mathcal{J}$ if we can write

$$F(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) v_j\left(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha), \alpha\right)$$

for all $(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha)$ in $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{S}$ with $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Example IV.3.9 Let us set $F = (F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ where

$$F(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \eta_k - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y_k + (\tilde{a}_k + i \tilde{b}_k)(\theta, y, \alpha)), \quad k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Then $F \in \mathcal{J}$.

This follows from Corollary IV.3.5. Indeed the matrix $(\tilde{q}_\ell(\eta, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j))_{j, \ell}$ is invertible if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough and according to the estimate (v) if we set $(d_{\ell j}) = (\tilde{q}(\dots))^{-1}$ then $(d_{jk}(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ so our claim follows from Remark IV.3.7.

Now if $F = (F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$, $G = (G(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ we set

$$(IV.3.37) \quad \{F, G\} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \eta_j} \right) (\cdot, \alpha) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}.$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma IV.3.10 $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under the Poisson bracket (IV.3.37).

Proof Recall (see (IV.2.10)) that $v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) = u_j \circ \chi_{-\theta}(x, \xi)$ where $u_j(x, \xi, \alpha) = \xi_j - \alpha_x^j - i(x_j - \alpha_x^j)$ and $\chi_{-\theta}(x, \xi) = (x(-\theta; x, \xi), \xi(-\theta; x, \xi))$ is the symplectic map defined by the flow. Since $\{u_j, u_k\} = 0$ we have, denoting by $\{, \}$ the Poisson bracket in (x, ξ) , $\{v_j, v_k\} = \{u_j \circ \chi_{-\theta}, u_k \circ \chi_{-\theta}\} = \{u_j, u_k\} \circ \chi_{-\theta} = 0$. It follows that, in the coordinates (y, η) ,

$$\left\{ v_j(\theta; y + x(\theta; \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta; \alpha)), v_k(\theta; y + x(\theta; \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta; \alpha)) \right\} = 0.$$

Let $F = \left(\sum_j f_j v_j(\cdot, \alpha) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$, $G = \left(\sum_k g_k v_k(\cdot, \alpha) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ two elements of $\mathcal{J}$. Then if $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ is the Poisson bracket in (y, η) , we have,

$$\left\{ \sum_j f_j v_j, \sum_k g_k v_k \right\} = \sum_k \left(\sum_j f_j \{v_j, g_k\} \right) v_k + \sum_k \left(\sum_j \{f_j, g_k\} v_j \right) v_k + \sum_j \left(\sum_k \{f_j, v_k\} g_k \right) v_j.$$

Since f_j, g_k, v_j belong to $\mathcal{H}$ it follows from Remark IV.3.7 (i) and Definition IV.3.8 that $\{F, G\} \in \mathcal{J}$.

□

Here is an important lemma which is a generalization to our context of Lemma 7.5.10 of [H].

According to Corollary IV.3.5, we shall set

$$(IV.3.38) \quad \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y_k - (\tilde{a}_k(\theta; y, \alpha) + i \tilde{b}_k(\theta; y, \alpha)), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Lemma IV.3.11 *Let $R = (R(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}$ where $R(\theta, y, \alpha)$ is independent of η . Then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that for every (θ, y) in $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ (see (IV.3.2)) and α in $\mathcal{S}$ we have*

$$|R(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha)|^N.$$

Proof We are going to show by induction on $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ that we can write for (θ, y, α) in $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta \times \mathcal{S}$,

$$(IV.3.39) \quad R(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{0 < |\gamma| < N} h_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha) (\eta - \psi)^\gamma + \sum_{|\gamma| = N} w_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) (\eta - \psi)^\gamma,$$

where $(h_\gamma(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ and $(w_\gamma(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$.

For $N = 1$ the first sum in (IV.3.39) is empty and by assumption we have

$$R(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) v_j \left(\theta; y + x(\theta; \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta; \alpha) \right),$$

where $(f_j(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}}$. Now we use Corollary IV.3.5. Since $\chi_0 \left(\frac{\eta - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y}{k(\theta) \mu_0} \right) = 1$ if $(\theta, y, \eta) \in \mathcal{O}$ we can write

$$R(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) (\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha))$$

where

$$r_k(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \tilde{q}_k(\eta, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j).$$

Now it follows from Corollary IV.3.5 and Remark IV.3.7 that $(r_k(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore (IV.3.39) holds when $N = 1$. Assume now that (IV.3.39) is true at the level N . Then apply Lemma IV.2.1 to the function

$$g_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \chi_0 \left(\frac{\eta - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) y}{\mu_0 k(\theta)} \right) w_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha), \quad |\gamma| = N,$$

with $z = -\psi(\theta, y, \alpha)$. It follows then that

$$(IV.3.40) \quad g_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(\eta, a, b, g_\gamma)(\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha)) + r(a, b, g_\gamma).$$

For the q'_k s and r we have the estimates (IV.2.2). If we set

$$(IV.3.41) \quad \begin{cases} h_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha) = r(a(\theta, y, \alpha), b(\theta, y, \alpha), g_\gamma(\theta, y, \cdot, \alpha)) \\ w_{\gamma j}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = q_j(\eta, a(\theta, y, \alpha), b(\theta, y, \alpha), g_\gamma(\theta, y, \cdot, \alpha)) \end{cases}$$

We deduce from (IV.2.2) and Corollary IV.3.5 that $(h_\gamma(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ and $(w_{\gamma j}(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$. Then using (IV.3.39) at the level N and (IV.3.40), (IV.3.41) we obtain (IV.3.39) at the level $N+1$. Let us take now in (IV.3.39) $\eta = \operatorname{Re} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha) + s \operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha)$ where $s \in [0, 1]$. We obtain

$$(IV.3.42) \quad |R(\theta, y, \alpha) - \sum_{0 < |\gamma| < N} h_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha) (\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha))^\gamma (s - i)^\gamma| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha)|^N$$

where C_N is independent of $(\theta, y, \alpha) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta \times \mathcal{S}$.

Using an interpolation formula we deduce that the coefficients of the polynomial in $(s - i)$ in the left hand side of (IV.3.42) satisfy the same estimate which proves that R has the claimed bound. $\square$

Corollary IV.3.12 *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial y_k} - \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial y_j} \right) (\theta, y, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha)|^N$$

for every (θ, y) in $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ and α in $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof According to (IV.3.38) and Example IV.3.9 we have $\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha) \in \mathcal{J}$. It follows from Lemma IV.3.10 that

$$R_{jk}(\theta, y, \alpha) := \left(\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial y_k} - \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial y_j} \right) (\theta, y, \alpha) = \{\eta_j - \psi_j, \eta_k - \psi_k\}(\theta, y, \alpha)$$

defines an element of $\mathcal{J}$. Since R_{jk} does not depend on η we can apply Lemma IV.3.11 and the conclusion follows. $\square$

So far we have worked in the coordinates (y, η) . Let us go back to the original coordinates (x, ξ) and let us summarize the results already obtained.

We set $x = y + x(\theta, \alpha)$, $\xi = \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha)$. Then $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$ (see (IV.3.1)). Let us recall that,

$$v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) = \xi_j(-\theta, x, \xi) - \alpha_\xi^j - i(x_j(-\theta, x, \xi) - \alpha_x^j),$$

(see (IV.2.10)).

Now let us introduce

$$(IV.3.43) \quad \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) = \xi_k(\theta, \alpha) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn} \theta)(1 - \chi_1(\theta))(x - x(\theta, \alpha)) - (\tilde{a}_k + i \tilde{b}_k)(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}$$

where $\tilde{a}_k, \tilde{b}_k$ have been introduced in Corollary IV.3.5. Then we have,

Theorem IV.3.13 *We can write*

$$(i) \quad \xi_k - \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_k^j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)$$

where d_k^j are smooth functions defined for $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$ and

$$\left| \xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) \frac{x - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

Moreover we have in this set,

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad & |\partial_x^A d_k^j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \quad A \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ (iii) \quad & |\Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta), \\ (iv) \quad & \left| \operatorname{Im} \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{5}\delta \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}. \\ (v) \quad & |\partial_x^A \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq \begin{cases} C_A \langle \theta \rangle^{-|A|} & \text{if } |A| \leq 1 \\ C_A \langle \theta \rangle^{-|A|-1} & \text{if } |A| \geq 2 \end{cases}. \\ (vi) \quad & \Phi_k(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \xi(\theta, \alpha). \\ (vii) \quad & \left| \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} \right)(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

where the constants C_A, C_0, C_N are independent of (θ, x, ξ, α) .

Proof It follows from Corollary IV.3.5 that when $\left| \xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \frac{x - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{\langle \theta \rangle}$ then

$$v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) (\xi_k - \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha))$$

with

$$c_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) = \langle \theta \rangle q_k \left(\langle \theta \rangle (\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha)) - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{sgn} \theta) (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (x - x(\theta, \alpha)), \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{g}_j \right)$$

where $\tilde{q}_k$ is defined in Corollary IV.3.5 (i). By (v) of the same result we have,

$$(IV.3.44) \quad |c_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi) - (1 + 2i\theta) \delta_{jk}| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) \langle \theta \rangle.$$

It follows then that the matrix (c_{jk}) is uniformly invertible. Let us set $(d_k^j(\theta, x, \xi)) = (c_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi))^{-1}$. Then we obtain (i) in Theorem IV.3.13. The estimate (ii) follows then from Corollary IV.3.5 (v) and (IV.3.44).

Let us now prove the claimed properties of Φ_k . First of all since $\xi(\theta, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$, $\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq \delta$, $|\tilde{a}_k| + |\tilde{b}_k| \leq C\delta$. We deduce easily from (IV.3.43) that $|\Phi_k(\theta, x, \xi) - \alpha_\xi| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)$. On the other hand it follows from (IV.3.43) and Corollary IV.3.5 (i)

$$\operatorname{Im} \Phi_k(\theta, x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \tilde{b}_k(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \frac{x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)}{1 + 4\theta^2} + R$$

where $|R| \leq \frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$.

The point (v) in Theorem IV.3.13 follows easily from (IV.3.43) and Corollary IV.3.5 (ii) ; the point (vi) is obvious since $\tilde{a}_k(\theta, 0, \alpha) = \tilde{b}_k(\theta, 0, \alpha) = 0$. Finally for the point (vii) we remark that according to (IV.3.38) and (IV.3.43) we have

$$(1) = \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} \right) (\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial y_k} - \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial y_j} \right) (\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha).$$

Using Corollary IV.3.12 and the point (iv) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(1)| &\leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} |\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)|^N = \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} (\langle \theta \rangle |\operatorname{Im} \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)|)^N \\ |(1)| &\leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N, \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We need now to introduce the definition of Lagrangian ideals in the coordinates (x, ξ) .

Let $(F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ a family of functions $F(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)$ defined for (θ, x) in Ω_δ and $|\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn} \theta) \frac{(x - x(\theta, \alpha))}{\langle \theta \rangle}| < \frac{\delta}{\langle \theta \rangle}$.

Definition IV.3.14 We shall say that the family $(F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}$ if

$$(IV.3.45) \quad \left(\langle \theta \rangle F(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ has been introduced in Definition IV.3.8.

For example, $(\xi_j - \Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}$.

As a consequence of Lemma IV.3.11 we have the following result.

Lemma IV.3.15 Let $(R(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ be in $\mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}$ and assume that R is independent of ξ then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that,

$$(IV.3.46) \quad |R(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$$

We can now pursue the proof of the existence of a phase as described in Theorem IV.1.2.

Lemma IV.3.16 With Φ defined in (IV.3.43) we have

$$\left(-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(x, \xi) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \xi) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}.$$

Proof We know from (IV.3.43), (IV.3.38) and Example IV.3.9, with $\xi = \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha)$ that,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_k - \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} (\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^n f_{jk}(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \langle \theta \rangle (\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha)), \alpha) v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)\end{aligned}$$

where $(f_{jk}(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} = ((q_k(\eta - \frac{1}{2}(\text{sgn } \theta)(1 - \chi_1(\theta))y, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, g_j))^{-1})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{H}$ (see Definition IV.3.6).

Recall now that $v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) = u_j \circ \chi_{-\theta}(x, \xi)$ where $u_j(X, \Xi) = \Xi_j - \alpha_\xi^j - i(X_j - \alpha_x^j)$ (see (IV.2.9)). Let us set

$$\chi_{-\theta}(x, \xi) = (X, \Xi) \iff x(\theta, X, \Xi) = x, \quad \xi(\theta, X, \Xi) = \xi.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(IV.3.47)} \quad \xi_k(\theta, X, \Xi) - \Phi_k(\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi), \alpha) &= \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^n f_{jk}(\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi) - x(\theta, \alpha), \\ &\quad \langle \theta \rangle (\xi(\theta, X, \Xi) - \xi(\theta, \alpha)), \alpha) u_j(X, \Xi).\end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$\begin{cases} M(\theta, X, \Xi, \alpha) = (\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi) - x(\theta, \alpha), \langle \theta \rangle (\xi(\theta, X, \Xi) - \xi(\theta, \alpha))), \\ \rho(\theta, X, \Xi) = (x(\theta, X, \Xi), \xi(\theta, X, \Xi)), \\ \rho(\theta, \alpha) = (x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)). \end{cases}$$

Now we differentiate (IV.3.47) with respect to θ using the equation of the flow given in (III.1.2). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(\rho(\theta, X, \Xi)) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi), \alpha) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi), \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(\rho(\theta, X, \Xi)) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[-\frac{\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle^3} f_{jk}(M(\theta, X, \Xi, \alpha)) + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \theta}(M(\theta, X, \Xi, \alpha)) \right. \\ + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\rho(\theta, X, \Xi)) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\rho(\theta, \alpha)) \right) \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial y_\ell}(M(\theta, X, \Xi, \alpha)) \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ \frac{\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (\xi_\ell(\theta, X, \Xi) - \xi_\ell(\theta, \alpha)) - \langle \theta \rangle \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell}(\rho(\theta, X, \Xi)) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell}(\rho, \alpha) \right) \right\} \right. \\ \left. \left. \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \eta_\ell}(M(\theta, X, \Xi, \alpha)) \right] \right] u_j(X, \Xi)\end{aligned}$$

We can now go back to the coordinates $(x, \xi) = \rho(\theta, X, \Xi)$ and then to (y, η) where $y = x - x(\theta, \alpha)$, $\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \right] \left(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha) \right) \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{-\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle^3} f_{jk}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \theta}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell} (y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(\rho(\theta, \alpha)) \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial y_\ell}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \right. \\
& + \left. \left. \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta}{\langle \theta \rangle^3} \eta_\ell - \left\{ \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell} (y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell}(\rho(\theta, \alpha)) \right\} \right) \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \eta_\ell}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \right] \right. \\
& \left. v_j \left(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha) \right) =: \sum_{j=1}^n G_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) v_j \left(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

According to Definition IV.3.14 the Lemma will be proved if we show that $(\langle \theta \rangle G_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ that is all the derivatives with respect to (y, η) are uniformly bounded when $|y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ and $|\eta - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \theta (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) y| \leq \delta$. Recall that $(f_{jk}) = ((q_k(\eta - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \theta (1 - \chi_1(\theta))) y, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, g_j))^{-1}$. Using (IV.3.5) we see that $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} \in \mathcal{H}$. Then differentiating (IV.3.19) with respect to θ we see that $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta} \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows from (IV.3.9) that $\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \theta} \in \mathcal{H}$. Then we deduce from the estimates (IV.2.2) that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [q_k(\eta - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \theta (1 - \chi_1(\theta))) y, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, g_j]$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ and we deduce from Corollary IV.3.5 (iii) that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [(q_k(\eta - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \theta (1 - \chi_1(\theta))) y, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, g_j)^{-1}] \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus $(\frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \theta}) \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $f_{jk}, \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial y_\ell}, \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial \eta_\ell}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$ and since $\mathcal{H}$ is closed under multiplication it remains to prove that the functions $h(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell} (y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha))$ or $\langle \theta \rangle \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell} (y + x(\theta, \alpha), \frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi(\theta, \alpha))$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi_\ell(\theta, \alpha)$ has all its derivatives in η uniformly bounded, we are led to prove that if $g^{jk}(x)$ are the coefficients of $p(x, \xi)$ then $\partial_x^A g^{jk}(y + x(\theta, \alpha))$ for $A \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\langle \theta \rangle \partial_x^B g^{jk}(y + x(\theta, \alpha))$ for $B \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|B| \geq 1$ are uniformly bounded when $|y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$. This is obvious if $A = 0$ and if $|B| \geq 1$ condition (III.1.1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^B g^{jk}(y + x(\theta, \alpha))| & \leq \frac{C_B}{\langle y + x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle^{|B|+1+\sigma_0}} = \frac{C_B}{\langle x(\theta, \beta) \rangle^{|B|+1+\sigma_0}} \\
& \leq \frac{C_B}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|B|+1+\sigma_0}}
\end{aligned}$$

since $\beta \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$ (see (III.3.3)). □

Corollary IV.3.17 *With Φ defined in (IV.3.43) we have for $k = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$\left(- \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k} (x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta} (\theta, x, \alpha) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} (\theta, x, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} (x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}.$$

Proof First of all we show that

$$(1) = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k} (x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k} (x, \xi) \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}.$$

Denoting by g^{ij} the coefficients of p we can write

$$\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x_k}(x) \Phi_i \Phi_j - \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x_k}(x) \xi_i \xi_j = \underbrace{\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x_k}(x) (\Phi_i - \xi_i) \xi_j}_{(a)} - \underbrace{\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x_k}(x) \Phi_i (\xi_j - \Phi_j)}_{(b)}.$$

To see that this belongs to $\mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}$ we use (IV.3.45). In the coordinates (y, η) we have

$$\langle \theta \rangle (a) = -\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x_k}(y + x(\theta, \alpha)) \left(\frac{\eta_j}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi_j(\theta, \alpha) \right) (\eta_i - \psi_i(\theta, y, \alpha)).$$

Since $\eta_i - \psi_i(\theta, y, \alpha) \in \mathcal{J}$ (see Example IV.3.9 and (IV.3.38)) and $\left(\frac{\eta_j}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \xi_j(\theta, \alpha) \right) \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x_k}(y + x(\theta, \alpha))$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ we have $(a) \in \mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}$.

A similar argument shows that (b) belongs to $\mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}$.

We show now that

$$(2) = \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) \left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \xi) \right] \right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}.$$

The coefficients of p can be written $g^{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + c_{ij}(x)$. It follows that

$$(2) = \left(2 \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) \left(\Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) - \xi_j + \sum_{\ell=1}^n c_{j\ell}(x) (\Phi_\ell(\theta, x, \alpha) - \xi_\ell) \right) \right).$$

Now $\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial y_\ell} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $c_{j\ell}(y + x(\theta, \alpha)) \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows that $(2) \in \mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}$ and the Corollary follows from Lemma IV.3.16. $\square$

Corollary IV.3.18 *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left| -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right| \leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Proof This follows from Lemma IV.3.15 and Corollary IV.3.17 since the left hand side does not depend of ξ . $\square$

Proposition IV.3.19 *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ (see (IV.3.1)). Let us set for $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$,*

$$(IV.3.48) \quad \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \Phi(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \theta p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

Then we have

$$(i) \quad \varphi(0, x, \alpha) = (x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} (x - \alpha_x)^2 + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(|x - \alpha_x|^N).$$

For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$(ii) \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

$$(iii) \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + p\left(x, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha)\right) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$$

uniformly with respect to $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$.

Moreover, uniformly with respect to $(\theta, x, \alpha) \in \Omega_\delta \times \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$(iv) \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}).$$

$$(v) |\partial_x^A \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_A, \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

$$(vi) \left| \operatorname{Im} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2 \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

Proof If we can prove that for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\Phi_j(0, s x + (1 - s) \alpha_x, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi^j + i s (x_j - \alpha_x^j) + \mathcal{O}(s^N |x - \alpha_x|^N)$$

then (i) will follow according to (IV.3.48). By (IV.3.43), Corollary IV.3.5 and Theorem IV.3.1 we have

$$\Phi_j(0, x, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi^j - (\tilde{a}_j + i \tilde{b}_j)(0, x - \alpha_x, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi^j - (a_k + i b_k)(0, x - \alpha_x, \alpha).$$

Now Example IV.3.9 (for $\theta = 0$) shows that $\eta_j + a_j(0, y, \alpha) + i b_j(0, y, \alpha)$ belongs to the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ introduced in Definition IV.3.8. On the other hand, since by (IV.2.10) for $\theta = 0$ $g_j(\eta) = \chi_0(\frac{1}{\mu_0} \eta)(\eta_j - i y_j)$ it follows that $\eta_j - i y_j$ belongs also to $\mathcal{J}$. Thus the difference $a_j(0, y, \alpha) + i b_j(0, y, \alpha) + i y_j$ belongs also to $\mathcal{J}$ and does not depend on η . It follows from Lemma IV.3.11 that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_j(0, y, \alpha) = \mathcal{O}(|\operatorname{Im} \psi(0, y, \alpha)|^N)$$

$$b_j(0, y, \alpha) + y_j = \mathcal{O}(|\operatorname{Im} \psi(0, y, \alpha)|^N).$$

Now (IV.3.38) and Theorem IV.3.1 (ii) show that $|\operatorname{Im} \psi_j(0, y, \alpha)| = |b_j(0, y, \alpha)| \leq C |y|$. Thus for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_j(0, y, \alpha) = \mathcal{O}(|y|^N), \quad b_j(0, y, \alpha) = -y_j + \mathcal{O}(|y|^N).$$

It follows that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi_j(0, y, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi^j + i(x - \alpha_x^j) + \mathcal{O}(|x - \alpha_x|^N)$$

which proves our claim.

Let us prove (ii). We have, by (IV.3.48),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 \Phi_j(\theta, s x + (1 - s) x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 s(x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(s x + (1 - s) x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds \end{aligned}$$

Now we use Theorem IV.3.13 (vii) and the fact that $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$. We deduce that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \int_0^1 \left[\Phi_j + s \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} \right] (\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$$

where C_N is independent of (θ, x, α) .

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \int_0^1 \Phi_j(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds - \int_0^1 s \frac{d}{ds} [\Phi_j(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)] ds \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Integrating by parts in the second integral above, we obtain (ii). As a consequence of (ii) we have the estimate

$$(IV.3.49) \quad \left| p(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - p\left(x, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha)\right) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Let us prove (iii). We deduce from (IV.3.48) that

$$(IV.3.50) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) = (1) + (2) + (3) + (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 \dot{x}_k(\theta, \alpha) \Phi_k(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds \\ (2) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 (x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta}(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds \\ (3) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 (x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) (1-s) \dot{x}_j(\theta, \alpha) ds \\ (4) &= p(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the term (2). We use Corollary IV.3.18 to get

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 (x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)) \left[- \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)^N\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Theorem IV.3.13, (vii),

$$(IV.3.51) \quad \left| \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} \right) (\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \frac{s^N}{\langle \theta \rangle} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$$

and $s^N \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$(2) = - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} [p(sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha))] ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}\right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(IV.3.52) \quad \left| (2) + p(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - p(x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Let us consider the term (3). Using again (IV.3.51) we get

$$(3) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 (1-s) \dot{x}_j(\theta, \alpha) \frac{d}{ds} (\Phi_j(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}\right).$$

Integrating by part we obtain

$$(3) = -\dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 \dot{x}_j(\theta, \alpha) \Phi_j(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds.$$

Comparing with the term (1) we obtain,

$$\left| (1) + (3) + \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Now by Theorem IV.3.13 (vi) and the Euler relation we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) &= \xi(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \\ &= 2p(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = 2p(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(IV.3.53) \quad \left| (1) + (3) + 2p(\alpha) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Since in (IV.3.52) we have $p(x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) = p(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = p(\alpha)$, we deduce from (IV.3.50), (IV.3.52) and (IV.3.53) that

$$(IV.3.54) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + p(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Therefore (iii) follows from (IV.3.54) and (IV.3.49).

Finally (iv), (v), (vi) follow easily from Theorem IV.3.13. $\square$

Remark IV.3.20 Assume that α is such that

$$(IV.3.55) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$$

(so $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_-$ instead of $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$). Then Theorem IV.3.1, Corollary IV.3.5 and Proposition IV.3.19 are true for $\theta \leq 0$.

By the same way if $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$ and $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ (which imply that $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_+$) the above results hold for $\theta \geq 0$.

IV.4 The case of incoming points

We are going to prove Theorem IV.1.2 when

$$|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2.$$

Since the problem is entirely symmetric we can without loss of generality assume that

$$(IV.4.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \quad \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi < -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

It follows from Definition IV.1.1 and the discussion after, that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_\delta = \{(\theta, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : \theta \leq 0, \quad |y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle\} \cup \{(\theta, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : \theta \geq 0, \quad |y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle \\ \text{and} \quad (y + x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle y + x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let now $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0(t) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad |t| \leq 1, \quad \chi_0(t) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |t| \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \chi_0 \leq 1, \\ \chi_1(\theta) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \theta \geq -1, \quad \chi_1(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \theta \leq -2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \chi_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$ we introduce

$$(IV.4.2) \quad g_j(\eta) = \chi_0\left(\frac{1}{\mu_0} \eta\right) v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta \chi_1(\theta) + (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \left[\frac{\eta}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{sgn } \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} y \right] + \xi(\theta, \alpha), \alpha),$$

where μ_0 is a small constant to be chosen, $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$, α satisfies (IV.4.1) and v_j has been introduced in (IV.2.9).

Remark IV.4.1 (i) According to Remark IV.3.20 since (IV.4.1) implies (IV.3.55) the phase has been already constructed when (θ, x) belongs to the first part of $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ where $\theta \leq 0$. Therefore we are left with the case $\theta \geq 0$.

(ii) If α satisfies (IV.4.1) and $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$, $\theta \geq 0$, then the point $(y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha))$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$. Indeed recall that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$ implies $\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_\xi| \leq |\eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2 |\alpha_\xi|$ if $|\eta| \leq 2\mu_0$ and μ_0, ε are small enough. Therefore setting $x = x(\theta, \alpha)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (\eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) &= x \cdot (\alpha_\xi + \eta + \zeta(\theta, \alpha)) \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + |x| (\mu_0 + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq 2c_1 \langle x \rangle |\eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)| + 4(\mu_0 + \varepsilon) \langle x \rangle |\eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \langle x \rangle |\eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)| \end{aligned}$$

if c_1, μ_0, ε are small enough.

Our first step will be the proof of the following result.

Theorem IV.4.2 There exist small positive constants μ_0, δ and C^∞ functions $a = a(\theta, y, \alpha)$, $b_k = b_k(\theta, y, \alpha)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, defined on $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ with $\theta \geq 0$ such that, with $a = (a_k)$, $b = (b_k)$ we have for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(i) \quad g_j(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j)(\eta_k + a_k(\theta, y, \alpha) + i b_k(\theta, y, \alpha))$$

where the q'_k 's have been introduced in Lemma IV.2.1.

Moreover we have for $(\theta, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$, $\theta \geq 0$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(ii) \quad \left| a_k(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{2\theta y_k}{1+4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \inf(1, |y|),$$

$$\left| b(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{y_k}{1+4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{If we set } \tilde{a}(\theta, y, \alpha) = a(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} \text{ and } \tilde{b}(\theta, y, \alpha) = \langle \theta \rangle (b(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}) \text{ then for every } A \in \mathbb{N}^n, |A| \geq 1 \text{ one can find } C_A \geq 0 \text{ such that with } x = y + x(\theta, \alpha), \theta \geq 0,$$

$$|\partial_y^A \tilde{a}(\theta, y, \alpha)| + |\partial_y^A \tilde{b}(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq C_A \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|+1} + \frac{\delta}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+1}} \right]$$

$$(iv) \quad |q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) - (1 + 2i\theta) \delta_{jk}| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \langle \theta \rangle \quad \text{if } |\eta| \leq \sqrt{\delta}.$$

$$(v) \quad |\partial_{(a,b)}^B \partial_\eta^\gamma q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j)| \leq C_{B,\gamma} \langle \theta \rangle, \quad \text{if } B \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Proof We use the same method as in Theorem IV.3.1. According to Lemma IV.2.1, the claim (i) is equivalent to solve the system of equations

$$(IV.4.3) \quad r(a, , g_j(\theta, y, \alpha; \cdot)) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We shall solve this system in the set

$$(IV.4.4) \quad E = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : \left| a + \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \inf(1, |y|), \left| b + \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \right\}$$

where $0 < \delta \ll 1$.

First of all we give equivalent equations to (IV.4.3) in the set E . We write as in (IV.3.13)

$$(IV.4.5) \quad r(a, b, g_j) = g_j(-a) - i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \xi_k} (-a) b_k + \sum_{p,q=1}^n H_{pq}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b_p b_q$$

where

$$(IV.4.6) \quad H_{pq}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial b_p \partial b_q} (a, t b, g_j(\theta, y, \alpha; \cdot))(1-t) dt.$$

By (IV.2.2) we have

$$(IV.4.7) \quad |\partial_{(a,b)}^\alpha \partial_y^B r(a, t b, g_j(\cdot \cdot \cdot))| \leq C_{AB} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq |A|+3n} \int |\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_y^B g_j(\theta, y, \alpha, \xi)| d\xi.$$

Since for $\theta \geq 0$ we have,

$$g_j(\eta) = \chi \left(\frac{\eta}{\mu_0} \right) [(\xi_j - i x_j)(-\theta; y + x(\theta; \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta; \alpha)) + i(\alpha_x + i \alpha_\xi)]$$

we deduce from Propositions III.3.1 and III.3.2 that $|\partial_\eta^\gamma \partial_y^B g_j(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta)|$ is bounded on the support of χ , by

$$\begin{cases} C \langle \theta \rangle & \text{if } |B| = 0, \\ C \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}}\right) & \text{if } |B| = 1, \\ \frac{C_B \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{|B|+\sigma_0}} \left(1 + \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{\langle x \rangle}\right) & \text{if } |B| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(IV.4.8) \quad |\partial_{(a,b)}^A \partial_y^B H_{p,q}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b)| \leq \begin{cases} C \langle \theta \rangle & \text{if } |B| = 0 \\ C \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}}\right) & \text{if } |B| = 1 \\ \frac{C_B \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{|B|+\sigma_0}} \left(1 + \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{\langle x \rangle}\right) & \text{if } |B| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Since $\chi(-a) = 1$ and $\chi'(-a) = 0$ we see that (IV.4.3) is equivalent in E to the vectorial equation,

$$(IV.4.9) \quad \left[\xi - i x - i \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \xi_k} - i \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_k} \right) b_k \right] (-\theta; y + x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha) - a) \\ + i(\alpha_x + i \alpha_\xi) + \sum_{p,q=1}^n H_{pq}(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b_p b_q = 0.$$

To shorten the notations we shall set

$$(IV.4.10) \quad \begin{cases} \rho(\theta; \alpha) = (x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha)) \\ \rho_y(\theta; \alpha) = (y + x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha)) \\ \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha) = (y + x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha) - a). \end{cases}$$

Since, by assumption, the point $\rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$ (the outgoing set for $\theta \leq 0$) we can use the Proposition III.3.1 to write

$$\begin{aligned} x(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) &= y + x(\theta; \alpha) - 2\theta \xi(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) + z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \\ \xi(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) &= -a + \xi(\theta; \alpha) + \zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (IV.4.9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2i\theta) \xi(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - i y - i x(\theta; \alpha) - i z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \\ - i \left[(1 + 2i\theta) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \xi_k} (-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) b_k - i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_k} (-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) b_k \right] \\ + i(\alpha_x + i \alpha_\xi) + \sum_{p,q=1}^n H_{pq}(\dots) b_p b_q = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the real and the imaginary parts, we are led to the $2n$ real equations

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) + 2\theta b_j + 2\theta \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi} (-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b - \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \xi} (-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b - \alpha_\xi^j + H_1^j b \cdot b = 0 \\ - 2\theta \xi_j(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) + b_j + y_j + x_j(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x^j + z_j(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \\ + \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi} (-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b + H_2^j b \cdot b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi} \cdot b = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial \xi_k} \cdot b_k, \quad H^j b \cdot b = \sum_{p,q=1}^n H_{p,q}^j b_p b_q, \quad H^j = H_1^j + i H_2^j.$$

Setting $X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_j(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \\ b_j \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\theta \\ -2\theta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, our system can be written $AX = F$. Since $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2\theta \\ 2\theta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, it is equivalent to the following system.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) &= \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} + \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \left[2\theta(x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x) + 2\theta z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_\xi + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b - (H_1 - 2\theta H_2) b \cdot b \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \frac{-y}{1+4\theta^2} - \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} [x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi + z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) + (2\theta H_1 + H_2) b \cdot b] \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b + \frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\xi(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) = -a + \xi(\theta; \alpha) + \zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha))$ the system (IV.4.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{-2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} + \xi(\theta; \alpha) - \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \left[\alpha_\xi + 2\theta(x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x) + 2\theta z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b \right] + \zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) + H_3 b \cdot b \\ b &= \frac{-y}{1+4\theta^2} - \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} [x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi + z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha))] \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b + \frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b + H_4 b \cdot b \end{aligned}$$

where according to (IV.4.8) H_ℓ , $\ell = 3, 4$, are two matrices which entries satisfy the following estimates

$$(IV.4.11) \quad |\partial_{(a,b)}^A \partial_y^B H_{\ell,p,q}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b)| \leq \begin{cases} C, & \text{if } |B| = 0 \\ \frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} \right), & \text{if } |B| = 1 \\ \frac{C_B \varepsilon}{\langle \theta \rangle \langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\sigma_0}} \left(1 + \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} \right), & \text{if } |B| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let us set

$$(IV.4.12) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_1(a, b) &= \frac{-2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} + \xi(\theta; \alpha) - \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \left[\alpha_\xi + 2\theta(x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x) + 2\theta z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b \right] + \zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) + H_3 b \cdot b \\ \Phi_2(a, b) &= \frac{-y}{1+4\theta^2} - \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} [x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi + z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha))] \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b + \frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b + H_4 b \cdot b. \end{cases}$$

Then the system (IV.4.3) to be solved is equivalent in E to the equation $(\Phi_1(a, b), \Phi_2(a, b)) = (a, b)$. Let us set $\Phi(a, b) = (\Phi_1(a, b), \Phi_2(a, b))$. We shall use the fixed point theorem in E (see (IV.4.4)).

(i) $\Phi(E) \subset E$.

Let us recall that $(y + x(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle y + x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$.

Case 1 Assume that

$$(IV.4.13) \quad x(\theta; \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq 2c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

It follows that

$$(IV.4.14) \quad |y| \geq \frac{c_0}{2} \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle \geq \frac{c_0}{2}.$$

Indeed one can write

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| &\leq (x(\theta; \alpha) + y) \cdot \alpha_\xi - y \cdot \alpha_\xi \\ &\leq c_0 \langle y + x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + |y| \cdot |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + c_0 |y| \cdot |\alpha_\xi| + |y| \cdot |\alpha_\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle \leq 2|y|$. Here we have used the inequality $\langle a + b \rangle \leq \langle a \rangle + |b|$.

Let $\theta^* \geq 0$ be such that $x(\theta^*; \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 0$ (this is possible since $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq 0$ and $x(\theta; \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi + \theta |\alpha_\xi|^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ if $\theta \rightarrow +\infty$). Then the point $(x(\theta^*; \alpha), \alpha_\xi)$ is outgoing for $\theta \geq 0$ and $\theta \leq 0$.

We can write by Proposition III.3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} x(\theta; \alpha) &= x(\theta - \theta^*; x(\theta^*; \alpha), \xi(\theta^*; \alpha)) \\ &= x(\theta^*; \alpha) + 2(\theta - \theta^*) \xi(\theta - \theta^*; x(\theta^*; \alpha), \xi(\theta^*; \alpha)) + z(\theta - \theta^*, \dots) \\ &= x(\theta^*; \alpha) + 2(\theta - \theta^*) \xi(\theta; \alpha) + z(\theta - \theta^*; x(\theta^*; \alpha), \xi(\theta^*; \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$x(\theta; \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 2(\theta - \theta^*) |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) |\theta - \theta^*| + z(\theta - \theta^*; x(\theta^*, \alpha), \xi(\theta^*; \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi.$$

Since $|z(\theta - \theta^*, \dots)| \leq C\varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*|$ we deduce the estimate,

$$2|\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi|^2 \leq |x(\theta, \alpha)| \cdot |\alpha_\xi| + C'\varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*|.$$

Therefore if ε is small enough we obtain

$$(IV.4.15) \quad |\theta - \theta^*| \leq 5|x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Now let us introduce

$$(IV.4.16) \quad u(\theta) = x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi.$$

We claim that

$$(IV.4.17) \quad |u(\theta)| \leq C\varepsilon \langle \theta - \theta^* \rangle.$$

Indeed we have $u(0) = 0$ and for all θ in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\dot{u}(\theta) = \dot{x}(\theta; \alpha) - 2\alpha_\xi = 2(\xi(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_\xi) + 2\varepsilon b(x(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot \xi(\theta; \alpha).$$

It follows from Proposition III.4.1 that

$$|\dot{u}(\theta)| \leq C \varepsilon.$$

Now since $x(\theta^*; \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 0$ it follows from Proposition III.5.2 that

$$x(\theta^*; \alpha) = \alpha_x + 2\theta^* \alpha_\xi - z(-\theta^*; x(\theta^*; \alpha), \xi(\theta^*; \alpha)).$$

This implies that $|u(\theta^*)| \leq C_1 \varepsilon$. Now we write

$$|u(\theta) - u(\theta^*)| \leq \left| \int_{\theta^*}^{\theta} |\dot{u}(s)| ds \right| \leq C_2 \varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*|$$

and

$$|u(\theta)| \leq C_1 \varepsilon + C_2 \varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*| \leq C_3 \varepsilon \langle \theta - \theta^* \rangle.$$

It follows then from (IV.4.14) to (IV.4.17) that,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq C_3 \varepsilon \langle \theta - \theta^* \rangle \leq 5 C_3 \varepsilon \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{10 C_3}{c_0} \varepsilon |y|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (IV.4.12) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Phi_2(a, b) + \frac{y}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| &\leq \underbrace{\frac{|x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi|}{1 + 4\theta^2}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\frac{|z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a})|}{1 + 4\theta^2}}_{(2)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\left| \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \rho_{y,a}) \right| \cdot |b|}_{(3)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\langle 2\theta \rangle} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}) \right| \cdot |b|}_{(4)} + \underbrace{\|H_4\| \cdot |b|^2}_{(5)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have (1) $\leq C_4 \varepsilon \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$, (2) $\leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \leq \frac{C' \varepsilon |y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$ since by (IV.4.14) $|y| \geq \frac{c_0}{2}$. Moreover

$$(3) \leq C \varepsilon |b| \leq \frac{C' \varepsilon |y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, \quad (4) \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle 2\theta \rangle} |b| \leq \frac{C' \varepsilon |y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$$

and, by (IV.4.11), (5) $\leq C \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$. Since $|y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ it follows that (5) $\leq C \delta \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$.

Summing up we obtain

$$(IV.4.18) \quad \left| \Phi_2(a, b) + \frac{y}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| \leq C (\varepsilon + \delta) \frac{|y|}{1 + 4\theta^2}$$

so we take ε, δ so small that $C (\varepsilon + \delta) \leq \sqrt{\delta}$.

Let us look to the term

$$(II) = \left| \Phi_1(a, b) + \frac{2\theta y}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(a, b) + \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} &= \underbrace{\xi(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_\xi}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} [x(\theta; \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi]}_{(2)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a})}_{(3)} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}) \cdot b}_{(4)} + \underbrace{\zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a})}_{(5)} + \underbrace{H_3 b \cdot b}_{(6)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $|(1)| \leq C\varepsilon$, $|(2)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon\theta\langle\theta-\theta^*\rangle}{1+4\theta^2} \leq C'\varepsilon$ (see (IV.4.17)), $|(3)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle\theta\rangle}$ (by Proposition III.3.2), $|(4)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle\theta\rangle^2} |b| \leq C''\varepsilon$, $|(5)| \leq C\varepsilon$, $|(6)| \leq C\delta^2$. It follows that if ε and δ are small enough we have,

$$(IV.4.19) \quad (II) \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta^2) \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta^2) \frac{2}{c_0} \inf(1, |y|) \leq \sqrt{\delta} \inf(1, |y|)$$

since $|y| \geq \frac{c_0}{2}$. It follows from (IV.4.18) and (IV.4.19) that Φ maps E into E .

We show now that one can find a constant $k \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$(IV.4.20) \quad |\Phi(a, b) - \Phi(a', b')| \leq k |(a, b) - (a', b')|, \quad \forall (a, b), (a', b') \in E.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_1(a, b) - \Phi_1(a', b')| &\leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} |z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a'}(\theta; \alpha))|}_{(1)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b - \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a'}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b' \right|}_{(2)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{|\zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - \zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a'}(\theta; \alpha))|}_{(3)} + \underbrace{|H_3 b \cdot b - H_3 b' \cdot b'|}_{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the point $(y + x(\theta; \alpha), \alpha_\xi)$ is outgoing we can use Proposition III.3.2, (IV.4.11) and the fact that $|b| \leq \frac{2|y|}{\langle\theta\rangle^2} \leq 2\delta$, to write

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &\leq \frac{C\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \varepsilon |a - a'|, & (2) &\leq \frac{C\theta\varepsilon}{1+4\theta^2} (|b - b'| + |a - a'|) \\ (3) &\leq C\varepsilon |a - a'|, & (4) &\leq C\delta (|a - a'| + |b - b'|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(IV.4.21) \quad |\Phi_1(a, b) - \Phi_1(a', b')| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|a - a'| + |b - b'|).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_2(a, b) - \Phi_2(a', b')| &\leq \left| \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a'}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b' \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b - \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a'}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b' \right| \\ &\quad + |H_4 b \cdot b - H_4 b' \cdot b'| + \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} |z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a'}(\theta; \alpha))|. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimates as those used in the first case show that

$$(IV.4.22) \quad |\Phi_2(a, b) - \Phi_2(a', b')| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|a - a'| + |b - b'|).$$

Thus (IV.4.20) is proved if $(\varepsilon + \delta)$ is small enough. Then the fixed point theorem shows that the system (IV.4.3) has a unique solution in E .

Case 2 Assume that

$$x(\theta; \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq 2c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

It follows that we can apply Proposition III.5.2 with $(y, \eta) = \alpha$ which allows us to write

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta; \alpha) = \alpha_x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi - z(-\theta; \rho(\theta; \alpha)) \\ \xi(\theta; \alpha) = \alpha_\xi - \zeta(-\theta; \rho(\theta; \alpha)) \end{cases}$$

where $\rho(\theta; \alpha) = (x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha))$.

Using (IV.4.12) we obtain the following expressions of Φ_1, Φ_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(a, b) &= \frac{-2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} - \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} [z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - z(-\theta; \rho(\theta; \alpha))]}_{(1)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b}_{(2)} + \underbrace{\zeta(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - \zeta(-\theta; \rho(\theta; \alpha))}_{(3)} + \underbrace{H_3 b \cdot b}_{(4)}. \\ \Phi_2(a, b) &= -\frac{y}{1+4\theta^2} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} [z(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) - z(-\theta; \rho(\theta; \alpha))]}_{(5)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b}_{(6)} + \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta; \rho_{y,a}(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot b}_{(7)} + \underbrace{H_4 b \cdot b}_{(8)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that

$$(IV.4.23) \quad \begin{cases} |\Phi_1(a, b) + \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2}| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \inf(1, |y|) \\ |\Phi_2(a, b) + \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}. \end{cases}$$

If $|y| \geq c_0$ then $\inf(1, |y|) \geq c_0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(1)| &\leq \frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} C\varepsilon \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{c_0} \inf(1, |y|), \\
|(2)| &\leq C\varepsilon \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{c_0} \inf(1, |y|), \\
|(3)| &\leq C\varepsilon |b| \leq \frac{2C\varepsilon |y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \leq 2C\varepsilon \delta \leq \frac{2C\varepsilon \delta}{c_0} \inf(1, |y|), \\
|(4)| &\leq \frac{C\delta}{c_0} \inf(1, |y|), \\
|(5)| &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{c_0} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, \\
|(6)| &\leq C\varepsilon |b| \leq C'\varepsilon \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, \\
|(7)| &\leq C\varepsilon \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, \\
|(8)| &\leq C\delta \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

These estimates imply (IV.4.23).

Assume now that $|y| \leq c_0$. It follows that for every t in $[0, 1]$ the point $(ty + x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha) - ta)$ is outgoing for $\theta \leq 0$ (*i.e.* belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$). Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(\theta; \alpha) - ta &= \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \delta) \\
|x(\theta; \alpha)| &\leq |y| + |y + x(\theta; \alpha)| \leq 1 + |y + x(\theta; \alpha)|
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
(ty + x(\theta; \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq |y| \cdot |\alpha_\xi| + 2c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq 3c_0 \langle x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq 6c_0 \langle y + x(\theta; \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}
|(1)| &\leq \int_0^1 \left[|y| \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right| + |a| \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} \right| \right] (-\theta, ty + x(\theta; \alpha), \xi(\theta; \alpha) - ta) dt \\
|(1)| &\leq C\varepsilon |y| \quad \text{since} \quad |a| \leq C' |y|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way we have $|(3)| \leq C\varepsilon |y|$.

Moreover $|(2)| \leq C\varepsilon |y|$, $|(4)| \leq C\delta |y|$ since $|b| \leq \frac{2|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \leq 2\delta$.

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(5)| &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon |y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, & |(6)| &\leq C\varepsilon |b| \leq \frac{C'\varepsilon |y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \\
|(7)| &\leq C\varepsilon |b| \leq C'\varepsilon \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, & |(8)| &\leq C\delta \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

These estimates imply (IV.4.23) since in this case $|y| = \inf(1, |y|)$. Summing up we have proved that Φ maps E into itself.

We show now the estimate (IV.4.22). But it is easy to see that the proof given in Case 1 works also in Case 2. Using again the fixed point theorem we see that the system (IV.4.3) has a unique solution in E . This proves the points (i) and (ii) of Theorem IV.4.2.

To prove (iii) we use an induction on $|A|$ starting with $|A| = 1$. Let us set for fixed (θ, α)

$$(IV.4.24) \quad \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}} = \left(y + x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha) - \tilde{a}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2} \right).$$

Using (IV.4.12) we see that $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$ satisfy the system

$$(IV.4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{a} = & \xi(\theta, \alpha) - \frac{\alpha_\xi + 2\theta(x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x)}{1+4\theta^2} - \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} z(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}})}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}}) \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \tilde{b}}_{(2)} \\ & + \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}}) \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(3)} + \underbrace{\zeta(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}})}_{(4)} \\ & + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \tilde{H}_3 \tilde{b} \cdot \tilde{b}}_{(5)} + \underbrace{\frac{2}{\langle \theta \rangle} \tilde{H}_3 \tilde{b} \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(6)} + \underbrace{\tilde{H}_3 \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(7)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(IV.4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{b} = & -\frac{\langle \theta \rangle (x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi)}{1+4\theta^2} - \underbrace{\frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{1+4\theta^2} z(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}})}_{(8)} \\ & - \underbrace{\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}}) \tilde{b}}_{(9)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}}) \frac{y \langle \theta \rangle}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(10)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \tilde{H}_4 \tilde{b} \cdot \tilde{b}}_{(11)} + \underbrace{2 \tilde{H}_4 \tilde{b} \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(12)} \\ & + \underbrace{\langle \theta \rangle \tilde{H}_4 \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2} \cdot \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(13)} + \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}}) \tilde{b}}_{(14)} - \underbrace{\frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}(-\theta, \gamma_{y,\tilde{a}}) \frac{y \langle \theta \rangle}{1+4\theta^2}}_{(15)} \end{aligned}$$

where for $j = 3, 4$ $\tilde{H}_j = H_j(\theta, y, \alpha, \tilde{a} - \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2}, \langle \theta \rangle \tilde{b} - \frac{y}{1+4\theta^2})$ and H_j satisfies (IV.4.11). We claim that, for $j = 3, 4$,

$$(IV.4.27) \quad |\partial_y [\tilde{H}_j]| \leq C \left(|\partial_y \tilde{a}| + \langle \theta \rangle |\partial_y \tilde{b}| + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} \right).$$

Indeed, skipping the index j for convenience, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} [\tilde{H}] = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_k} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial a} \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial y_k} - \frac{2\theta}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial a_k} + \langle \theta \rangle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tilde{b}} \frac{\partial \tilde{b}}{\partial y_k} - \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial b_k}.$$

Now we use (IV.4.11). The first term in the right hand side is bounded by $\frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0+2}}$, the second by $C|\nabla \tilde{a}|$, the third by $\frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle}$, the fourth by $C\langle \theta \rangle |\nabla_y \tilde{b}|$ and the last one by $\langle \theta \rangle^{-2}$.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let us introduce the following space

$$(IV.4.28) \quad \mathcal{F}_\ell = \left\{ F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) : |\partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B F(x, \xi)| \leq \frac{C_{AB} \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+\ell+\sigma_0}}, \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

For example $\zeta, \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi} \in \mathcal{F}_1, z, \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} \in \mathcal{F}_0$ according to Proposition III.3.2.

Let us set now,

$$(IV.4.29) \quad \begin{cases} g(y) = y + x(\theta; \alpha) \\ h(y) = \xi(\theta; \alpha) - \tilde{a}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \frac{2\theta y}{1+4\theta^2}. \end{cases}$$

Then for $F \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$ we have,

$$(IV.4.30) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} [F(g(y), h(y))] \right| \leq C \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\ell+1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\ell+\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle} + |\nabla_y \tilde{a}| \right)$$

where $x = y + x(\theta; \alpha)$.

Let us prove (iii) for $|A| = 1$. We differentiate the equations (IV.4.25), (IV.4.26) with respect to y_k and we use (IV.4.27), (IV.4.30) and the fact that $|\tilde{b}| \leq \frac{3|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 3\delta \leq 1$. We have, with the notations in (IV.4.25), (IV.4.26),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{y_k} (1)| + |\partial_{y_k} (8)| &\leq C \varepsilon |\nabla_y \tilde{a}| + C \varepsilon \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \\ |\partial_{y_k} (2)| &\leq C \varepsilon (|\nabla_y \tilde{a}| + |\nabla_y \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle^3} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \\ |\partial_{y_k} (3)| &\leq C \varepsilon |\nabla_y \tilde{a}| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle^3} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \\ |\partial_{y_k} (4)| &\leq C \varepsilon |\nabla_y \tilde{a}| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \\ |\partial_{y_k} (5)| + |\partial_{y_k} (6)| + |\partial_{y_k} (7)| &\leq C \delta (|\nabla \tilde{a}| + |\nabla \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle^2} + \frac{C \delta}{\langle \theta \rangle^3} \\ |\partial_{y_k} (9)| &\leq C \varepsilon (|\nabla \tilde{a}| + |\nabla \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \\ |\partial_{y_k} (10)| &\leq C \varepsilon |\nabla \tilde{a}| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^2 \\ |\partial_{y_k} (11)| + |\partial_{y_k} (12)| + |\partial_{y_k} (13)| &\leq C \delta (|\nabla \tilde{a}| + |\nabla \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle} + \frac{C \delta}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \\ |\partial_{y_k} (14)| + |\partial_{y_k} (15)| &\leq C (\varepsilon + \delta) (|\nabla_y \tilde{a}| + |\nabla \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (IV.4.25), (IV.4.26), that

$$|\nabla_y \tilde{a}| + |\nabla_y \tilde{b}| \leq C (\varepsilon + \delta) (|\nabla \tilde{a}| + |\nabla \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^2 + \frac{C \delta}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$$

which is (iii) for $|A| = 1$.

Let us assume that (iii) is true for $1 \leq |A| \leq k$ and let $|A| = k + 1 \geq 2$.

We claim that for $F \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ and $|B| \leq k$ we have

$$(IV.4.31) \quad |\partial_y^B [F(g(y), h(y))]| \leq \frac{C_B \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|B|+\ell}.$$

Indeed the term we want to estimate is a finite sum of terms of the following form (see Section VIII.1).

$$(IV.4.32) \quad R_{\beta\gamma s} = (\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma F)(g(y), h(y)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_y^{\ell_j} g)^{k'_j} (\partial_y^{\ell_j} h)^{k_j}$$

where $1 \leq s \leq |B|$, $1 \leq |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq |B|$, $\sum_{j=1}^s k'_j = \beta$, $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \gamma$, $\sum_{j=1}^s (|k_j| + |k'_j|) \ell_j = B$, $\ell_j \neq 0$, $(k'_j, k_j) \neq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Let us write $\{1, \dots, s\} = I_1 \cup I_2$ where

$$I_1 = \{j : |\ell_j| = 1\}, \quad |I_2| = \{j : |\ell_j| \geq 2\}.$$

For $j \in I_1$ we have $\partial_y^{\ell_j} g_k = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\partial_y^{\ell_j} h_k = -\partial_y^{\ell_j} \tilde{a} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle})$. For $j \in I_2$ we have $\partial_y^{\ell_j} g_k \equiv 0$. Therefore the only terms which are present are those for which $k'_j = 0$. It follows that $\sum_{j=1}^s k'_j = \sum_{j \in I_1} k'_j = \beta$. Moreover $\partial_y^{\ell_j} h = -\partial_y^{\ell_j} \tilde{a}$. It follows from these facts and the definition of $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ that

$$|R_{\beta\gamma s}| \leq \frac{C_{\beta\gamma}\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|+\ell+\sigma_0}} \left[\frac{(C\varepsilon)^{\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j|}}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0 \sum_{j=1}^s |k_j|}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j|(|\ell_j|+1)} + \frac{(C\delta)^{\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j|}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j|(|\ell_j|+1)}} \right].$$

Now $|\beta| = \sum_{j=1}^s |k'_j| = \sum_{j=1}^s |k'_j| |\ell_j|$ since $|\ell_j| = 1$ in I_1 and $k'_j = 0$ in I_2 . It follows that

$$|R_{\beta\gamma s}| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^s |k'_j| |\ell'_j| + \ell} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| |\ell_j|}.$$

The result follows then, since $\sum_{j=1}^s (|k_j| + |k'_j|) |\ell_j| = |B|$.

On the other hand, for $F \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ and $|A| = k + 1 \geq 2$, we have

$$(IV.4.33) \quad |\partial_y^A (F(g(y), h(y)))| \leq C_0 \varepsilon |\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + \frac{C_A \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|+\ell}.$$

Indeed

$$\partial_y^A (F(g(y), h(y))) - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} (g(y), h(y)) \partial_y^A g_k + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_k} (g(y), h(y)) \partial_y^A h_k \right)$$

is a finite sum of terms $R_{\beta\gamma s}$ given by (IV.4.32) where $1 \leq s \leq |A|$, $2 \leq |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq |A|$, $\sum_{j=1}^s k'_j = \beta$, $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \gamma$, $\sum_{j=1}^s (|k_j| + |k'_j|) \ell_j = A$, $|\ell_j| \geq 1$, $|k_j| + |k'_j| \geq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. Since $|\beta| + |\gamma| \geq 2$ we have $|\ell_j| \leq |A| - 1$ so the term $R_{\beta\gamma s}$ is bounded, using the induction, by

$$\frac{C_A \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|+\ell}.$$

On the other hand, since $|A| \geq 2$ we have $\partial_y^A g_k = 0$ and $\partial_y^A h_k = -\partial_y^A \tilde{a}_k$. By (IV.4.28) we have $|\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_k}(g(y), h(y))| \leq C\varepsilon$ so (IV.4.33) is proved. Note that C is independent of A .

Let us now prove the last step of the induction. We apply ∂_y^A to both members of (IV.4.25), (IV.4.26). Then we estimate each term of the right hand side using (IV.4.31), (IV.4.33). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_y^A(1)| + |\partial_\gamma^A(8)| &\leq C_0\varepsilon |\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + \frac{C_A\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|}. \\ |\partial_y^A(4)| &\leq C_0\varepsilon |\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + \frac{C_A\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|+1}. \\ |\partial_y^\alpha(2)| + |\partial_y^A(3)| &\leq C_0\varepsilon (|\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + |\partial_y^A \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C_A\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^3} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|}. \\ |\partial_y^A(9)| + |\partial_y^A(10)| &\leq C_0\varepsilon (|\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + |\partial_y^A \tilde{b}|) + \frac{C_A\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_y^A(5)| + |\partial_y^A(6)| + |\partial_y^A(7)| + |\partial_y^A(11)| + |\partial_y^A(12)| + |\partial_y^A(13)| &\leq C_0\delta (|\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + |\partial_y^A \tilde{b}|) \\ &+ \frac{C_A\varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|+1} + \frac{C_A\delta}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough (compared with a finite number of fixed constants) we can absorb the term $C_0(\varepsilon + \delta)(|\partial_y^A \tilde{a}| + |\partial_y^A \tilde{b}|)$ by the left hand side and we obtain the estimate given in (iii).

Let us now prove (iv). First of all since the point $(y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha))$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$ and $-\theta \leq 0$ we deduce from Proposition III.3.2 that $|\partial_\xi^\gamma \xi(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha))| + |\partial_\xi^\gamma x(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha))| \leq C_\gamma \langle \theta \rangle$. It follows then, from (IV.4.2) and Lemma IV.2.1 that

$$\left| \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial \xi_j}(\eta, a, b, g_j) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial b_\ell}(\eta, a, b, g_j) \right| \leq C \langle \theta \rangle.$$

Therefore we will have,

$$|q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) - q_k(-a, a, 0, g_j)| \leq C \langle \theta \rangle (|\eta + a| + |b|).$$

Now if $|\eta| \leq \sqrt{\delta}$ we will have $|\eta + a| + |b| \leq 5\sqrt{\delta}$. On the other hand (IV.2.7) shows that, when $|\eta| \leq \sqrt{\delta} < \frac{1}{2}c_0$,

$$q_k(-a, a, 0, g_j) = \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \xi_k}(-a) = \left(\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \xi_k} - i \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k} \right) (-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a + \xi(\theta, \alpha)).$$

By Corollary III.3.3 we have

$$q_k(-a, a, 0, g_j) = (1 + 2i\theta) \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle).$$

Finally we obtain,

$$|q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) - (1 + 2i\theta) \delta_{jk}| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \langle \theta \rangle,$$

which is precisely the claim of point (iv).

The last point (v) can be easily deduced from Proposition III.3.2 and Lemma IV.2.1. This ends the proof of Theorem IV.4.2. $\square$

We continue the proof of Theorem IV.1.2 in the case (IV.4.1). We follow basically the same method as in Section IV.3 with small changes. For the convenience of the reader we give some details.

Let us set,

$$(IV.4.34) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} = \{(\theta, (y, \eta)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} : |y| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle, (y + x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle y + x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|; |\eta| \leq \sqrt{\delta}\} \\ \tilde{\Lambda} = \{\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\} . \end{cases}$$

We consider families $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ of function on $\mathcal{O}$.

Definition IV.4.3 We say that $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ if

- (i) for all $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, $(\theta, y, \eta) \mapsto f(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha)$ is C^∞ on $\mathcal{O}$.
- (ii) For every A, B in $\mathbb{N}^n$ there exists $C_{AB} > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{(\theta, y, \alpha) \in \mathcal{O} \times \tilde{\Lambda}} |\partial_y^A \partial_\eta^B f(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha)| \leq C_{AB} .$$

Remark IV.4.4 1) $\mathcal{H}$ is closed under multiplication and derivation with respect to (y, η) .

2) If we set, with the notation (IV.2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} [\xi_j(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) - \alpha_\xi^j - i(x(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) - \alpha_x^j)] \end{aligned}$$

then $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \in \mathcal{H}$. This is a consequence of Proposition III.3.2.

Definition IV.4.5 ((Lagrangian ideals)) The Lagrangian ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is defined as the set of families $F = (F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ which can be written as

$$F(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha))$$

for all (θ, y, η) in $\mathcal{O}$ and α in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, where $(f(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Example IV.4.6 Let us set

$$F(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha)$$

with

$$(IV.4.35) \quad \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha) = -(a_k + i b_k)(\theta, y, \alpha) ,$$

where a_k, b_k are those given in Theorem IV.4.2.

Then $(F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \mathcal{J}$.

Indeed if $|\eta| \leq \sqrt{\delta} < \frac{1}{2} c_0$ then $\chi_0(\eta) = 1$ so it follows from (IV.4.2) and Theorem IV.4.2 that we have

$$v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha) + \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j)(\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha)).$$

Since $q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) = (1 + 2i\theta) \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \langle \theta \rangle)$ (by (v)) it follows that the matrix $(q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j))^{-1} = (d_{jk}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha))_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ exists. Moreover $(\langle \theta \rangle d_{jk}(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \in \mathcal{H}$. Now we have

$$\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \theta \rangle d_{jk}(\theta, y, \alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)).$$

This proves our claim.

Lemma IV.4.7 For F and G in $\mathcal{J}$ let us define

$$\{F, G\}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \eta_j} \right)(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha).$$

Then $\{F, G\} \in \mathcal{J}$.

Proof Since $v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = u_j \circ \chi_{-\theta}(y, \eta)$ where $u_j(x, \xi, \alpha) = \xi_j - \alpha_\xi^j - i(x_j - \alpha_x^j)$ and $\chi_{-\theta}(y, \eta) = (x(-\theta, y, \eta), \xi(-\theta, y, \eta))$ is the symplectic map defined by the flow we have

$$\{v_j, v_k\}(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \{u_j, u_k\}(\chi_{-\theta}(y, \eta)) = 0$$

because $\{u_j, u_k\} \equiv 0$.

Let $F = \sum f_j \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_j$, $G = \sum g_k \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_k$ be two elements of $\mathcal{J}$ with $f_j \in \mathcal{H}$, $g_k \in \mathcal{H}$. Then a straightforward computation and the Remark IV.4.4 give the conclusion (see the proof of Lemma IV.3.10). $\square$

Lemma IV.4.8 Let $R = (R(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \in \mathcal{J}$ and assume that $R(\cdot, \alpha)$ does not depend on η . Then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that for every (θ, y) in $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ and α in $\tilde{\Lambda}$ we have

$$|R(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha)|^N.$$

Proof We are going to show by induction on $N \geq 1$ that we can write

$$(IV.4.36) \quad R(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{0 < |\gamma| < N} h_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha) (\eta - \psi)^\gamma + \sum_{|\gamma|=N} g_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha, \eta) (\eta - \psi)^\gamma$$

where $(h_\gamma(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ and $(g_\gamma(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$.

For $N = 1$ the first sum in the right hand side of (IV.4.36) is empty and by assumption we have

$$R(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_j(\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)).$$

Using Theorem IV.4.2 we obtain since $\chi_0(\eta) = 1$ when $|\eta| \leq \sqrt{\delta}$,

$$R(\theta, y, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} f_j(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) q_k(\eta, a, b, g_j) \right) (\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha)).$$

Since f_j and $\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} q_k$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$ this shows that (IV.4.36) is true when $N = 1$. Assume now it is true up to the order N . We can apply Lemma IV.2.1 to the function

$$\tilde{g}_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \chi_0(\eta) g_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha), \quad |\gamma| = N$$

with $z_j = -\psi_j(\theta, y, \alpha)$. It follows that

$$(IV.4.37) \quad \tilde{g}_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(\eta, a, b, \tilde{g}_\gamma)(\eta_k - \psi_k(\theta, y, \alpha)) + r(a, b, \tilde{g}_\gamma).$$

For the q'_k s and r we have the estimates (IV.2.2). Let us set

$$(IV.4.38) \quad \begin{cases} h_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha) = r(a(\theta, y, \alpha), b(\theta, y, \alpha), \tilde{g}_\gamma(h, y, \cdot, \alpha)) \\ g_\gamma(\theta, y, \eta, \alpha) = q_k(\eta, a(\theta, y, \alpha), b(\theta, y, \alpha), \tilde{g}_\gamma(\theta, y, \cdot, \alpha)). \end{cases}$$

It follows from (IV.2.2) and Theorem IV.4.2 that $(h_\gamma(\cdot, \alpha))_\alpha$ and $(g_{\gamma k}(\cdot, \alpha))_\alpha$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$. Using (IV.4.36) at the level N and (IV.4.37), (IV.4.38) we deduce that (IV.4.36) holds at the level $N + 1$.

Now let us take in (IV.4.36) $\eta = (\operatorname{Re} \psi + s \operatorname{Im} \psi)(\theta, y, \alpha)$ when $s \in [0, 1]$. Then the same argument as in the end of the proof of Lemma IV.3.11 gives the result. $\square$

Corollary IV.4.9 *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial y_k} - \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial y_j} \right) (\theta, y, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} \psi(\theta, y, \alpha)|^N$$

for every (θ, y) in $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ and α in $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

Proof Identic to the proof of Corollary IV.3.12. $\square$

Now we go back to the original coordinates

$$x = y + x(\theta, \alpha), \quad \xi = \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)$$

and we set for $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(IV.4.39) \quad \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) = \psi_k(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \xi_k(\theta, \alpha) - (a_k + i b_k)(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)$$

where a_k, b_k have been described in Theorem IV.4.2.

Then we can state the following result.

Theorem IV.4.10 We can write for $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$ and $|\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \sqrt{\delta}$,

$$(i) \quad \xi_k - \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) v_j(\theta, x, \xi)$$

where e_{jk} are smooth functions which satisfy

$$(ii) \quad |\partial_\theta^\ell \partial_x^A e_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \ell = 0, 1.$$

Moreover we have for (θ, x) in Ω_δ and $|\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha)| < \sqrt{\delta}$,

$$(iii) \quad |\Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi| \leq C_0 (\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}).$$

$$(iv) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{x_k - x_k(\theta, \alpha)}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

$$(v) \quad |\Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_0,$$

$$|\partial_x^A \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_A \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+1}} + \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+1+\sigma_0}} \right) \text{ if } A \in \mathbb{N}^n, |A| \geq 1.$$

$$(vi) \quad \Phi_k(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \xi_k(\theta, \alpha).$$

$$(vii) \quad \left| \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} \right) (\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

where the constants C_A, C_0, C_N are independent of (θ, x, ξ, α) .

Proof (i) follows immediately from the computations made in Example IV.4.6 as well as (ii). The point (iii) is obvious since $\xi(\theta, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi + O(\varepsilon)$ and $|a_k| + |b_k| = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\delta})$ by (IV.4.4). Then (iv) follows from Theorem IV.4.2 (ii) as well as (v). The point (vi) is obvious since $a_k = b_k = 0$ when $y = 0$. To prove (vii) we use Corollary IV.4.9, (IV.4.35), (IV.4.39) and (iv) of Theorem IV.4.10. We obtain

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} \right) (\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}.$$

Now by (v) of the present theorem we have,

$$|\partial_j \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^2} \right).$$

Writing $|a| = |a|^{\frac{3}{4}} |a|^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and using the above estimates we obtain (vii). $\square$

Definition IV.4.11 Let $(F(\cdot, \alpha))_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ be a family of C^∞ functions for (θ, x) in Ω_δ and $|\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha)| < \sqrt{\delta}$. We shall say that $F \in \mathcal{J}_{(x, \xi)}$ if we can write

$$F(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} v_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)$$

where

$$|\partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B f_j(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha)| \leq C_{AB}$$

for all (θ, x) in Ω_δ , $|\xi - \xi(\theta, \alpha)| < \sqrt{\delta}$, $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ where C_{AB} is independent of (θ, x, ξ, α) .

Then exactly as in Lemma IV.4.7 $\mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}$ is closed under the Poisson bracket in (x, ξ) and we have the analogue of Lemma IV.4.8. In fact $\mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}$ is just the image of $\mathcal{J}$ under the diffeomorphism $x = y + x(\theta, \alpha), \xi = \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)$. Then we have

Theorem IV.4.12 *With Φ defined in (IV.4.39) we have for $k = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$\left(-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right)_\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{(x,\xi)}.$$

Proof We follow word by word the proof of Lemma IV.3.16 and Corollary IV.3.17. Let us just sketch the proof.

We begin by the proof of the theorem when $\Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)$ is replaced by ξ . By Theorem IV.4.10 (i) we have

$$\xi_k - \Phi_k(\theta, x, \alpha) = \sum e_{jk}(\theta, x, \xi, \alpha) v_j(\theta, x, \xi).$$

Then we set $x(-\theta, x, \xi) = X$, $\xi(-\theta, x, \xi) = \Xi$ that is $x(\theta, X, \Xi) = x$, $\xi(\theta, X, \Xi) = \xi$. The above identity reads

$$\xi_k(\theta, X, \Xi) - \Phi_k(\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi), \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}(\theta, x(\theta, X, \Xi), \xi(\theta, X, \Xi), \alpha) u_j(X, \Xi).$$

We differentiate this equality with respect to θ using the equations of the flow given by (III.1.2). Then we use Theorem IV.4.10 (ii) and we come back to the original coordinates (x, ξ) . Finally we write $\xi = \xi - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) + \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)$ as in the proof of Corollary IV.3.17. Details are left to the reader. $\square$

Corollary IV.4.13 *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left| -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \right)^N.$$

Proof Use Theorem IV.4.12 and Lemma IV.4.8 in the coordinates (x, ξ) . $\square$

We are ready now to define the phase φ , as we did in Proposition IV.3.19 for the outgoing points, but we find here a slight problem. Indeed if we look to formula (IV.3.48) we see that φ is defined by mean of $\Phi(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha))$, $s \in [0, 1]$. In the present case when $\theta \geq 0$, $\Phi(\theta, z, \alpha)$ is defined for $z \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle z \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $|z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ and it is easily seen that the point $z = s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha)$ does not satisfy these conditions. Therefore we have to modify the expression of φ in (IV.3.48) to take care of this problem. We split the discussion into several cases giving in each of them a different expression of φ . Our purpose is to prove the following result.

Let us set,

$$(IV.4.40) \quad \mathcal{O}_\delta = \left\{ (\theta, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{10} \langle \theta \rangle \right\}.$$

Proposition IV.4.14 *There exists a smooth function $\varphi = \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)$ defined on $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ such that,*

$$(i) \quad \varphi(0, x, \alpha) = (x - \alpha_x) \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} (x - \alpha_x)^2 + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2 + \mathcal{O}(|x - \alpha_x|^N), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$(ii) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$$

$$(iii) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + p\left(x, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha)\right) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N \text{ uniformly in } (\theta, x, \alpha).$$

Moreover

$$(iv) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}).$$

$$(v) \quad |\partial_x^A \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_A, \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad |A| \geq 1.$$

$$(vi) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_\xi^2 \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

We split the proof into several cases which are summarized in the following figures.

Case 1 (See Figure 1). Let $(\theta, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$ be such that

$$x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \quad \text{and} \quad |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle.$$

Lemma IV.4.15 *In the case 1 we have $sx + (1 - s)x(\theta, \alpha) \in \Omega_\delta$, for $s \in [0, 1]$, that is*

$$(sx + (1 - s)x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle sx + (1 - s)x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$$

and

$$|sx + (1 - s)x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle.$$

Proof We use the following elementary lemma.

Lemma IV.4.16 *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $|a - b| \leq \langle a \rangle$. Then for all s in $[0, 1]$*

$$(1 - s)|a| + s|b| \leq \sqrt{2} \langle (1 - s)a + sb \rangle.$$

Proof Since $|a - b|^2 \leq |a|^2 + 1$ we have $2a \cdot b \geq -1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |(1 - s)a + sb|^2 &= (1 - s)^2 |a|^2 + 2s(1 - s)a \cdot b + s^2 |b|^2 \geq (1 - s)^2 |a|^2 \\ &\quad + s^2 |b|^2 - s(1 - s) \geq \frac{1}{2} ((1 - s)|a| + s|b|)^2 - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle (1 - s)a + sb \rangle^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} ((1 - s)|a| + s|b|)^2$. □

Let us now apply Lemma IV.4.16 to $a = x(\theta, \alpha)$, $b = x$. Using our hypotheses we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq \frac{c_0}{3} (s\langle x \rangle + (1-s)\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle) |\alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{3} (s + s|x| + (1-s) + (1-s)|x(\theta, \alpha)|) |\alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{3} (1 + \sqrt{2} \langle sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle) \cdot |\alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq c_0 \langle sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|,
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $|sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| = s|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$. $\square$

In the set defined in case 1 we can therefore define φ by the same formula as in Proposition IV.3.19. We set

$$(IV.4.41) \quad \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \theta p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2.$$

The proof of the points (i) to (vi) is exactly the same as the corresponding points in Proposition IV.3.19 using Theorem IV.4.10. $\square$

Case 2 Let $(\theta, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$ be such that

$$x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \quad \text{and} \quad |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

(See Figure 1).

In this set we have

$$(IV.4.42) \quad \begin{cases} |x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle, \\ |x| \leq 3|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Moreover for $y \in [0, x] \cup [0, x(\theta, \alpha)]$ the point (θ, y) belongs to the set Ω_δ on which Φ is defined. Indeed if $s \in [0, 1]$ we have $sx \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq s \frac{c_0}{2} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq s|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| + (1-s)|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ by (IV.4.42). On the other hand, $sx(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq s \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $|sx(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| = (1-s)|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$. Therefore we can define the phase φ by the following formula.

$$(IV.4.43) \quad \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 x \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds - \int_0^1 x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \theta p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2.$$

Let us show that φ satisfies the conditions of Proposition IV.4.14. It follows from Theorem IV.4.2 and (IV.4.39) that

$$\Phi(0, z, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi + i(z - \alpha_x) + \mathcal{O}(|z - \alpha_x|^N).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(0, x, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 (x \cdot \alpha_\xi + i x(sx - \alpha_x) - \alpha_x \alpha_\xi - i \alpha_x(s\alpha_x - \alpha_x)|x| \mathcal{O}(|sx - \alpha_x|^N) \\
&\quad + |\alpha_x| \mathcal{O}(|sx - \alpha_x|^N)) ds + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2 \\
&= (x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} (x - \alpha_x)^2 + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2 + \mathcal{O}(|x - \alpha_x|^N)
\end{aligned}$$

because $|x| \leq |x - \alpha_x| + |\alpha_x|$, $|sx - \alpha_x| \leq |x - \alpha_x|$ and $|\alpha_x| \leq |x - \alpha_x|$. Thus (i) is proved. Now

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 \Phi_j(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 sx_k \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds.$$

Using Theorem IV.4.10, (vii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \int_0^1 \Phi_j(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds - \int_0^1 s \frac{d}{ds} (\Phi_j(\theta, sx, \alpha)) ds \right| \\ & \leq C_N \int_0^1 s |x| \left(\frac{1}{\langle sx \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \frac{|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now $s|x| \leq \langle sx \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and by (IV.4.42), $s|x| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$, $|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq s|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| + (1-s)|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|$. Therefore the right hand side of the above inequality is bounded by $C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}$. Integrating by parts in the second integral of the left hand side we obtain (ii).

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} &= \underbrace{\int_0^1 x \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds}_{(2)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\int_0^1 x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, sx(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds}_{(3)} - \underbrace{\sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, sx(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) s \dot{x}_\ell(\theta, \alpha) ds}_{(4)} + p(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We use Corollary IV.4.13 to write

$$(1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(sx, \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha)) ds - \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, sx, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell}(sx, \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha)) ds + R_0$$

with

$$|R_0| \leq \int_0^1 |x| \frac{|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}.$$

By (IV.4.42) we have $|x| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$; since $\langle \theta \rangle^{2N-1} \geq \langle \theta \rangle^N$ if $N \geq 1$, and $|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq s|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| + (1-s)|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|$, we obtain $|R_0| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$ if $N \geq 1$. Now $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ so the same estimate is valid for $N = 0$. Finally

$$(IV.4.44) \quad |R_0| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}, \quad \forall N \geq 0.$$

Using Theorem IV.4.10 (vii) we obtain

$$(1) = - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} (p(sx, \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha))) ds + R_1$$

where R satisfies (IV.4.44). Therefore

$$(IV.4.45) \quad |(1) + p(\Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) - p(0, \Phi(\theta, 0, \alpha)))| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Let us look the term (4) ; we use Theorem IV.4.10 (vii) again and we obtain

$$(4) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_0^1 s \dot{x}_\ell(\theta, \alpha) \frac{d}{ds} (\Phi_\ell(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) ds + R_2,$$

with

$$|R_2| \leq \int_0^1 s |x(\theta, \alpha)| \left(\frac{1}{\langle s x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \left(\frac{|x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} \right) (s-1)^N ds.$$

It follows from (IV.4.42) that R_2 satisfies (IV.4.44). Therefore integrating by parts in the above integral we obtain

$$(4) = - \int_0^1 \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}\right).$$

Using Theorem IV.4.10, (vi) and the Euler identity we can write

$$\dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \xi(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = 2p(\alpha).$$

It follows that

$$(IV.4.46) \quad |(2) + (4) - 2p(\alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Now, by Corollary IV.4.13 we have

$$(3) = - \int_0^1 x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(s x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) ds \\ - \sum_{\ell,k=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(s x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) ds + R_3$$

where

$$|R_3| \leq C_N |x(\theta, \alpha)| \int_0^1 \frac{|x(\theta, \alpha)|^N |s-1|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} ds.$$

If $N \geq 1$ we have $\frac{|x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} \leq \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$ so R_3 satisfies (IV.4.44) using (IV.4.42).

Using again Theorem IV.4.10 (vii) we obtain

$$(3) = - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} [p(s x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha))] ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}\right)$$

so

$$|(3) + p(x(\theta, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)) - p(0, \Phi(\theta, 0, \alpha))| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Finally we obtain

$$(IV.4.47) \quad |(3) + p(\alpha) - p(0, \Phi(\theta, 0, \alpha))| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Since $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) = (1) - (2) - (3) - (4) + p(\alpha)$ we deduce from (IV.4.45) to (IV.4.47) that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + p(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Using the point (ii) already proved in Proposition IV.4.14 we obtain the point (iii).

The point (iv) follows easily from (ii) since by (IV.4.39) and Theorem IV.4.10 we have $\Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta})$.

Let us prove (v). To bound $\partial_x^A \varphi$ when $|A| \geq 1$ we have to bound, according to (IV.4.43) the quantities $(1) = \int_0^1 s^{|A'|} (\partial_x^{A'} \Phi)(\theta, s x, \alpha) ds$, $|A'| \leq |A| - 1$ and $(2) = \int_0^1 |x| |\partial_x^A \Phi(\theta, s x, \alpha)| ds$. Using Theorem IV.4.10, (v), we see easily that (1) is uniformly bounded and

$$|(2)| \leq C_A |x| \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}} + C_A |x| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\langle s x \rangle^{|A|+1+\sigma_0}}.$$

By (IV.4.42) we have $|x| \leq 2\delta \langle \theta \rangle \leq 2\delta \langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}$ since $|A| \geq 1$ and setting $t = |x|s$ in the integral above we see that (2) is uniformly bounded in (θ, x, α) . This shows (v). Finally by (IV.4.43),

$$\operatorname{Im} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 x \cdot \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\theta, s x, \alpha) ds - \int_0^1 x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\theta, s x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_\xi^2.$$

Using Theorem IV.4.10, (iv) and (IV.4.42) we obtain (vi). This completes the proof of Proposition IV.4.14 in the case 2. $\square$

Case 3 We consider here the case where

$$(IV.4.48) \quad (\theta, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\delta \text{ and } x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

(See Figure 2).

Let us recall that we are dealing in this Section IV.4 with the case where $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$, (see (IV.4.1)).

(1) The continuous function $t \mapsto x(t, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi$ is then strictly negative for $t = 0$ and strictly positive for $t = \theta$. It follows that

$$(IV.4.49) \quad \text{there exists } \theta^* \in]0, \theta[\text{ depending only on } \alpha \text{ such that } x(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 0.$$

Then we have the following Lemma.

Lemma IV.4.17

$$(i) \quad \frac{3}{2} |\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 3 |\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi|,$$

$$(ii) \quad |\theta - \theta^*| \geq \frac{c_0}{50},$$

- (iii) $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 5|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|,$
- (iv) $K_1 \langle \theta \rangle \leq \langle \theta^* \rangle \leq K_2 \langle \theta \rangle.$

Proof It follows from (IV.4.48) and Definition III.2.2 that the point $\rho^* = (x(\theta^*, \alpha), \xi(\theta^*, \alpha))$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$. By the group property and Proposition III.3.1 we have

$$x(\theta, \alpha) = x(\theta - \theta^*, \rho^*) = x(\theta^*, \alpha) + 2(\theta - \theta^*) \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*|) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

It follows that

$$(IV.4.50) \quad x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha) = 2(\theta - \theta^*) \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*|) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Now we deduce from (IV.4.48) and (IV.4.49) that

$$(x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi > \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \geq \frac{c_0}{3} |\alpha_\xi| \geq \frac{c_0}{6}$$

since $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$. So by (IV.4.50),

$$2(\theta - \theta^*) |\alpha_\xi|^2 \geq \frac{c_0}{6} - C_1 \varepsilon |\theta - \theta^*| - C_2 \varepsilon.$$

Taking ε small compared to c_0 and C_1 we obtain (ii). Then (i) follows easily from (IV.4.50) if $\varepsilon \ll c_0$. Now the first inequality in (iii) being obvious, let us prove the second one. We write

$$(IV.4.51) \quad \begin{cases} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 = (1) + (2) \\ (1) = |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|^2 + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 \\ (2) = 2(x - x(\theta^*, \alpha))(x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)). \end{cases}$$

If we use (IV.4.50), (i) and (ii) we obtain,

$$(2) = -4(\theta - \theta^*)(x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)),$$

so by (IV.4.49),

$$(2) = -4(\theta - \theta^*) x \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)).$$

Now since (θ, x) belongs to $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ (see (IV.4.40)) we have

$$x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|.$$

It follows from (IV.4.48) that

$$x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{3}{10} x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|,$$

and we deduce from (IV.4.49) that

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq \frac{3}{10} (x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|, \\ x \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq \frac{3}{10} |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|, \\ x \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq \left(\frac{3}{10} + \frac{c_0}{10} \right) |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \geq -4 \left(\frac{3}{10} + \frac{c_0}{10} \right) |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| - \frac{2c_0}{5} |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)).$$

Using the first inequality in (i) we obtain

$$(2) \geq - \left(\frac{4}{5} + \frac{4c_0}{15} \right) |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|^2 - \frac{4c_0}{15} |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)).$$

Finally

$$(2) \geq - \left(\frac{4}{5} + \frac{12c_0}{15} + C\varepsilon \right) (1).$$

If c_0 and ε are small enough we find $(1) + (2) \geq \frac{1}{6} (1)$ so (iii) is proved using (IV.4.51). Finally (iv) follows from (i) and (iii) taking δ small enough. $\square$

Then we split the case 3 in two subcases.

Case 3.1 $(\theta, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$, $x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle$.

It follows then that

$$(IV.4.52) \quad sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha) \in \Omega_\delta \text{ for all } s \in [0, 1].$$

Indeed, using Lemma IV.4.16 with $a = x(\theta^*, \alpha)$, $b = x$ we obtain $s|x| \leq \sqrt{2} \langle sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle$ so if $(\theta, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$ we get

$$(sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi = sx \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} s \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Moreover by Lemma IV.4.17,

$$\begin{aligned} |sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| &\leq s|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| + (1-s)|x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \\ &\leq s|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| + (1-s)5|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \\ &\leq 5|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

since in $\mathcal{O}_\delta$, $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{10} \langle \theta \rangle$.

Therefore we can define φ on this part of $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ by the following formula.

$$(IV.4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds \\ &\quad - \int_{\theta^*}^\theta p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) ds + \theta^* p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal now is to show that φ satisfies the claims (i) to (vi) of Proposition IV.4.14.

The point $\theta = 0$ does not belong, by (IV.4.48), to this part of $\mathcal{O}_\delta$. Thus the claim (i) is empty. Let us check (ii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 \Phi_k(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_0^1 s(x_\ell - x_\ell(\theta^*, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \Phi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(\theta, sx + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem IV.4.10, (vii) we see easily that

$$(IV.4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 \Phi_k(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 s \frac{d}{ds} [\Phi_k(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)] ds + R \end{aligned}$$

with

$$|R| \leq C_N |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \int_0^1 \frac{|s x + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} ds.$$

It follows from Lemma IV.4.17, (iii) that

$$(IV.4.55) \quad |R| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}, \quad N \geq 0.$$

Integrating by parts in the second integral of the right hand side of (IV.4.54) we obtain the claim (ii). Let us prove (iii). We have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, X_s, \alpha) ds - p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha))$$

where $X_s = s x + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha)$.

Using Corollary IV.4.13 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) &= - \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(X_s, \Phi(\theta, X_s, \alpha)) ds - \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \int_0^1 (x_k - x_k(\theta^*, \alpha)) \\ &\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_\ell}(\theta, X_s, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(X_s, \Phi(\theta, X_s, \alpha)) ds - p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) + R \end{aligned}$$

where R satisfies (IV.4.55). We use again Theorem IV.4.10, (vii), and we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) = - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} [p(X_s, \Phi(\theta, X_s, \alpha))] ds - p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) + R'$$

where R' satisfies also (IV.4.55). This implies immediately (iii).

The points (iv), (v) follow easily from Theorem IV.4.10. Let us check (vi). According to (IV.4.53) we can write

$$(IV.4.56) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = A + B \text{ with,} \\ A = \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \Phi(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem IV.4.10, (iv) and Lemma IV.4.17 (iii) we see that

$$(IV.4.57) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Im } A = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} [(x - x(\theta^*, \alpha))^2 + 2(x - x(\theta^*, \alpha))(x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha))] + R \\ |R| \leq C \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}. \end{cases}$$

To check the term B let us set $x(t) = x(t, \alpha)$ and

$$F(t) = - \int_t^\theta p(x(t), \Phi(s, x(t), \alpha)) ds + t p(\alpha).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F'(t) = & \underbrace{p(x(t), \Phi(t, x(t), \alpha))}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\int_t^\theta \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(x(t), \Phi(s, x(t), \alpha)) \dot{x}_k(t) dt}_{(2)} \\
& - \underbrace{\int_t^\theta \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(x(t), \Phi(s, x(t), \alpha)) \frac{\partial \Phi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s, x(t), \alpha) \dot{x}_k(t) ds}_{(3)} + p(\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem IV.4.10 (vi) we have,

$$(1) = p(x(t), \xi(t)) = p(\alpha).$$

By the point (vii) of the same theorem we have,

$$(3) = \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \int_t^\theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_\ell}(x(t), \Phi(s, x(t), \alpha)) \frac{\partial \Phi_\ell}{\partial x_k}(s, x(t), \alpha) \dot{x}_k(t) ds + R_0$$

with

$$|R_0| \leq C_N \int_t^\theta \frac{|x(t) - x(s)|^N}{\langle s \rangle^{2N}} ds.$$

Since $|x(t) - x(s)| \leq \int_t^s |\dot{x}(\sigma)| d\sigma \leq C(s - t)$ we obtain

$$(IV.4.58) \quad |R_0| \leq C'_N \int_t^\theta \frac{(s - t)^N}{\langle s \rangle^{2N}} ds, \quad \theta^* \leq t \leq \theta.$$

Using Corollary IV.4.13 we obtain

$$(3) = - \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \int_t^\theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(x(t), \Phi(s, x(t), \alpha), \alpha) \dot{x}_k(t) ds - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_t^\theta \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial s}(s, x(t), \alpha) \dot{x}_k(t) ds + R_1$$

where R_1 satisfies (IV.4.58).

It follows that

$$(3) = -(2) - \sum_{k=1}^n \dot{x}_k(t) (\Phi_k(\theta, x(t), \alpha) - \Phi_k(t, x(t), \alpha)) + R_1.$$

Now

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \dot{x}_k(t) \Phi_k(t, x(t), \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k(t) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x(t), \xi(t)) = 2p(\alpha).$$

Therefore we obtain,

$$F'(t) = (1) - (2) - (3) + p(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \dot{x}_k(t) \Phi_k(\theta, x(t), \alpha) + R_1.$$

Now by Theorem IV.4.10 (iv),

$$\operatorname{Im} \Phi_k(\theta, x(t), \alpha) = \frac{x_k(t) - x_k(\theta)}{1 + 4\theta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\delta}) \frac{|x_k(t) - x_k(\theta)|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

Since $\dot{x}(t)$ is uniformly bounded we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} F'(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{|x(t) - x(\theta)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} + G(t) \quad \text{with} \\ |G(t)| &\leq C \sqrt{\delta} \frac{\theta - t}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} + C_N \int_t^\theta \frac{(s - t)^N}{\langle s \rangle^{2N}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating between θ^* and θ we obtain

$$(IV.4.59) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} F(\theta^*) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x(\theta^*) - x(\theta)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| \leq C' \sqrt{\delta} \frac{(\theta - \theta^*)^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} + C_N \int_{\theta^*}^\theta \int_t^\theta \frac{(s - t)^N}{\langle s \rangle^{2N}} ds dt.$$

Let us call I (resp. II) the first (resp. the second) term in the right hand side of (IV.4.59). By Lemma IV.4.17 we have

$$(IV.4.60) \quad |I| \leq C \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

Now

$$|II| \leq C'_N \int_{\theta^*}^\theta \left(\int_{\theta^*}^s (s - t)^N dt \right) \frac{ds}{(1 + s)^{2N}} \leq C''_N \int_{\theta^*}^\theta \frac{(s - \theta^*)^{N+1}}{(1 + s)^{2N}} ds.$$

Now it follows from Lemma IV.4.17 and (IV.4.40) that $\theta - \theta^* \leq 2\delta \langle \theta \rangle \leq 2\delta(1 + \theta)$ which means that $(1 - 2\delta)\theta \leq \theta^* + 2\delta$. Since $2\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\theta \leq 2\theta^* + 1$. It is then easy to see that the function $s \mapsto \frac{(s - \theta^*)^{N+1}}{(1 + s)^{2N}}$ is increasing on (θ^*, θ) . Therefore

$$|II| \leq C_N \frac{(\theta - \theta^*)^{N+2}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} \leq C_N (\theta - \theta^*)^2 \frac{(2\delta)^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Taking $N = 2$ and using Lemma IV.4.17 (i) and (iii) we obtain

$$(IV.4.61) \quad |II| \leq C \delta^2 \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}.$$

It follows from (IV.4.59) to (IV.4.61) and from (IV.4.53), (IV.4.56), (IV.4.57) that

$$\operatorname{Im} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\delta} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}\right)$$

which is precisely the claim of point (vii) of Proposition IV.4.14.

Case 3.2 $(\theta, x) \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$, $x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > \frac{c_0}{3} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$.

According to Lemma IV.4.17 (iii) we have

$$(IV.4.62) \quad \begin{cases} |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 10 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2\delta \langle \theta \rangle \\ |x| \leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 15 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \delta \langle \theta \rangle. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand if y belongs to the union of the two segments $[0, x]$ and $[0, x(\theta^*, \alpha)]$ then (y, θ) belongs to Ω_δ , the set (defined in (IV.1.4)) on which Φ is defined. Indeed, by (IV.4.40), if $s \in (0, 1)$ then $s x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq s \cdot \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle s x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. Moreover $|s x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |s x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq s |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + (1 - s) |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|$. Since we are in case 3.2 we have by

Lemma IV.4.17, $|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 10|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$. On the other hand, if $s \in (0, 1)$ we have, by (IV.4.49), $sx(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 0$. Moreover $|sx(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| + (1-s)|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| + 2(1-s)|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 10|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$, by Lemma IV.4.17, (iii).

Therefore in the present case we can set

$$(IV.4.63) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 x \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds - \int_0^1 x(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \Phi(\theta, sx(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds \\ &\quad - \int_{\theta^*}^\theta p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) ds + \theta^* p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} \alpha_\xi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to show that φ satisfies all the requirements of Proposition IV.4.14.

The point (i) is empty since $\theta = 0$ does not belong to this part of $\mathcal{O}_\delta$. Let us check (ii). We have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 \Phi_j(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds + \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n s x_k \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds.$$

By Theorem IV.4.10 and (IV.4.62) we have

$$(IV.4.64) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, sx, \alpha) - \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k}(\theta, sx, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|sx - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}} \leq C'_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 \Phi_j(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds + \int_0^1 s \frac{d}{ds} (\Phi_j(\theta, sx, \alpha)) ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}\right).$$

Integrating by parts and using the bound $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus (ii) is proved. Let us prove (iii). We have

$$(IV.4.65) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \underbrace{\int_0^1 x \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\int_0^1 x(\theta^*, \alpha) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, sx(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds}_{(2)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha))}_{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary IV.4.13 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}(sx, \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \int_0^1 x_k(\theta, sx, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\ell}(sx, \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x_k}(\theta, sx, \alpha) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using again (IV.4.64) we obtain,

$$(1) = - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} (p(sx, \Phi(\theta, sx, \alpha))) ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{2N}}\right).$$

Finally, since $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$, we have

$$(IV.4.66) \quad (1) = p(0, \Phi(\theta, 0, \alpha)) - p(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}\right).$$

By exactly the same computation (using (IV.4.62)) we obtain

$$(IV.4.67) \quad (2) = p(0, \Phi(\theta, 0, \alpha)) - p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}\right).$$

So using (IV.4.65) to (IV.4.67) we derive the point (iii). The last non trivial point to be proved is the point (vi).

Using the expression of $\text{Im } \Phi$ given by Theorem IV.4.10, (IV.4.62) and (IV.4.59) to (IV.4.61) which are valid also in the Case 3.2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + 4\theta^2} (|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 - |x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 - |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 \\ &\quad + |x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\delta} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly what is needed.

To finish the proof of Proposition IV.4.14 we must show that the phases φ which have been constructed by the formulas (IV.4.41), (IV.4.43), (IV.4.53), (IV.4.63) in different regions can be matched in only one phase. We begin by a Lemma.

Lemma IV.4.18 *Let $I = [\frac{c_0}{10}, \frac{c_0}{2}]$ and let us consider the function on $[0, +\infty[\times I$,*

$$g(s, c) = x(s, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi - c \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

(i) *For all c in I the function $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}, s \mapsto g(s, c)$ is strictly increasing.*

(ii) *For all c in I there exists a unique $\theta(c) > 0$ such that*

$$g(\theta(c), c) = 0.$$

(iii) *The function $I \rightarrow [0, +\infty[, c \mapsto \theta(c)$ is strictly increasing.*

Moreover we have the following estimates

$$(iv) \quad \frac{3}{2} |\theta(c) - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| \leq |x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 3 |\theta(c) - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi|.$$

$$(v) \quad |\theta(c) - \theta^*| \geq \frac{c_0}{120}.$$

(vi) *For all x in $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ and c in $[\frac{c_0}{3}, \frac{c_0}{2}]$,*

$$|x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| \leq 4 |x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)|.$$

(vii) If $c \in [\frac{c_0}{3}, \frac{c_0}{2}]$ we have

$$\frac{c}{10} \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle \leq |\theta(c) - \theta^*| \leq 4c \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle.$$

Proof

(i) We have $\frac{\partial g}{\partial s}(s, c) = \dot{x}(s, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi - c \frac{x(s, \alpha) \cdot \dot{x}(s, \alpha)}{\langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle} |\alpha_\xi| = 2|\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + c_0)$. Thus $\frac{\partial g}{\partial s}(s, c) \geq \frac{1}{10}$ if ε and c_0 are small enough.

(ii) It follows from above that $g(s, c) \geq \frac{1}{10}s + g(0, c)$ so $g(s, c) \rightarrow +\infty$ if $s \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover $g(0, c) = \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi - c \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| < 0$. Therefore there exists a unique $\theta(c)$ such that $g(\theta(c), c) = 0$ and $c \mapsto \theta(c)$ is C^∞ . Differentiating this equality with respect to c we obtain

$$\theta'(c) \frac{\partial g}{\partial s}(\theta(c), c) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial c}(\theta(c), c) = 0.$$

By the above computation of $\frac{\partial g}{\partial s}$ we can write

$$\theta'(c) = \frac{\langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|}{2|\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + c_0)}$$

which proves (iii). Now we have

$$x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha) = \int_{\theta^*}^{\theta(c)} x(s, \alpha) ds = 2\alpha_\xi(\theta(c) - \theta^*) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\theta(c) - \theta^*|)$$

from which (iv) follows easily. Let us prove (v). By definition of $\theta(c)$ and θ^* we can write

$$(IV.4.68) \quad (x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi = c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \geq \frac{c_0}{20}$$

so by (iv),

$$\frac{c_0}{20} \leq 3|\theta(c) - \theta^*| \cdot |\alpha_\xi| \leq 6|\theta(c) - \theta^*|.$$

The first inequality in (vi) being trivial let us prove the second one. We write

$$|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 = \underbrace{|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|^2 + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta(c), \alpha)|^2}_{(1)} + \underbrace{2(x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot (x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta(c), \alpha))}_{(2)}.$$

We have

$$(2) = 2(x - x(\theta^*, \alpha))[2(\theta^* - \theta(c))\alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\theta^* - \theta(c)|)].$$

It follows from (iv) that

$$(2) = -4(\theta(c) - \theta^*)x \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)), \quad \text{where } \theta(c) - \theta^* \geq 0.$$

Now in $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ we have $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. It follows that

$$x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Using (IV.4.68) we obtain

$$x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10c} (x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{c_0}{10} |x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi|,$$

so we will have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &\geq -\frac{2c_0}{5c} |x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| |\theta(c) - \theta^*| - \frac{2c_0}{5} |x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| |\theta(c) - \theta^*| - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)) \\ (2) &\geq -\frac{4c_0}{15c} |x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|^2 - \frac{8c_0}{30} |x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| |x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)) \\ (2) &\geq \left(-\frac{4c_0}{15c} - \frac{4c_0}{30} \right) |x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|^2 - \frac{2}{15} c_0 |x - x(\theta(c), \alpha)|^2 - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(1)). \end{aligned}$$

If $c \geq \frac{c_0}{3}$ then $\frac{4c_0}{15c} \leq \frac{4}{5}$ so we obtain

$$(1) + (2) \geq \frac{1}{10} (|x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|^2 + |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2)$$

if c_0 is small enough. This implies (vi). Let us prove (vii). We have

$$x(\theta(c), \alpha) = x(\theta^*, \alpha) + 2(\theta(c) - \theta^*) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

It follows that

$$c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| = x(\theta(c), \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 2(\theta(c) - \theta^*) |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

so

$$\frac{1}{2} |\theta(c) - \theta^*| \leq c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle \leq 5 |\theta(c) - \theta^*|.$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle &\leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta(c), \alpha)| \\ &\leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle + 3 |\theta(c) - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle + 6c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle + 12c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle \leq 2 \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle$ if c_0 is small enough. By the same way $\langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle \leq 2 \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle$. Thus we obtain (vii). $\square$

Now let us set

$$(IV.4.69) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \quad |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{40} \langle \theta \rangle \right\} \\ \bar{\theta} = \theta\left(\frac{c_0}{2}\right), \quad \theta' = \theta\left(\frac{c_0}{10}\right). \end{cases}$$

In the beginning of this Section we have constructed the different φ assuming $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$, $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{5} \langle \theta \rangle$.

In the proof of Proposition IV.4.14 we have constructed

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &\text{ when } \theta \in [0, \bar{\theta}] \text{ and } |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle, & (\text{case 1}) \\ \varphi_2 &\text{ when } \theta \in [0, \bar{\theta}] \text{ and } |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta, \alpha)|, & (\text{case 2}) \\ \varphi_4 &\text{ when } \theta \in [\theta' + \infty[\text{ and } |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle, & (\text{case 3.1}) \\ \varphi_5 &\text{ when } \theta \in [\theta' + \infty[\text{ and } |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|, & (\text{case 3.2}). \end{aligned}$$

We are going first to match φ_1 and φ_2 , φ_4 and φ_5 . The matched phase will be defined on a smallest set than $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ defined in (IV.4.40) namely for (θ, x) where $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta)$ (see (IV.4.69)). We show first that the point $(\theta, 0)$ belongs to the sets where φ_1 and φ_2 are defined. According to (IV.4.40) and what we recalled above it will be the case if $|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{5} \langle \theta \rangle$. We may assume that the domain where φ_2 is defined contains points (θ, x) where $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta)$ otherwise we don't match φ_1 and φ_2 and we take only φ_1 . So let (θ, x) be such $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{40} \langle \theta \rangle$ and $|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|$. Then $|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{40} \langle \theta \rangle$ which implies our claim.

Now it follows from (IV.4.41) and (IV.4.43) that

$$(IV.4.70) \quad \varphi_1(\theta, 0, \alpha) = \varphi_2(\theta, 0, \alpha).$$

By the same way we may assume that the domain where φ_5 is defined contains points (θ, x) where $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta)$. So let x be such that $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{40} \langle \theta \rangle$ and $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$. Then we write, using Lemma IV.4.18,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(\theta, \alpha)| &\leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|, \\ |x(\theta, \alpha)| &\leq 2(|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|) \leq 8|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{5} \langle \theta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So the point $(\theta, 0)$ belongs also to the sets where φ_4 and φ_5 are defined and by (IV.4.53), (IV.4.63) we have

$$(IV.4.71) \quad \varphi_4(\theta, 0, \alpha) = \varphi_5(\theta, 0, \alpha).$$

Let us match φ_1 and φ_2 . Let $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta$, $\theta \in [0, \bar{\theta}]$ be such that

$$(IV.4.72) \quad \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle.$$

We are going to show then that

$$(IV.4.73) \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists C_N > 0 : |\varphi_1(\theta, x, \alpha) - \varphi_2(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Indeed let $\gamma(\sigma, x)$ be a regular path such that

$$(IV.4.74) \quad \gamma(0, x) = 0, \quad \gamma(1, x) = x$$

and there exists $K \geq 0$ such that for all σ in $[0, 1]$,

$$(IV.4.75) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}(\sigma, x) \right| \leq K |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|$$

$$(IV.4.76) \quad \gamma(\sigma, x) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle \gamma(\sigma, x) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$$

$$(IV.4.77) \quad |\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{5} \langle \theta \rangle$$

$$(IV.4.78) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \geq |x(\theta, \alpha)| \text{ then,} \\ |x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \end{cases}$$

$$(IV.4.79) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha)| \text{ then,} \\ |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha)|. \end{cases}$$

The construction of this path will be made at the end of this Section.

It follows from (IV.4.78) or (IV.4.79) that

$$\frac{1}{2} |x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle.$$

We write for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\varphi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) = \varphi_j(\theta, 0, \alpha) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}(\sigma, x) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x}(\theta, \gamma(\sigma, x), \alpha) d\sigma.$$

Using Proposition IV.4.14 (ii) and (IV.4.75) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) = \varphi_j(\theta, 0, \alpha) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}(\sigma, x) \Phi(\theta, \gamma(\sigma, x), \alpha) d\sigma + R_j, \\ |R_j| \leq C_N \int_0^1 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{|\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N} d\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Then by (IV.4.72), (IV.4.78) or (IV.4.79) and (IV.4.70) we obtain (IV.4.73).

Now let $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $0 \leq \chi_0 \leq 1$ and $\chi_0(\sigma) = 1$ if $|\sigma| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\chi_0(\sigma) = 0$ if $|\sigma| \geq 1$. Let us set

$$\chi_1(\theta, x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle}\right).$$

Now for x in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta)$ we set

$$(IV.4.80) \quad \varphi_3(\theta, x, \alpha) = \chi_1(\theta, x) \varphi_1(\theta, x, \alpha) + (1 - \chi_1(\theta, x)) \varphi_2(\theta, x, \alpha).$$

On the support of χ_1 we have $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle$ thus φ_1 is well defined. On the support of $1 - \chi_1(\theta, x)$ we have $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta, \alpha)|$ so φ_2 is well defined. Therefore φ_3 is well defined when $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta)$. We show now that φ_3 satisfies all the conditions in Proposition IV.4.14. We have

$$(IV.4.81) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \left[\chi_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} + (1 - \chi_1) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial x} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \right](\theta, x, \alpha).$$

On the support of $\frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial x}$ we have $|(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$ by (IV.4.73). Moreover we have by (IV.4.72)

$$\left| \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial x}(\theta, x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle} \leq \frac{C}{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[\chi_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} + (1 - \chi_1) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} - \Phi \right] (\theta, x, \alpha) \right| &\leq |\chi_1| \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} (\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \\ &\quad + (1 - \chi_1) \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} (\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the claim (ii) in Proposition IV.4.14 holds for φ_3 . The point (iv) follows from (IV.4.81) and (IV.4.73) for $N = 1$ which gives $|(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C \delta$. The points (v) and (vi) are straightforward. Let us show (iii). We have

$$\frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial \theta} (\theta, x) = \left[-\frac{\dot{x}(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle} - \frac{x(\theta, \alpha) \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle^3} (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \right] \frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial \sigma} (\dots).$$

Since $\dot{x}(\theta, \alpha)$ is bounded we deduce from (IV.4.73) that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial \theta} (\theta, x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}.$$

It follows then that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial \theta} - \left(\chi_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \theta} + (1 - \chi_1) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \theta} \right) \right) (\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

Then (iii) follows easily.

Let us now take $\theta \in [\theta', +\infty[$ and $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\delta(\theta)$. Assume that

$$(IV.4.82) \quad \frac{1}{2} |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle.$$

We shall show that

$$(IV.4.83) \quad |(\varphi_4 - \varphi_5)(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N} |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|.$$

Let us take a path γ satisfying (IV.4.74), (IV.4.76), (IV.4.77) and

$$(IV.4.84) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \geq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \text{ then} \\ |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|, \end{cases}$$

$$(IV.4.85) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \text{ then} \\ |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|, \end{cases}$$

$$(IV.4.86) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma} (\sigma, x) \right| \leq K |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|.$$

Let us remark that in the two cases (IV.4.84) or (IV.4.85) we have

$$|\gamma(\sigma, x) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 2 |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 8 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|$$

by Lemma IV.4.18.

Then using the same method as before we obtain easily (IV.4.83). To match φ_4 and φ_5 we set

$$\chi_1(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)}{\langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle}\right)$$

and we deduce from (IV.4.83) that $\left|\frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial x}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|}$. Then we set

$$(IV.4.87) \quad \varphi_6(\theta, x, \alpha) = [\chi_1 \varphi_4 + (1 - \chi_1) \varphi_5](\theta, x, \alpha).$$

It is then easy to see that φ_6 satisfies all the requirements of Proposition IV.4.14.

Our last step is to match φ_3 and φ_6 . With the notation $\theta(c)$ introduced in Lemma IV.4.18 let us set

$$\theta_1 = \theta\left(\frac{11c_0}{30}\right), \quad \theta_2 = \theta\left(\frac{12c_0}{30}\right), \quad \theta_3 = \theta_0\left(\frac{14c_0}{30}\right).$$

We have therefore according to (IV.4.69)

$$\theta' < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \bar{\theta}.$$

Using (IV.4.87), the fact that $\chi_1(x(\theta^*, \alpha)) = 1$ and (IV.4.53) we get

$$(IV.4.88) \quad \varphi_6(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) = - \int_{\theta^*}^{\theta_2} p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) ds + \theta^* p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

On the other hand we have

$$(IV.4.89) \quad p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) = - \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial s}(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) + A + B$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= p(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \Phi(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)) - p\left(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial x}(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)\right) \\ B &= p\left(x(\theta^*, \alpha), \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial x}(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha)\right) + \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial s}(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By the estimates proved in Proposition IV.4.14 we have

$$(IV.4.90) \quad |A| + |B| \leq C_N \frac{|x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(s, \alpha)|^N}{\langle s \rangle^N} \leq C_N \frac{|x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta^* \rangle^N}.$$

Here we used the fact that for $s \in [\theta', \bar{\theta}]$ we have $\langle s \rangle \sim \langle \theta^* \rangle$ (see Lemma IV.4.17 (ii)) and $|x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(s, \alpha)| \sim |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|$ by Lemma IV.4.18.

It follows from (IV.4.88) and (IV.4.89) that

$$\varphi_6(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) = \int_{\theta^*}^{\theta_2} \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial s}(s, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \theta^* p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \int_{\theta^*}^{\theta_2} (|A| + |B|) ds.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(IV.4.91) \quad \varphi_6(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) = \varphi_3(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) - \varphi_3(\theta^*, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) + \theta^* p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + R$$

where by (IV.4.90)

$$(IV.4.92) \quad |R| \leq C_N \frac{|x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta^* \rangle^N}.$$

Now using (IV.4.80) and (IV.4.53) we have

$$\varphi_3(\theta^*, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) = \varphi_1(\theta^*, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) = \theta^* p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2,$$

so we obtain

$$(IV.4.93) \quad \varphi_6(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) = \varphi_3(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) + R$$

where R satisfies (IV.4.92).

Now let $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_3]$. We set

$$\mathcal{O}'_\delta(\theta) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{20} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta}{40} \langle \theta \rangle \right\}.$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{O}'_\delta(\theta)$. We can find a path γ joining x to $x(\theta^*, \alpha)$ such that $\gamma \subset \mathcal{O}'_\delta(\theta)$ and there exists $K \geq 0$ such that

$$(IV.4.94) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}(\sigma, x) \right| \leq K |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|, |\gamma(\sigma, s) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| \leq K |x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|$$

Indeed if $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ we set

$$\gamma(\sigma, x) = \sigma x + (1 - \sigma) x(\theta^*, \alpha)$$

and Lemma IV.4.16 show that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\sigma, x) \cdot \alpha_\xi &= \sigma x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{20} \sigma (1 + |x|) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{20} (\sigma + \sigma |x| + (1 - \sigma) |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{20} \sqrt{2} (1 + |\gamma(\sigma, x)|) |\alpha_\xi| \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle \gamma(\sigma, x) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

If $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| > |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ we take γ to be the union of the two segments joining x and $x(\theta^*, \alpha)$ to 0 and we obtain with $y = x$ or $y = x(\theta^*, \alpha)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$t y \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq t \frac{c_0}{20} \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq \frac{c_0}{20} \langle t y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Since 0 belongs to $\mathcal{O}'_\delta(\theta)$ these two segments are contained in $\mathcal{O}'_\delta(\theta)$.

Let us prove the estimate on γ given in (IV.4.94).

If $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma x + (1 - \sigma) x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| &\leq \sigma |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + (1 - \sigma) |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| \\ &\leq K |x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|. \end{aligned}$$

If $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| > |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |tx - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| &\leq t|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + (1-t)|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| \\ &\leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| \\ &\leq K|x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| \end{aligned}$$

again by Lemma IV.4.18. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |tx(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| &\leq |x(\theta_2, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + (1-t)|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \\ &\leq K|x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the estimate on $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}$, if $|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ it is straightforward by Lemma IV.4.18. If $|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ the same Lemma shows that $|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq K|\theta^* - \theta_2| \leq K|x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|$ and $|x| \leq |x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)| + |x(\theta_2, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq K'|x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|$. Thus (IV.4.94) is entirely proved.

Now for $j = 3$ or 6 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(\theta_2, x, \alpha) &= \varphi_j(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}(\sigma, x) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x}(\theta_2, \gamma(\sigma, x), \alpha) d\sigma \\ &= \varphi_j(\theta_2, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \sigma}(\sigma, x) \Phi(\theta_2, \gamma(\sigma, x), \alpha) d\sigma + R_j \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|R_j| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta_2 \rangle^N}$$

by Proposition IV.4.14 and (IV.4.94). By (IV.4.91) and (IV.4.92) we have

$$(IV.4.95) \quad |(\varphi_3 - \varphi_6)(\theta_2, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta_2, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta_2 \rangle^N}.$$

Now for $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_3]$ and $j = 3$ or 6 we can write

$$\varphi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) = \varphi_j(\theta_2, x, \alpha) + \int_0^1 (\theta - \theta_2) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial \theta}(\sigma\theta + (1-\sigma)\theta_2, x, \alpha) d\sigma$$

so by Proposition IV.4.14 and Lemma IV.4.18 we have

$$(IV.4.96) \quad \varphi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) = \varphi_j(\theta_2, x, \alpha) - \int_0^1 (\theta - \theta_2) p(x, \Phi(\sigma\theta + (1-\sigma)\theta_2, x, \alpha)) d\sigma + A,$$

where

$$|A| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\sigma\theta + (1-\sigma)\theta_2, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \sigma\theta + (1-\sigma)\theta_2 \rangle^N}.$$

Proposition IV.4.19

$$(IV.4.97) \quad \begin{cases} \text{For all } \theta \text{ in } [\theta_1, \theta_3] \text{ and all } \sigma \text{ in } [0, 1] \text{ we have} \\ (i) \quad \langle \sigma\theta + (1-\sigma)\theta_2 \rangle \geq K_1 \langle \theta \rangle \\ (ii) \quad |x - x(\sigma\theta + (1-\sigma)\theta_2, \alpha)| \leq K_2 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|. \end{cases}$$

Proof

Case 1 : $\theta \in [\theta_2, \theta_3]$. We write with $\theta^\sigma = \sigma\theta + (1 - \sigma)\theta_2$,

$$(IV.4.98) \quad \begin{cases} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 = I + II & \text{where} \\ I = |x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)|^2 + |x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 \\ II = 2(x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)) \cdot (x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)). \end{cases}$$

Since $x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) = \int_{\theta^\sigma}^{\theta} \dot{x}(s, \alpha) ds = 2(\theta - \theta^\sigma)\alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|\theta - \theta^\sigma|)$ we have $II = -4(\theta - \theta^\sigma)(x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon I)$. Now in $\mathcal{O}'_\delta$ we have $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{20} \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$; moreover by Lemma IV.4.18 (i) we have $x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq \frac{12c_0}{30} \langle x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ since $\theta^\sigma \geq \theta_2 = \theta(\frac{12c_0}{30})$. It follows that $II \geq -\frac{c_0}{5}(\theta - \theta^\sigma)\langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{8c_0}{5}\langle x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon I)$. Therefore we obtain

$$II \geq -\frac{c_0}{5}(\theta - \theta^\sigma)\langle x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| - \frac{c_0}{5}(\theta - \theta^\sigma)|x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{8c_0}{5}\langle x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon I).$$

The second term in the right hand side can be bounded by $\frac{c_0}{10}I$. Using (IV.4.98) we obtain

$$|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2 = I + II \geq \left(1 - \frac{c_0}{10} - \varepsilon K\right)I + \frac{7c_0}{5}\langle x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Taking c_0 and ε small enough we obtain $I \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2$ which implies since $|\theta - \theta^\sigma| \leq 2|x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)| \leq 2\sqrt{I}$, that $|\theta - \theta^\sigma| \leq 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2\delta\langle \theta \rangle$ so $\langle \theta \rangle \leq \langle \theta^\sigma \rangle + 2\delta\langle \theta \rangle$ and therefore $\langle \theta^\sigma \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\langle \theta \rangle$ since δ is small. This proves the claim (i) of (IV.4.97).

To prove (ii) we just use the fact that

$$|x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)| \leq 3|\theta - \theta^\sigma| \leq 6|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Case 2 : $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$.

The point (i) in (IV.4.97) is obvious in this case since $\sigma\theta + (1 - \sigma)\theta_2 \geq \theta$.

By the same computation as above, since $\theta \geq \theta_1$, we will have

$$(IV.4.99) \quad \begin{cases} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \geq \frac{1}{2}(|x - x(\theta_1, \alpha)| + |x(\theta_1, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)|), \\ \frac{1}{2}|\theta - \theta_1| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta_1, \alpha)| \leq 6|\theta - \theta_1|. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand we claim that we have

$$(IV.4.100) \quad \frac{9c_0}{30}\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq 3|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Indeed we have

$$(x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi = x \cdot \alpha_\xi - x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{20}\langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| - \frac{11c_0}{30}\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$$

by Lemma IV.4.18 (i) since $\theta \geq \theta_1 = \theta(\frac{11c_0}{30})$. Thus

$$(x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{20}\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + \frac{c_0}{20}|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| - \frac{11c_0}{30}\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{9c_0}{30} \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq \frac{c_0}{20} |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| |\alpha_\xi| - (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi$$

from which (IV.4.100) follows easily since $|\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$ and $\frac{c_0}{20} |\alpha_\xi| \leq 1$. Now $\theta^\sigma = \sigma\theta + (1 - \sigma)\theta_2$ belongs to $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$ for $\sigma \in [0, 1]$. Since by Lemma IV.4.18 (iii) the function $\theta(c)$ is strictly increasing there exists a unique $c_\sigma \in [\frac{11c_0}{30}, \frac{12c_0}{30}]$ such that $\theta^\sigma = \theta(c_\sigma)$. Now we have $|x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta_1, \alpha)| + |x(\theta_1, \alpha) - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)|$ which implies

$$(IV.4.101) \quad |x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)| \leq |x - x(\theta_1, \alpha)| + 6 \left| \theta\left(\frac{11c_0}{30}\right) - \theta(c_\sigma) \right|.$$

We claim that

$$(IV.4.102) \quad \left| \theta\left(\frac{11c_0}{30}\right) - \theta(c_\sigma) \right| \leq \frac{c_0}{15} \sup_{s \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]} \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle.$$

To see this we compute $\theta'(c)$. Recall (see Lemma IV.4.18) that $g(\theta(c), c) = 0$ for $c \in [\frac{c_0}{10}, \frac{c_0}{2}]$. It follows that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial s}(\theta(c), c) \theta'(c) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial c}(\theta(c), c) = 0$. Now we have $\frac{\partial g}{\partial c}(s, c) = -\langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial s}(s, c) = \dot{x}(s, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi - c \frac{x(s, \alpha) \cdot \dot{x}(s, \alpha)}{\langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle} |\alpha_\xi|$, which shows that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial s}(s, c) = 2(|\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + c))$. Therefore we have $|\theta'(c)| \leq 2 \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle$ and we obtain (IV.4.102). The last step consists in showing that

$$(IV.4.103) \quad \sup_{\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]} \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle \leq 2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle.$$

To see this let us set $h(s) = \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle$. Then $h'(s) = \frac{x(s, \alpha) \cdot \dot{x}(s, \alpha)}{\langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle}$. Thus $h'(s) = \frac{2x(s, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi}{\langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. Now since $s \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ we have $\frac{11c_0}{30} \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq x(s, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{12c_0}{30} \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ so $0 < h'(s) \leq 2c_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ and therefore if $s_1, s_2 \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$, $|h(s_1) - h(s_2)| \leq (2c_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) |s_1 - s_2|$. Let us take $s_1 = \theta(c)$, $s_2 = \theta(c')$ with $\frac{11c_0}{30} \leq c, c' \leq \frac{12c_0}{30}$. Then

$$(IV.4.104) \quad |\langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle - \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle| \leq (2c_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) |\theta(c) - \theta(c')|.$$

On the other hand

$$x(\theta(c), \alpha) - x(\theta(c'), \alpha) = 2(\theta(c) - \theta(c')) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\theta(c) - \theta(c')|)$$

which implies that

$$x(\theta(c), \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi - x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 2(\theta(c) - \theta(c')) |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\theta(c) - \theta(c')|)$$

and, by definition of $\theta(c)$ (see Lemma IV.4.18)

$$[c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle - c' \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle] |\alpha_\xi| = 2(\theta(c) - \theta(c')) |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon |\theta(c) - \theta(c')|).$$

Combining with (IV.4.104) we obtain

$$|\langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle - \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle| \leq 2(2c_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) [c \langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle - c' \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle].$$

Since c_0 and ε are small enough we obtain

$$|\langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle - \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle| \leq 2|c - c'| \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle \leq \frac{c_0}{15} \langle x(\theta(c'), \alpha) \rangle$$

which shows that all the $\langle x(\theta(c), \alpha) \rangle$ are equivalent in $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$, more precisely taking $s = \theta(c'), \theta = \theta(c)$ we obtain

$$\sup_{s \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]} \langle x(s, \alpha) \rangle \leq 2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle,$$

which is (IV.4.103).

Finally using (IV.4.101), (IV.4.99), (IV.4.102), (IV.4.103) and (IV.4.100) we obtain

$$|x - x(\theta^\sigma, \alpha)| \leq K |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|$$

which is Proposition IV.4.19 (iii) in the case 2. □

Now using Proposition IV.4.19, (IV.4.95), (IV.4.96) we obtain

$$(IV.4.105) \quad |(\varphi_3 - \varphi_6)(\theta_2, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$$

$$(IV.4.106) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) = \varphi_j(\theta_2, x, \alpha) - \int_0^1 p(x, \Phi(\theta^\sigma, x, \alpha)) d\sigma + A, & j = 3, 6, \\ |A| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}. \end{cases}$$

Let now $\chi_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\chi_2(s) = 1$ if $s \geq 1$, $\chi_2(s) = 0$ if $s \leq 0$ and set $\chi_3(\theta) = \chi_2(\frac{\theta - \theta_1}{\theta_3 - \theta_1})$. Then let us set

$$(IV.4.107) \quad \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \chi_3(\theta) \varphi_3(\theta, x, \alpha) + (1 - \chi_3(\theta)) \varphi_6(\theta, x, \alpha).$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \chi_3(\theta) \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + (1 - \chi_3(\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi_6}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) + \frac{\partial \chi_3}{\partial \theta}(\theta) (\varphi_3 - \varphi_6)(\theta, x, \alpha).$$

Now we deduce from (IV.4.105) and (IV.4.106) that on the support of $\frac{\partial \chi_3}{\partial \theta}$ we have

$$|(\varphi_3 - \varphi_6)(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^{N+1}}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}.$$

By Proposition IV.4.14 for φ_3 and φ_6 we have for $j = 3$ or 6 ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$$

therefore this is also true for φ and since for $j = 3$ or 6 ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x, \alpha) - p(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \right| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N},$$

the function φ defined in (IV.4.107) satisfies all the requirements of Proposition IV.4.14.

The proof of Proposition IV.4.14 will be therefore complete when we will construct the path $\gamma(\sigma, x)$ satisfying (IV.4.74) to (IV.4.79).

Construction of $\gamma(\sigma, x)$

Let us set $a = x(\theta, \alpha)$. We first show that we can join any point x to a point $a - |x - a| \alpha_\xi$ by path remaining in the set

$$\left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{c_0}{10} \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \quad |y - a| = |x - a| \right\}.$$

Making rotations we may without loss of generality assume that $\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} = (-1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $a = (a_1, a_2, 0, \dots, 0)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, 0, \dots, 0)$. Therefore it will be sufficient to restrict ourselves to the dimension three. We will construct our path on planes so we begin by the dimension two. Let us set with $D \in]0, 1[$, $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y - a|^2 = |x - a|^2 \}, \\ \mathcal{H} &= \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -y_1 = D \sqrt{k^2 + y_2^2} \}, \\ \mathcal{D} &= \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -y_1 \leq D \sqrt{k^2 + y_2^2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma IV.4.20 $\mathcal{D}^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{D}$ is strictly convex.

Proof This follows easily from the strict convexity of the function $g(t) = \sqrt{k^2 + t^2}$. □

Lemma IV.4.21 (i) Let $b \in \mathcal{D}$ and $u = (1, y)$ with $|y| \leq 1$.

Then for all $t > 0$ we have $b + t u \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{H}$.

(ii) Let $b \in \overline{\mathcal{D}^c}$ and $v = (-1, y)$ with $|y| \leq 1$. Then for all $t > 0$ we have $b + t v \in \mathcal{D}^c$.

Proof

(i) Let $b = (b_1, b_2)$ and $h(t) = b_1 + t + D \sqrt{k^2 + (b_2 + t y)^2}$. Then $h(0) = b_1 + D \sqrt{k^2 + b_2^2} \geq 0$ and $h'(t) = 1 + \frac{D(b_2 + t y) y}{\sqrt{k^2 + (b_2 + t y)^2}}$. Since $D|y| < 1$ we have $\frac{D|b_2 + t y||y|}{\sqrt{k^2 + (b_2 + t y)^2}} < 1$. It follows that $h'(t) > 0$ so $h(t) > h(0) \geq 0$.

The proof of (ii) is the same. □

Assume that $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{H}$ contains at least two different points (otherwise $\mathcal{C} \setminus (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{H})$ would be connected). Let us set

$$(IV.4.108) \quad \begin{cases} M_\theta = a + |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi[, \\ \theta_1 = \inf\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi[: M_\theta \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{H}\}, \\ \theta_2 = \sup\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi[: M_\theta \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{H}\}. \end{cases}$$

Remark IV.4.22 (i) If $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}] \cup [2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}, 2\pi]$ we have $\overrightarrow{aM_\theta} = |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\cos \theta > 0$ and $|\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}| \leq 1$. Since $a \in \mathcal{D}$ Lemma IV.4.21 (i) implies that $M_\theta \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{H}$. It follows that we have

$$\frac{\pi}{4} < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

(ii) We cannot have $\theta_1 \in]\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\theta_2 \in [\frac{3\pi}{2}, 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}[$. Indeed if this was true then by Lemma IV.4.20 the segment $]M_{\theta_1}, M_{\theta_2}[$ would be in $\mathcal{D}^c$. But $\sin \theta_1 > 0$ and $\sin \theta_2 < 0$ so there exists $t \in]0, 1[$ such that $t \sin \theta_1 + (1 - t) \sin \theta_2 = 0$; then $N_t = t M_{\theta_1} + (1 - t) M_{\theta_2} = a + |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} t \cos \theta_1 + (1 - t) \cos \theta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = a + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha > 0$ since $\cos \theta_1 > 0$ and $\cos \theta_2 > 0$. By Lemma IV.4.21 (i) $N_t \in \mathcal{D}$ since $a \in \mathcal{D}$ which is in contradiction with $N_t \in]M_{\theta_1}, M_{\theta_2}[\subset \mathcal{D}^c$.

(iii) If $\theta_1 \in]\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ then for all θ in $] \theta_1, \pi]$ we have $M_\theta \in \mathcal{D}^c$ which implies $\theta_2 \in]\pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$ by (ii). Indeed we have $\overrightarrow{M_{\theta_1}M_\theta} = |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta - \cos \theta_1 \\ \sin \theta - \sin \theta_1 \end{pmatrix}$; since for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\cos \theta$ is decreasing we have $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_1 < 0$ and

$$\left| \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \theta_1}{\cos \theta - \cos \theta_1} \right| = \left| \cot g \left(\frac{\theta + \theta_1}{2} \right) \right| \leq 1$$

since $\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \frac{\theta + \theta_1}{2} \leq \frac{3\pi}{4}$. Then Lemma IV.4.21 (ii) implies that $M_\theta \in \mathcal{D}^c$.

It follows from Remark IV.4.22, (i), (ii), (iii) that we have else $\theta_1 \in]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$ or $\theta_2 \in]\pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}[$. By symmetry it is enough to consider one case. Therefore we shall assume in the sequel that $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$, $\theta_1 \in]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$. We claim that

$$(IV.4.109) \quad M_\theta \in \mathcal{D}^c \text{ for all } \theta \text{ in }]\theta_1, \theta_2[.$$

We split the proof in two cases.

Case 1 : $\theta_2 \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}$.

Since $\sin \theta$ is decreasing on $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$ we have

if $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}$, $\sin \theta_1 > \sin \theta > \sin \theta_2$,

if $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_1 < \theta < 3\pi - \theta_2 \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}$, $\sin \theta_1 > \sin \theta > \sin(3\pi - \theta_2) = \sin \theta_2$.

Let us set

$$N_t = t M_{\theta_1} + (1 - t) M_{\theta_2} = a + |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} t \cos \theta_1 + (1 - t) \cos \theta_2 \\ t \sin \theta_1 + (1 - t) \sin \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now there exists $t \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$t \sin \theta_1 + (1 - t) \sin \theta_2 = \sin \theta$$

and since $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$ we have $\theta = \text{Arc sin}(t \sin \theta_1 + (1 - t) \sin \theta_2) + \pi$. Then $\cos \theta = -\cos(\text{Arc sin}(t \sin \theta_1 + (1 - t) \sin \theta_2)) = -\sqrt{1 - (t \sin \theta_1 + (1 - t) \sin \theta_2)^2}$, $\cos \theta < -t\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta_1} - (1 - t)\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta_2} \leq$

$t \cos \theta_1 + (1 - t) \cos \theta_2$. Here we have used the strict convexity of the function $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$. Since $N_t \in \mathcal{D}^c$ and $M_\theta = N_t + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\alpha > 0$ (see (IV.4.108)) we deduce from Lemma IV.4.21 (ii) that $M_\theta \in \mathcal{D}^c$ which proves (IV.4.109) in case 1.

Case 2 : $\theta_2 > \frac{3\pi}{2}$ and $\theta < 3\pi - \theta_2$.

Since $\theta_2 < 2\pi$ we have $\theta > \pi$. Now by (IV.4.108),

$$\overrightarrow{M_{\theta_2} M_\theta} = |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta - \cos \theta_2 \\ \sin \theta - \sin \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\cos \theta$ is increasing for $\theta \in [\pi, 2\pi]$ we have $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_2 < 0$. Moreover, $\left| \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \theta_2}{\cos \theta - \cos \theta_2} \right| = \left| \cotg \frac{\theta + \theta_2}{2} \right| \leq 1$ since $\frac{\theta + \theta_2}{2} \geq \frac{3\pi}{2}$, $\theta \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$, $\theta_2 \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$ so $\frac{\theta + \theta_2}{2} \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}$. It follows from Lemma IV.4.21 (ii) that $M_\theta \in \mathcal{D}^c$ which proves (IV.4.109) in case 2.

We conclude that if $x \in \mathcal{C} \cup (\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{H})$ then $x = a + |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\theta \notin]\theta_1, \theta_2[$ and there exists a path joining the point x to the point $a + |x - a| \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with lenght less than $2\pi |x - a|$.

Construction of the path in dimension 3

We have $\alpha_\xi = (-1, 0, 0)$, $a = (a_1, a_2, 0)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $-a \leq D_0 \sqrt{1 + |a|^2}$, $-x_1 \leq D_0 \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ with $D_0 = \frac{c_0}{10}$. We first construct a path in the plane $y_3 = x_3$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= \left\{ (y_1, y_2, x_3) : -y_1 \leq D_0 \sqrt{1 + |x_3|^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (y_1, y_2, x_3) : -y_1 \leq \frac{D_0}{\sqrt{1 - D_0^2}} \sqrt{1 + |x_3|^2 + y_2^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since c_0 is small enough we have $\frac{D_0}{\sqrt{1 - D_0^2}} < 1$. By the same way we see that the point a is such that $-a_1 \leq \frac{D_0}{\sqrt{1 - D_0^2}} \sqrt{1 + a_2^2}$. Therefore $\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $x \in \mathcal{D}$, by the construction made in two dimensions there exists a path lying in the set $\{y : y_3 = x_3, |y - \tilde{a}| = |x - \tilde{a}| = \sqrt{(x_1 - a_1)^2 + (x_2 - a_2)^2}\}$ joining x to $z = (a_1 + \sqrt{(x_1 - a_1)^2 + (x_2 - a_2)^2}, a_2, x_3)$ of lenght smaller than $2\pi |x - \tilde{a}| \leq 2\pi |x - a|$.

Let us construct now a path in the plane $y_2 = a_2$. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= \left\{ y = (y_1, a_2, y_3) : -y_1 \leq D_0 \sqrt{1 + y_1^2 + a_2^2 + y_3^2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ y = (y_1, a_2, y_3) : -y_1 \leq \frac{D_0}{\sqrt{1 - D_0^2}} \sqrt{1 + a_2^2 + y_3^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $z \in \mathcal{D}$, $a \in \mathcal{D}$. There exists a path joining z to $(a_1 + |x - a|, a_2, 0)$ lying in the set $\{y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) : y_2 = a_2, |y - a| = |x - a|\}$ with lenght smaller than $2\pi |x - a|$.

Now to join 0 to x we join 0 to $z_1 = (a_1 + |a|, a_2, 0)$ then x to $z_2 = (a_1 + |x - a|, a_2, 0)$ and since the segment $[z_1, z_2]$ is included in $\mathcal{D}$ by Lemma IV.4.21 (i), the path joins 0 to x and its lenght is smaller

than $C(|x-a|+|a|)$. Now by (IV.4.78) we have $|a| \leq |x-a|$ or $|x-a| \leq |a| \leq 2|x-a|$, so the length of the path is smaller than $C|x-a| = c|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|$. Moreover $|\gamma(\sigma, x) - a| \leq 2|x-a| \leq \frac{\delta}{20} \langle \theta \rangle$ so (IV.4.77) is satisfied. Finally (IV.4.78) and (IV.4.79) are obviously satisfied.

This ends the proof of Proposition IV.4.14.

IV.5 The phase for small θ

We shall need the following precision on the phase when $|\theta| \leq 1$.

Theorem IV.5.1 *Let φ be the phase given by Theorem IV.1.2. Then one can find positive constants such that for $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ and $|\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$ one can write*

$$\varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - \theta |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \frac{i}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2}{1 + 2i\theta} + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + R(\theta, x, \alpha);$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_x} \right| &\leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\theta|), \quad \left| \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta|, \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_x^2} \right| &\leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\theta|), \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_x \partial \alpha_\xi} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta|, \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_\xi^2} \right| &\leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\partial_{\alpha_x}^{A_1} \partial_{\alpha_\xi}^{A_2} R(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq \begin{cases} C_{A_1} & \text{if } A_2 = 0 \\ C_{A_1, A_2} |\theta| & \text{if } |A_2| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof Let us introduce the following space of functions.

$$(IV.5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{E} = \{Z \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) : |\partial_t^\ell \partial_x^{A_1} \partial_\xi^{A_2} Z(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\ell, A_1, A_2} \varepsilon |t|^{1-\ell}, \text{ for all} \\ A_j \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ell = 0, 1, |t| \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |\xi| \leq 2 \text{ and } Z(0, x, \xi) = 0 \} \end{cases}$$

Let us also recall that Proposition III.2.1 gives the following description of the flow for $|t| \leq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\xi| \leq 3$.

$$(IV.5.2) \quad \begin{cases} x(t, x, \xi) = x + 2t\xi + r(t, x, \xi), \\ \xi(t, x, \xi) = \xi + \zeta(t, x, \xi), \\ z, \zeta \in \mathcal{E}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that, with $f = x$ or ξ , we have

$$(IV.5.3) \quad |\partial_t^\ell \partial_x^{A_1} \partial_\xi^{A_2} f(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\ell, A_1, A_2} \text{ if } \ell + |A_1| + |A_2| \geq 1.$$

Let us set now

$$g_j(\eta) = \chi_0\left(\frac{\eta}{\mu_0}\right) [(\xi_j - i x_j)(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) - (\alpha_\xi^j - i \alpha_x^j)]$$

where $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi_0(\eta) = 1$ if $|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\chi_0(\eta) = 0$ if $|\eta| \geq 1$ and $|y| \leq \delta$. Setting $x = y + x(\theta, \alpha)$, $\xi = \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha)$ and using (IV.5.2) we obtain

$$(IV.5.4) \quad g_j(\eta) = \chi_0(\eta) \left[(1 + 2i\theta) \eta_j - i y_j + (1 + 2i\theta) \zeta_j(\theta, \alpha) - i r_j(\theta, \alpha) \right. \\ \left. + (1 + 2i\theta) \zeta_j(-\theta, x, \xi) - i z_j(-\theta, x, \xi) \right].$$

We claim that we have the following estimates for $\ell = 0, 1$,

$$(IV.5.5) \quad |\partial_\theta^\ell \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\eta^\mu \partial_\alpha^A g_j| \leq \begin{cases} C_{\ell, \gamma, \mu} & \text{if } |A| = 0, \\ C_{\ell, \gamma, \mu, A} \varepsilon |\theta|^{1-\ell} & \text{if } |A| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

These estimates are obvious for the four first terms of g_j . So we are left with the estimate of

$$(1) = \partial_\theta^\ell \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\eta^\mu \partial_\alpha^A [Z(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), \eta + \xi(\theta, \alpha))], \quad Z \in \mathcal{E}.$$

To handle this term we shall make use of the Faa di Bruno formula given in Appendix VIII.1, with $F = Z$, $Y = (\theta, y, \eta, \alpha)$, $U_1(Y) = -\theta$, $U_{1+j}(Y) = y_j + x_j(\theta, \alpha)$, $U_{1+n+j}(Y) = \eta_j + \xi_j(\theta, \alpha)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since $Z \in \mathcal{E}$ we find easily, using (IV.5.3) that $(1) \leq C \varepsilon |\theta|^{1-\ell}$ which proves our claim.

Another property of g_j which will be used in the sequel is the following.

$$(IV.5.6) \quad \text{For } \theta = 0, \quad g_j(\eta) = \chi_0(\eta)(\eta_j - i y_j) \text{ is independent of } \alpha.$$

Now according to our procedure we have solved the equations (see (IV.3.14)),

$$(IV.5.7) \quad 0 = r(a, b, g_j) = g_j(-a) - i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \eta_k}(-a) b_k + \sum_{p, q=1}^n H_{pq}^j(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b_p b_q$$

in the set

$$E = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : \left| a + \frac{2\theta y}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \quad \left| b + \frac{y}{1 + 4\theta^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \right\}.$$

Let us recall that we have the following bounds on H_{pq}^j (see (IV.3.13) and (IV.3.16))

$$|\partial_\theta^\ell \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\alpha^A \partial_{(a,b)}^M H_{pq}^j| \leq \sum_{|\mu| \leq |M| + 3n + 2} \int |\partial_\theta^\ell \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\alpha^A \partial_\eta^\mu g_j(\eta)| d\eta, \quad \ell = 0, 1.$$

Here we have used the fact that $r(a, b, g_j)$ is linear with respect to g_j . It follows from (IV.5.5), since g_j has compact support in η , that

$$(IV.5.8) \quad |\partial_\theta^\ell \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\alpha^A \partial_{(a,b)}^M H_{pq}^j| \leq \begin{cases} C_{\ell, \gamma, M} & \text{if } |A| = 0, \\ C_{\ell, \gamma, A, M} \varepsilon |\theta|^{1-\ell} & \text{if } |A| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Using (IV.5.4) we see easily that the equations (IV.5.7) are equivalent to the following system

$$(IV.5.9) \quad \begin{cases} a_j = \frac{-2\theta y_j}{1 + 4\theta^2} + Z_{j,1}^a(\theta, \alpha) + Z_{j,2}^a(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \\ \quad + Z_{j,3}^a(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) b + H_j^a(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b \cdot b \\ b_j = \frac{-y_j}{1 + 4\theta^2} + Z_{j,1}^b(\theta, \alpha) + Z_{j,2}^b(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \\ \quad + Z_{j,3}^b(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) b + H_j^b(\theta, y, \alpha, a, b) b \cdot b \end{cases}$$

where the Z' s belong to the space $\mathcal{E}$ defined in (IV.5.1) and the H'_j s satisfy the estimates (IV.5.8).

According to (IV.5.6), (IV.4.2), Theorem IV.4.2 and Theorem IV.3.1 for $\theta = 0$ a_j and b_j do not depend on α and moreover we have,

$$(IV.5.10) \quad \begin{cases} a_j(0, y, \alpha) = \tilde{a}_j(y) = \mathcal{O}(|y|^N), \\ b_j(0, y, \alpha) = \tilde{b}_j(y) = -y_j + \mathcal{O}(|y|^N), \end{cases}$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|y| \leq \delta$.

Let us set

$$(IV.5.11) \quad G_j^*(\theta, y, \alpha) = H_j^*(\theta, y, \alpha, a(\theta, y, \alpha), b(\theta, y, \alpha)) \cdot b(\theta, y, \alpha) \cdot b(\theta, y, \alpha), * = a \text{ or } b.$$

Then, since the Z'_j s vanish for $\theta = 0$, (IV.5.10) implies that

$$(IV.5.12) \quad G_j^*(0, y, \alpha) = \tilde{G}_j^*(y) = \mathcal{O}(|y|^N), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore we can write

$$(IV.5.13) \quad G_j^*(\theta, y, \alpha) = G_j^*(y) + \int_0^\theta \frac{d}{d\theta} G_j^*(\sigma, y, \alpha) d\sigma.$$

We claim that we have the following estimates on a_j, b_j . Let us set for convenience $f_j = a_j$ or b_j .

$$(IV.5.14) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta}(\theta, y, \alpha) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) \\ |\partial_\theta^\ell \partial_y^\gamma \partial_\alpha^A f_j(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq \begin{cases} C_{\ell, \gamma} & \text{if } A = 0, \\ C_{\ell, \gamma, A}(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta|^{1-\ell} & \text{if } |A| \geq 1, \ell = 1. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

To prove the first estimate we differentiate both sides of (IV.5.9) with respect to θ . Since the terms in Z belong to $\mathcal{E}$ and using (IV.5.8), the fact that $\dot{x}(\theta, \alpha), \dot{\xi}(\theta, \alpha)$ are bounded, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \theta} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial \theta} \right| \leq C_1 |y_j| + C_2 \varepsilon + C_3(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial \theta} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta} \right| \right).$$

Taking $\varepsilon + \delta$ small enough and since $|y| \leq \delta$ we obtain our first claim. To prove the second estimate we use the Faa di Bruno formula (see Appendix VIII.1) and an induction procedure.

Let us set $Y = (\theta, y, \alpha)$, $\Lambda = (\ell, \gamma, A)$ and let us apply the operator ∂_Y^Λ to both sides of (IV.5.9). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_Y^\Lambda f_0 &= \begin{cases} 0(1) & \text{if } A = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } |A| \geq 1. \end{cases}, \quad f_0 = \frac{-2\theta y_j}{1+4\theta^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{-y_j}{1+4\theta^2} \\ |\partial_Y^\Lambda Z(\theta, \alpha)| &\leq C \varepsilon |\theta|^{1-\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that our estimate is true for $|\Lambda| \leq k$ and let $|\Lambda| = k + 1$. Then

$$\partial_Y^\Lambda [Z(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) b(\theta, y, \alpha)] = (1) + (2) + (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= Z(-\theta, \dots) \partial_Y^\Lambda b(Y) \\ (2) &= \partial_Y^\Lambda [Z(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a(Y) + \xi(\theta, \alpha))] b(Y) \\ (3) &= \sum_{\substack{\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 = \Lambda \\ \Lambda_j \neq 0}} \binom{\Lambda}{\Lambda_1} \partial_Y^{\Lambda_1} [Z(-\theta, \dots)] \partial_Y^{\Lambda_2} b. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Faa di Bruno formula in the terms (2) and (3) we see that

$$|\partial_Y^\Lambda [Z(-\theta, \dots)]| \leq C \varepsilon |\theta| (|\partial_Y^\Lambda a| + |\partial_Y^\Lambda b|) + \begin{cases} 0(1) & \text{if } A = 0, \\ 0((\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|^{1-\ell}) & \text{if } |A| \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By the same way, using (IV.5.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_Y^\Lambda [H(Y, a(Y), b(Y)) \cdot b(Y) \cdot b(Y)]| &\leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta| (|\partial_Y^\Lambda a(Y)| \\ &+ |\partial_Y^\Lambda b(Y)|) + \begin{cases} 0(1) & \text{if } A = 0, \\ 0((\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|^{1-\ell}) & \text{if } |A| \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon + \delta$ small enough we obtain the second estimate of (IV.5.14).

Now it follows from (IV.5.9), (IV.5.11), (IV.5.12) and (IV.5.13) that we can write, with $Y = (\theta, y, \alpha)$,

$$(IV.5.15) \quad \begin{cases} (a_j + i b_j)(Y) = -\frac{y_j}{2\theta - i} + U_j(Y) \text{ where} \\ U_j(Y) = Z_{j,1}(\theta, \alpha) + Z_{j,2}(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a(Y) + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \\ + Z_{j,3}(-\theta, y + x(\theta, \alpha), -a(Y) + \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot b(Y) \\ + \tilde{G}_j(y) + \int_0^\theta \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial b} \cdot \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta} \right] b \cdot b + 2H b \cdot \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta} \right\} (\sigma, y, \alpha) d\sigma \\ \text{with } |\partial_y^\gamma \tilde{G}_j(y)| \leq C_N |y|^N \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{cases}$$

Using (IV.5.1), (IV.5.3), (IV.5.8), (IV.5.14) we deduce the following estimates

$$(IV.5.16) \quad \begin{cases} |U(Y)| + \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(Y) \right| \leq C_N |y|^N + C(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta| \leq C'(\varepsilon + \delta) \\ |\partial_y^\gamma U(Y)| \leq C_{N,\gamma} |y|^N + C_\gamma |\theta| \text{ if } |\gamma| \geq 2 \\ |\partial_y^\gamma \partial_\alpha^A U(Y)| \leq C_{\gamma,A}(\varepsilon + \delta) |\theta| \text{ if } |\gamma| \geq 0 \text{ and } |A| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now recall that,

$$(IV.5.17) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha) = \xi_j(\theta, \alpha) - (a_j + i b_j)(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \\ \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \Phi(\theta, s x + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) ds + \theta p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2. \end{cases}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) &= \underbrace{(x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha)}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{2\theta - i}}_{(2)} \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot U(\theta, s(x - x(\theta, \alpha)), \alpha) ds + \theta p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have,

$$(IV.5.18) \quad \begin{cases} (1) = (x - \alpha_x) \alpha_\xi - 2\theta |\alpha_\xi|^2 - r(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi + (x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \zeta(\theta, \alpha) \\ (2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\theta - i} [(x - \alpha_x)^2 - 4\theta(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + 4\theta^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2 \\ + 2(x - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi) \cdot r(\theta, \alpha) + |r(\theta, \alpha)|^2]. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the term in φ which does not contain any error term. It can be written as

$$(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - 2\theta |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \frac{(x - \alpha_x)^2 - 4\theta(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + 4\theta^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2}{2(2\theta - i)} + \theta |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} (x - \alpha_x)^2 - \theta |\alpha_\xi|^2}{1 + 2i\theta} + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} (x - \alpha_x)^2 - \theta |\alpha_\xi|^2}{1 + 2i\theta} + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2 + R(\theta, x, \alpha)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta, x, \alpha) = & \underbrace{-r(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi}_{(1)} + \underbrace{(x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \zeta(\theta, \alpha)}_{(2)} \\ & \underbrace{\frac{(x - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi) \cdot r(\theta, \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} |r(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{2\theta - i}}_{(3)} + \underbrace{\theta(p(\alpha) - |\alpha_\xi|^2)}_{(4)} \\ & - \int_0^1 \underbrace{(x - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot U(\theta, s(x - x(\theta, \alpha)), \alpha)}_{(5)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We are ready now to show that the remainder R satisfies the estimates given in Theorem IV.5.1.

First of all, since r and ζ belong to $\mathcal{E}$ (see (IV.5.1)), since the functions $x - x(\theta, \alpha)$ and $x - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi$ are bounded together with all their derivatives with respect to α and since $p(\alpha) = |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk}(\alpha_x) \alpha_\xi^j \alpha_\xi^k$ we have

$$(IV.5.19) \quad |\partial_\alpha^A(i)| \leq C_A \varepsilon |\theta| \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

So we are left with the term (5). Let us note that if we set $f_0(\theta, x, \alpha) = x - x(\theta, \alpha)$ then,

$$(IV.5.20) \quad \begin{cases} |f_0| \leq 2\delta, & |f_0| \leq |x - \alpha_x| + C|\theta|, & \left| \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_x} \right| \leq C, & \left| \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right| \leq C|\theta| \\ |\partial_\alpha^A f_0| \leq C\varepsilon |\theta| & \text{if } |A| \geq 2, & \text{uniformly in } (x, \theta, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

With this notation one has

$$(5) = f_0(\theta, x, \alpha) \int_0^1 U(\theta, s f_0(\theta, x, \alpha), \alpha) ds.$$

Then, with $i = x$ or ξ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} (5) = \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_i} \int_0^1 U(\theta, s f_0, \alpha) ds + f_0 \int_0^1 \left(s \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \alpha_i} \right) (\theta, s f_0, \alpha) ds.$$

Now it follows from (IV.5.18) and (IV.5.20) that

$$(IV.5.21) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_x} (5) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\theta|), \\ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_\xi} (5) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|, \end{cases}$$

since $|\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_\xi}(5)| \leq C|\theta|$ and $|x - \alpha_x| \leq \delta$. Now, with $i, j = x$ or ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j}(5) &= \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} \int_0^1 U(\theta, s f_0, \alpha) ds + \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_i} \int_0^1 \left(s \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_j} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \alpha_j} \right) ds \\ &+ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_j} \int_0^1 \left(s \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \alpha_i} \right) ds + f_0 \int_0^1 \left[s \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + s \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_i} \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_j} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \alpha_j} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \alpha_i \partial y} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using (IV.5.16) and (IV.5.20) we check easily that

$$(IV.5.22) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_x^2}(5) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\theta|) \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_x \partial \alpha_\xi}(5) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta| \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_\xi^2}(5) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|. \end{cases}$$

Combining (IV.5.19), (IV.5.21) and (IV.5.22) we obtain the claimed estimates on the two first derivatives of R .

Finally using again (IV.5.18) and (IV.5.20) we deduce the following estimates of the higher derivatives

$$|\partial_{\alpha_x}^{A_1} \partial_{\alpha_\xi}^{A_2}(5)| \leq \begin{cases} C_{A_1} & \text{if } |A_2| = 0, \\ C_{A_1 A_2} |\theta| & \text{if } |A_2| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The gain of $|\theta|$ when $|A_2| \geq 1$ coming from the fact that a derivative of $x - x(\theta, \alpha)$ and U with respect to α_ξ makes appear a θ . Thus the proof of Theorem IV.5.1 is complete. $\square$

V The transport equations

V.1 Statement of the result and preliminaries

Let $P = \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x) D_j D_k$ be a second order differential operator of the form (II.2.7) We shall denote by tP the transposed operator.

In Section IV we have constructed a phase function for P . The purpose now is to construct an amplitude.

Recall that the set Ω_δ have been introduced in Definition IV.1.1.

The main result of this Section is the following.

Theorem V.1.1 *For every $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$, every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \geq 1$ one can find an amplitude $e_N(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)$ which is C^∞ on $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ such that*

$$(i) \quad e_N(0, y, \alpha, \lambda) = 1.$$

$$(ii) \quad \left(i\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i\lambda \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} - {}^tP \right) (e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)} e_N(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda)) = R_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda) e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)} \text{ where}$$

$$|R_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C_N \left(\lambda^{-N} + \lambda^2 \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N} \right)$$

for every (θ, x, α) in Ω_δ , $\lambda \geq 1$ and C_N is independent of $(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)$.

$$(iii) \quad |\partial_x^A e_N(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C_{N,A} \text{ uniformly with respect to } (\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda).$$

Corollary V.1.2 For every $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$, every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \geq 1$ one can find an amplitude $a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)$ which is C^∞ on Ω_δ such that

$$(i) \quad a_N(0, x, \alpha, \lambda) = 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad \left(i\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - {}^tP \right) (e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)} a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)) = R'_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda) e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, x, \alpha)} \text{ where}$$

$$|R'_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C'_N \left(\lambda^{-N} + \lambda^2 \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N} \right)$$

uniformly with respect to $(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)$.

$$(iii) \quad |\partial_x^A a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C_{N,A} \langle \theta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \text{ uniformly with respect to } (\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda).$$

Proof We have just to set $a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda) = \langle \theta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} e_N(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda)$ where e_N has been defined in Theorem V.1.1. $\square$

Proof of Theorem V.1.1 We have ${}^tP = \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x) D_j D_k + \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x) D_j + g_0(x)$, where $g^{jk} = \delta_{jk} + \varepsilon b_{jk}$ and $b_{jk} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^1$, $g_j \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^2$, $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, $g_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^3$ where,

$$(V.1.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^\ell = \left\{ g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\partial_x^A g(x)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+\ell+\sigma_0}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$(V.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(i\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i\lambda \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} - {}^tP \right) (e^{i\lambda\varphi} f) &= e^{i\lambda\varphi} \left[-\lambda^2 \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} + p\left(x, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right) \right) f + i\lambda \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} f - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} f + i \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} f \right) - {}^tP f \right]. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem IV.1.2 the coefficient of λ^2 in the right hand side of (V.1.2) is bounded by $C_N \left(\frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$, for any N . Therefore if we set

$$(V.1.3) \quad \begin{cases} I = e^{-i\lambda\varphi} \left(i\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i\lambda \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} - {}^tP \right) (e^{i\lambda\varphi} f) \\ X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$(V.1.4) \quad \left| I - i\lambda Xf - i\lambda \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} + i \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right) f - {}^t P f \right| \leq C_N \lambda^2 \left(\frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

To pursue the proof we consider separately the two cases.

V.2 The case of outgoing points

For convenience we shall set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+ &= \{ \alpha \in T^* \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_- &= \{ \alpha \in T^* \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we assume $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+$. Let us set $R_j = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} - \Phi_j$. It follows from Theorem IV.3.13 (v) and (IV.3.48) that $|\partial_x^A R_j(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|}}$ for $A \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and from Proposition IV.3.19 (ii) that $|R_j(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

First of all, according to (IV.3.43) and Taylor's formula, we have

$$(V.2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \xi_j(\theta, \alpha) + \frac{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \theta (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) (x_j - x_j(\theta, \alpha)) - (a_j + i b_j)(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle} + R_j(\theta, x, \alpha), \\ |\partial_x^A R_j(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_{A,N} \left(\frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem IV.3.1 (iii) we deduce,

$$(V.2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn} \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \delta_{jk} + d_{jk}(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + R_{jk}(\theta, x, \alpha), \\ |\partial_x^A d_{jk}(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+2}}, \\ |\partial_x^A R_{jk}(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_{A,N} \left(\frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N \end{cases}$$

uniformly with respect to (θ, x, α) .

Now if $g \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}$ (see (V.1.1)) and $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$ we can write $|\partial_x^A g(x)| = |(\partial_x^A g)(y + x(\theta, \alpha))| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle y+x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle^{|A|+1+\sigma_0}}$. Since $|y| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ we can use Proposition III.3.1 to write

$$\langle y + x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle \geq \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle - |y| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle \theta \rangle - \delta \langle \theta \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle \theta \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$(V.2.3) \quad |\partial_x^A g(x)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+1+\sigma_0}}.$$

This can be applied to the functions $g^{jk} - \delta_{jk}$, g_j , g_0 , $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. It follows from (V.2.2) that

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(\theta, x, \alpha) = \frac{n}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn} \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) + d(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + R(\theta, x, \alpha)$$

where d and R satisfy the same estimates as in (V.2.2). Now we have

$$(V.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn} \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn} \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(\theta)) \left(1 - \frac{|\theta|}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) - \frac{\theta \chi_1(\theta)}{2 \langle \theta \rangle^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sgn} \theta}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \frac{1 - \chi_1(\theta)}{\langle \theta \rangle + |\theta|} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we have proved

$$(V.2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta^2} = D_1(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + R_1(\theta, x, \alpha), \\ \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, x, \alpha) = D_2(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + R_2(\theta, x, \alpha), \\ |\partial_x^A D_j(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\alpha|+1+\sigma_0}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ |R_j(\theta, x, \alpha)| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

We are going now to simplify the vector fields X introduced in (V.1.3). Let us set

$$(V.2.6) \quad \begin{cases} s = \theta \\ y = x - x(\theta, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

Since $\dot{x}_k(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n g^{jk}(x(\theta, \alpha)) \xi_k(\theta, \alpha)$, we obtain

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left\{ g^{jk}(x(s, \alpha)) \xi_j(s, \alpha) - g^{jk}(y + x(s, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(s, y + x(s, \alpha), \alpha) \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}.$$

Now using (V.2.1) and $g^{jk} = \delta_{jk} + \varepsilon b_{jk}$, $b_{jk} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\operatorname{sgn} s}{\langle s \rangle} (1 - \chi_1(s)) \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - 2\varepsilon \sum_{j,k=1}^n \{b_{jk}(x(s, \alpha)) - b_{jk}(y + x(s, \alpha))\} \xi_j(s, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_j + i b_j)(s, y, \alpha)}{\langle s \rangle} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + 2\varepsilon \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk}(y + x(s, \alpha)) \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} s y_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (a_j + i b_j)(s, y, \alpha) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(y + x(s, \alpha)) R_j(s, y + x(s, \alpha), \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition V.2.1 We shall say that a function $f = f(s, y, \alpha)$ on $\tilde{\Omega}_\delta \times \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$ if

$$(V.2.7) \quad \begin{cases} f(s, 0, \alpha) = 0 \\ |\partial_y^A f(s, y, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle s \rangle^{|A|+1}}, \quad A \in \mathbb{N}^n \end{cases}$$

uniformly when $(s, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_\delta$ and $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm$.

According to (V.2.4), (V.2.3) and Theorem IV.3.1, (ii), (iii) we have,

$$(V.2.8) \quad \begin{cases} (1 - \chi_1(s)) \frac{\text{sgn } s}{\langle s \rangle} y_j - \frac{s}{1+s^2} y_j \in \mathcal{E} \\ \varepsilon(b_{jk}(x(s, \alpha) - b_{jk}(y + x(s, \alpha))) \xi_j(s, \alpha) \in \mathcal{E} \\ \varepsilon b_{jk}(y + x(s, \alpha)) \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{sgn } s \cdot y_j - (a_j + i b_j)(s, y, \alpha) \right) \in \mathcal{E} \\ \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle} (a_j + i b_j)(s, y, \alpha) \in \mathcal{E}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have,

$$(V.2.9) \quad \begin{cases} X = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{s}{1+s^2} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n E_j(s, y, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n R'_j(s, y, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \\ \text{where } E_j \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } |R'_j(s, y, \alpha)| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|y|}{\langle s \rangle} \right)^N. \end{cases}$$

Now we perform another change of variables. We set

$$(V.2.10) \quad \begin{cases} \theta = s \\ z = \frac{y}{\langle s \rangle}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{s}{1+s^2} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$. It follows that

$$(V.2.11) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(\theta, z, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{R}_j(\theta, z, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \\ \text{(ii)} & h_j(\theta, 0, \alpha) = 0, \\ \text{(iii)} & |\partial_z^A h_j(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_A}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, \quad A \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ \text{(iv)} & |\tilde{R}_j(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \theta \rangle} |z|^N, \text{ for all } N \text{ in } \mathbb{N}, \text{ uniformly when} \\ & \theta \geq 0 \text{ (resp. } \theta \leq 0), \quad |z| \leq \delta, \quad \alpha \in \Lambda_{\pm}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ we have by (V.2.3),

$$(V.2.12) \quad \begin{cases} {}^t P = \sum_{|\nu| \leq 2} k_{\nu}(\theta, z, \alpha) \partial_z^{\nu}, \\ |\partial_z^{\gamma} k_{\nu}(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_{\gamma}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let us set

$$(V.2.13) \quad X_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(\theta, z, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},$$

where h_j satisfies (V.2.11).

It follows from (V.1.4), (V.2.5), (V.2.6), (V.2.10) and (V.2.11) (i) that

$$(V.2.14) \quad \begin{cases} \left| I - i\lambda \left(X_0 f + d(\theta, z, \alpha) f - \frac{i}{\lambda} {}^t P f \right) \right| \leq C_N \lambda^2 |z|^N (|f| + |\nabla_z f|) \\ |\partial_z^{\gamma} d(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_{\gamma}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{cases}$$

Now let us fix an integer N_0 large enough depending only on the dimension n (and chosen later on). For the coefficients h_j , k_{ν} , d in (V.2.13), (V.2.12) and (V.2.14) we write

$$(V.2.15) \quad \begin{cases} F(\theta, z, \alpha) = F^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) + r^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) \text{ where,} \\ F^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq N_0-1} \partial_z^{\gamma} F(\theta, 0, \alpha) \frac{z^{\gamma}}{\gamma!}, \\ |r^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq C_{N_0} |z|^{N_0}. \end{cases}$$

Let us set

$$(V.2.16) \quad \begin{cases} L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + d^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha), \\ Q = \sum_{|\nu| \leq 2} k_\nu^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) \partial_z^\nu. \end{cases}$$

Using (V.2.14) to (V.2.16) we see that

$$(V.2.17) \quad \left| I - i\lambda \left(Lf - \frac{i}{\lambda} Qf \right) \right| \leq C_{N_0} \lambda^2 |z|^{N_0} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 2} |\partial_z^\gamma f(\theta, z, \alpha)|.$$

Now we have the following result.

Lemma V.2.2 *There exist functions $A_\ell = A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha)$, $\ell = 0, \dots, N_0 + 1$ which are C^∞ in (θ, z) in the set $\mathcal{O} = \{(\theta, z) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm, |z| \leq \delta\}$ such that*

- (i) $A_0(0, z, \alpha) = 1$, $A_\ell(0, z, \alpha) = 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, N_0 + 1$,
- (ii) $|\partial_z^\gamma A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq C_{\ell, \gamma}$, uniformly in $\mathcal{O} \times \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm$, ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$),
- (iii) $LA_0 = 0$, $LA_\ell = iQ A_{\ell-1}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, N_0 + 1$.

Let us assume for a moment this lemma proved. Let us set

$$(V.2.18) \quad f = f_{N_0} = A_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda} A_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda^{N_0+1}} A_{N_0+1}.$$

Then Lemma V.2.2 shows that

$$(V.2.19) \quad \begin{cases} f_{N_0}(0, z, \alpha, \lambda) = 1 \\ |\partial_z^\gamma f_{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C_{\gamma, N_0} \text{ if } (\theta, z) \in \mathcal{O}, \alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm, \lambda \geq 1 \\ \left| Lf_{N_0} - \frac{i}{\lambda} Qf_{N_0} \right| \leq \lambda^{-N_0-2} |Q A_{N_0+1}| \leq C_{N_0} \lambda^{-N_0-2}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (V.2.17) and (V.2.19) that

$$(V.2.20) \quad |I| \leq C'_{N_0} \lambda^2 |z|^{N_0} + C''_{N_0} \lambda^{-N_0-1}.$$

Coming back to the variables (θ, x) we set

$$e_{N_0}(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda) = f_{N_0}\left(\theta, \frac{x - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \alpha, \lambda\right).$$

Then it follows from (V.2.20), (V.2.19) that e_{N_0} satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) in Theorem V.1.1.

So we are left with the proof of Lemma V.2.2.

Proof of Lemma V.2.2 We are going to straighten the principal part of the operator L given by (V.2.16). Recall that we have $L = L_0 + d^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha)$ with

$$L_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}.$$

Moreover according to (V.2.11) and (V.2.15) we have

$$(V.2.21) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad h_j^{N_0}(\theta, z, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial z_k}(\theta, 0, \alpha) z_k + g_j(\theta, z, \alpha), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad g_j(\theta, z, \alpha) = \sum_{2 \leq |\gamma| \leq N_0-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_z^\gamma h_j(\theta, 0, \alpha) z^\gamma, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |\partial_z^\gamma h_j(\theta, 0, \alpha)| \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{array} \right.$$

In that follows all the objects will depend on $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm$ but all the estimates will be uniform with respect to α .

Let us set

$$(V.2.22) \quad H(\theta) = \left(\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial z_k}(\theta, 0, \alpha) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}.$$

If $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$ we shall denote by $Y(\theta, \theta_0)$ the unique $n \times n$ matrix solution of the problem

$$(V.2.23) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{Y}(\theta, \theta_0) = H(\theta) Y(\theta, \theta_0), & \theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm, \\ Y(\theta_0, \theta_0) = \text{Id}. \end{cases}$$

Since by (V.2.21) (iii) the entries of the matrix $H(\theta)$ are bounded by $\frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}$, the Gronwall inequality shows that there exists $M_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$(V.2.24) \quad \|Y(\theta, \theta_0)\| \leq M_0, \text{ for all } \theta, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\pm \text{ and } \alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm.$$

Moreover since $Y(\theta, \theta_0)^{-1} = Y(\theta_0, \theta)$ we have also,

$$(V.2.25) \quad \|Y(\theta, \theta_0)^{-1}\| \leq M_0, \text{ for all } \theta, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\pm \text{ and } \alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\pm.$$

Now using (V.2.21) we see that the problem

$$(V.2.26) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}_j(\theta) = h_j^{N_0}(\theta, z(\theta), \alpha), & \theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ z_j(0) = y_j, \end{cases}$$

is equivalent, setting $z = (z_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, $g = (g_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, to

$$(V.2.27) \quad z(\theta) = Y(\theta, 0) y + \int_0^\theta Y(\theta, t) g(t, z(t), \alpha) dt.$$

Then we have the following Lemma.

Lemma V.2.3 *One can find $\eta > 0$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|y| \leq \eta$, the problem (V.2.27) has a unique global solution z such that $|z(\theta)| \leq 2M_0\eta$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$. This solution will be denoted by $z(\theta, y)$. Moreover one can find a constant $C(N_0, M_0) \geq 0$ such that*

$$(i) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial y_k} \right)(\theta, y) - Y(\theta, 0) \right\| \leq C(M_0, N_0) \eta,$$

and for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$, one can find a constant $C_\gamma \geq 0$ such that

(ii) $|\partial_y^\gamma z(\theta, y)| \leq C_\gamma$, for all $\theta \geq 0$ and $|y| \leq \eta$.

Proof Let $\eta > 0$ (to be chosen). Assume $|y| \leq \eta$ and set $A = \{T > 0 \text{ such that (V.2.27) has a solution for } \theta \in [0, T] \text{ satisfying } |z(\theta)| \leq 2M_0 \eta\}$. Since (V.2.27) (which is equivalent to (V.2.26)) has a continuous solution for small θ and since $|z(0)| = |y| \leq \eta$ there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_0 \in A$. Thus A is non empty and it is obviously an interval. Let $T^* = \sup A$. If $T^* = +\infty$ we are done so assume $T^* < +\infty$. Let us take $T \in]0, T^*[$. Then on $[0, T]$ we have

$$|z(\theta)| \leq |Y(\theta, 0) y| + \int_0^\theta \|Y(\theta, t)\| |g(t, z(t), \alpha)| dt.$$

Now by (V.2.21) (ii) and (iii) we have

$$|g(t, z(t), \alpha)| \leq \frac{K_{N_0}}{\langle t \rangle^2} 2M_0 \eta |z(t)|$$

if $2M_0 \eta \leq 1$, where $K_{N_0} = \sum_{2 \leq |\gamma| \leq N_0-1} \frac{C_\gamma}{\gamma!}$.

It follows from (V.2.24) that,

$$|z(\theta)| \leq M_0 \eta + \int_0^\theta \frac{2M_0^2 K_{N_0} \eta}{\langle t \rangle^2} |z(t)| dt.$$

Then the Gronwall inequality implies that

$$|z(\theta)| \leq M_0 \eta \exp \left[2M_0^2 K_{N_0} \eta \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right].$$

Therefore taking η small (compared to M_0 and K_{N_0}) we can achieve that $|z(\theta)| \leq \frac{3}{2} M_0 \eta$ for all $\theta \in [0, T]$. A classical argument shows that $z(T^*)$ can be defined and $|z(T^*)| \leq \frac{3}{2} M_0 \eta$. Then solving again (V.2.26) with data $z(T^*)$ we see easily that this contradicts the definition of T^* as the supremum of A . Therefore $T^* = +\infty$.

Now differentiating (V.2.27) with respect to y_k yields

$$(V.2.28) \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y) - Y(\theta, 0) e_k + \int_0^\theta Y(\theta, t) \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_\ell}(t, z(t), \alpha) \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial y_k}(t, y) dt.$$

First of all (V.2.21) (ii) show that

$$(V.2.29) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_\ell}(t, z(t), \alpha) \right| \leq \sum_{2 \leq |\gamma| \leq N_0-1} \frac{C'_\gamma}{\langle t \rangle^2} |z(t, y)|^{|\gamma|-1} \leq \frac{C_{N_0} M_0 \eta}{\langle t \rangle^2}$$

if $2M_0 \eta \leq 1$. It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y) \right| \leq M_0 + \int_0^\theta \frac{C'_{N_0} M_0^2 \eta}{\langle t \rangle^2} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_k}(t, y) \right| dt.$$

The Gronwall inequality shows that one can find $K = K(N_0, M_0)$ such that $\left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y) \right| \leq K$, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$ and $|y| \leq \eta$.

Using again (V.2.28) and (V.2.29) we see that

$$\left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_k}(\theta, y) - Y(\theta, 0) e_k \right| \leq \int_0^\theta \frac{C_{N_0} M_0^2 \cdot K \eta}{\langle t \rangle^2} dt \leq C(M_0, N_0) \eta.$$

Finally the estimate on $\partial_y^\gamma z$, which is true for $|\gamma| = 0, 1$ by the above results, can be easily obtained by induction on $|\gamma|$ using (V.2.27), (V.2.21) and the Gronwall Lemma. $\square$

In the sequel we shall take η so small that $C(M_0, N_0) \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Let us now consider the map

$$(V.2.30) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi : \mathbb{R}^\pm \times \{y \in \mathbb{C}^n : |y| \leq \eta\} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\pm \times \mathbb{C}^n, \\ (\theta, y) & \longmapsto (\theta, z(\theta, y)). \end{cases}$$

We claim that Φ is injective. Indeed for a fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$ if we have y_j , $j = 1, 2$ such that $|y_j| \leq \eta$ and $z(\theta, y_1) = z(\theta, y_2)$ then

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_k}(\theta, t y_1 + (1-t) y_2) (y_1^k - y_2^k) dt.$$

Since $|t y_1 + (1-t) y_2| \leq \eta$ when $t \in [0, 1]$ we can use the estimate given in Lemma V.2.3 to ensure that

$$|Y(\theta, 0)(y_1 - y_2)| \leq C'(N_0, M_0) \eta |y_1 - y_2|.$$

According to (V.2.25) this implies that $y_1 = y_2$ if η is small enough.

It follows that Φ is bijective on its range. We show now that

$$(V.2.31) \quad \begin{cases} \text{If } \delta \text{ is small enough we have} \\ \mathbb{R}^\pm \times \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| \leq \delta\} \subset \Phi(\mathbb{R}^\pm \times \{y \in \mathbb{C}^n : |y| \leq \eta\}). \end{cases}$$

This equivalent to show that for fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$,

$$(V.2.32) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ such that } |z| \leq \delta \text{ there exists } y \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ \text{such that } |y| \leq \eta \text{ and } z(\theta, y) = z. \end{cases}$$

According to (V.2.27) the equation to solve is equivalent to the equation $y = F(y)$ where

$$(V.2.33) \quad F(y) = Y(\theta, 0)^{-1} z - Y(\theta, 0)^{-1} \int_0^\theta Y(\theta, t) g(t, z(t, y), \alpha) dt.$$

Let $B = \{y \in \mathbb{C}^n : |y| \leq \eta\}$. We shall show that if δ and η are small enough compared to N_0, M_0 then F maps B into B and there exists $\varepsilon_0 < 1$ such that $|F(y_1) - F(y_2)| \leq \varepsilon_0 |y_1 - y_2|$ for all y_1, y_2 in B . Then (V.2.33) will follow from the fixed point Theorem. Since $Y(\theta, 0)^{-1} = Y(0, \theta)$ and $Y(0, \theta) Y(\theta, t) = Y(0, t)$ it follows from (V.2.24) and (V.2.21) that if $|z| \leq \delta$ we have

$$|F(y)| \leq M_0 \delta + \left| \int_0^\theta \frac{C(M_0) \eta^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} dt \right|$$

since $|z(t, y)| \leq 2M_0 \eta$ by Lemma V.2.3. Then $|F(y)| \leq \eta$ if η is small enough in terms of M_0 and $M_0 \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \eta$.

Moreover if y_1, y_2 belong to B we have

$$|F(y_1) - F(y_2)| \leq \left| \int_0^\theta \frac{C(M_0, N_0)}{\langle t \rangle^2} \eta |z(t, y_1) - z(t, y_2)| dt \right|.$$

Since by Lemma V.2.3 we have $|z(t, y_1) - z(t, y_2)| \leq C'(M_0) |y_1 - y_2|$ we obtain finally

$$|F(y_1) - F(y_2)| \leq C'(M_0, N_0) \eta |y_1 - y_2|.$$

Taking η small enough we obtain (V.2.33).

We can now straighten the vector field L_0 which is the principal part of L given in (V.2.16). Let us make the change of variables, $(\theta', y) \mapsto (\theta, z(\theta, y))$. Then we have, according to (V.2.26)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{z}_j(\theta, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j^{N_0}(\theta, z(\theta, y)) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = L_0.$$

In the new coordinates (θ', y) the operator L has therefore the form

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} + d^{N_0}(\theta', z(\theta', y), \alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} + \tilde{d}(\theta', y, \alpha).$$

Now we note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} \left(e^{\int_0^{\theta'} \tilde{d}(t, y, \alpha) dt} u(t, y, \alpha) \right) = e^{\int_0^{\theta'} \tilde{d}(t, y, \alpha) dt} L u(t, y, \alpha).$$

It follows that the problem

$$L \tilde{A}_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{A}_0(0, y, \alpha) = 1$$

has the (unique) solution $\tilde{A}_0(\theta', y, \alpha) = e^{-\int_0^{\theta'} \tilde{d}(t, y, \alpha) dt}$. By the same way the problems

$$L \tilde{A}_\ell = i \tilde{Q} \tilde{A}_{\ell-1}, \quad \tilde{A}_\ell(0, y, \alpha) = 0, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N_0 + 1,$$

are solved by

$$\tilde{A}_\ell(\theta', y, \alpha) = e^{-\int_0^{\theta'} \tilde{d}(t, y, \alpha) dt} \int_0^{\theta'} i(\tilde{Q} \tilde{A}_{\ell-1})(t, y, \alpha) e^{\int_0^t \tilde{d}(s, y, \alpha) ds} dt.$$

To end the proof of Lemma V.2.2 we are left with the uniform estimates (ii).

First of all, using the estimate in (V.2.14), (V.2.15), Lemma V.2.3 (ii) and the Faa di Bruno formula we see that,

$$(V.2.34) \quad |\partial_y^\gamma (d^{N_0}(\theta', z(\theta', y), \alpha))| \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{\langle \theta' \rangle^2}.$$

Denoting by $\kappa(\theta, z)$ the inverse map of $y \mapsto z(\theta, y)$, that is $\kappa(\theta, z(\theta, y)) = y$ and using Lemma V.2.3 we see that,

$$(V.2.35) \quad |\partial_z^\gamma \kappa(\theta, z)| \leq C_\gamma \text{ for } \theta \geq 0 \text{ and } |z| \leq \delta.$$

Then let us set for $\ell = 0, \dots, N_0 + 1$

$$A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha) = \tilde{A}_\ell(\theta, \kappa(\theta, z), \alpha).$$

Using (V.2.34), (V.2.35), (V.2.16), (V.2.15) and the estimate in (V.2.12) we see that $(A_\ell)_{\ell=0, \dots, N_0+1}$ satisfy all the requirements of Lemma V.2.2. This ends the proof of Theorem V.1.1 in the case of outgoing points. $\square$

We consider now the case of incoming points.

V.3 The case of incoming points

We assume here that $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$ and

$$(V.3.1) \quad \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Since such points belong to $\mathcal{S}_-$ (see Definition III.2.2) the case where $\theta \leq 0$ is covered by the Section V.2. We focus now on the case $\theta \geq 0$. Here the method used in Section V.2 does not work for many technical reasons. For instance, when $|\alpha_x|$ is very large, $\alpha_\xi = -\frac{\alpha_x}{|\alpha_x|}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_x|$ we can see that $\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle$ is of magnitude one. Therefore we are far from the estimate $\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \theta \rangle$ used for instance to get (V.2.3). Here also we shall use the method which consists to straighten the vector field X , defined in (V.1.3). This is done by a change of variables in (θ, x) deduced from the flow of X . The problem here is that X has non real coefficients (because of $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}$) which are merely C^∞ . Therefore we are led to push the problem in the complex domain by extending all the functions almost analytically as in [MS] for instance. So we begin our Section by a Lemma on almost analytic extensions adapted to our situation. In that follows we shall consider together two cases. Case 1 : $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_x^n$, case 2 : $\Omega = \Omega_\delta$ (see Definition IV.1.1). We shall denote by X the variable in Ω that is $X = x$ in the first case, $X = (\theta, x)$ in the second one.

Lemma V.3.1 *Let f be a function defined on Ω which is C^∞ in X and satisfies for all X in Ω , in case 1 (resp. case 2),*

$$(V.3.2) \quad \begin{cases} |f(X)| \leq \frac{M_0}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_1}} \quad \left(\text{resp. } M_0 \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\sigma_2}} \right) \right) \\ \sum_{|\gamma|=k} |\partial_x^\gamma f(X)| \leq \frac{M_k}{\langle x \rangle^{k+\sigma_3}} \quad \left(\text{resp. } M_k \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{k+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{k+\sigma_3}} \right) \right), \quad k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $(M_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence in $]0, +\infty[$ and $0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_3$, $0 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \sigma_3$. Then there exists $F = F(X, y)$ defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$ which is C^∞ in (X, y) and satisfies for all (X, y) in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$,

$$(i) \quad F(X, 0) = f(X).$$

$$(ii) \quad |F(X, y)| \leq \frac{C_0}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_1}} \quad (\text{resp. } C_0 \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\sigma_2}} \right)).$$

(iii) For every A, B in $\mathbb{N}^n$ with $|A| + |B| \geq 1$ there exists $C_{AB} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^A \partial_y^B F(X, y)| \leq \frac{C_{AB}}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} \quad (\text{resp. } C_{AB} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} \right)).$$

(iv) For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|\bar{\partial}_j F(X, y)| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|y|}{\langle x \rangle} \right)^N \cdot \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \quad (\text{resp. } C_N |y|^N \left[\left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right) \right])$$

where $\bar{\partial}_j = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right)$.

Proof See Section VIII.4. in the Appendix.

Now recall that for $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$ we have constructed in Theorem IV.4.10 a function $\Phi = \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)$ uniformly bounded on the set Ω_δ . By Lemma V.3.1 we can extend Φ almost analytically as a function, which we denote by $\Phi(\theta, z, \alpha)$, on the set

$$(V.3.3) \quad \Omega_\delta^{\mathbb{C}} = \{(\theta, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n : |z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle, \operatorname{Re} z \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta\}$$

and $\Phi(\theta, z, \alpha)$ is still uniformly bounded on this set.

Again by Lemma V.3.1 one can extend almost analytically the coefficients of our symbol p , keeping the bounds of its coefficients. In that follows for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we shall denote by $X(t, \theta, z)$ the solution, whenever it exists, of the following problem.

$$(V.3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{X}(t, \theta, z) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(X(t, \theta, z), \Phi(t, X(t, \theta, z), \alpha)), \\ X(\theta, \theta, z) = z. \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to prove the following result.

Theorem V.3.2 *One can find positive constants $c_1, \delta_1, K, \tilde{K}$, with $c_1 \ll c_0, \delta_1 \ll \delta$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta_1 \langle \theta \rangle, \quad x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|,$$

the solution of (V.3.4) exists on $[0, \theta]$ and satisfies the estimates,

$$(V.3.5) \quad \begin{cases} (i) & |X(t, \theta, x) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq K |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \\ (ii) & |\operatorname{Im} X(t, \theta, x)| \leq K \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \\ (iii) & \langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle \leq K \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle, \\ (iv) & \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{K}} \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \end{cases}$$

uniformly for $t \in [0, \theta]$.

Let us remark that the estimates (V.3.5) ensure in particular that if δ_1 is small enough we have $(t, X(t, \theta, \alpha)) \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathbb{C}}$. With $0 < c_1 \ll c_2 \ll c_0$ to be chosen, we divide the proof in three cases.

Case 1 : $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha)|$.

Case 2 : $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| > |x(\theta, \alpha)|$.

Case 3 : $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > c_2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$.

Here is the geometrical interpretation of case 1 and 2. We denote by $[a, b]$ the segment joining two points $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma V.3.3 Let $c_2 > 0$ and assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that $x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$, $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ and that $x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. Then we have :

(i) either $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha)|$ and then,

$$\forall y \in [x, x(\theta, \alpha)], \quad y \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq 2 c_2 \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|,$$

(ii) or $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| > |x(\theta, \alpha)|$ and then, $[0, x(\theta, \alpha)] \cup [0, x] \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta_0 \langle \theta \rangle \text{ and } y \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}$. Moreover

$$|x| + |x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 3 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 3 (|x| + |x(\theta, \alpha)|).$$

Proof In the first case applying Lemma IV.4.16 we obtain for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} (tx + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq c_2(t\langle x \rangle + (1-t)\langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq c_2(1+t|x| + (1-t)|x(\theta, \alpha)|) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq c_2(1 + \sqrt{2}|tx + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha)|) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq 2 c_2 \langle tx + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| > |x(\theta, \alpha)|$. Then $|x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta_0 \langle \theta \rangle$. Therefore $[0, x] \cup [0, x(\theta, \alpha)] \subset B(x(\theta, \alpha), \delta_0 \langle \theta \rangle)$. Now if $t \in [0, 1]$ and $Z = x$ or $x(\theta, \alpha)$ we have $tZ \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq t c_2 \langle Z \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq c_2 \langle tZ \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. Moreover $3|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| = |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| + 2|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \geq |x| - |x(\theta, \alpha)| + 2|x(\theta, \alpha)| = |x| + |x(\theta, \alpha)|$.

□

1) Proof of Theorem V.3.2 in case 1 and 2

Let us take c_2, δ_2 such that $0 < c_2 \ll c_0$, $0 < \delta_2 \ll \delta$. Let A be the set of $T \in [0, \theta]$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta_2 \langle \theta \rangle$, $\operatorname{Re} z \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$, $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta_2$, $x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ the problem (V.3.5) has a unique solution on $[T, \theta]$ which satisfies for $t \in [T, \theta]$, in case 1 :

$$(V.3.6) \quad |X(t, \theta, z) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq M_1 |z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}$$

$$(V.3.7) \quad \langle \theta - t \rangle + \langle \operatorname{Re}(sz + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha)) \rangle \leq M_1 \langle s \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z) + (1-s)x(t, \alpha) \rangle, \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

$$(V.3.8) \quad (s \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z) + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq M_2 \langle s \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z) + (1-s)x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$$

$$(V.3.9) \quad |\operatorname{Im} X(t, \theta, z)| \leq M_3 \left(\frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} + |\operatorname{Im} z| \right)$$

in case 2 :

$$(V.3.10) \quad |X(t, \theta, z) - X(t, \theta, 0)| \leq M_1 |z| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}$$

$$(V.3.11) \quad \langle \theta - t \rangle + \langle \operatorname{Re}(sz) \rangle \leq M_1 \langle s \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z) + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, 0) \rangle, \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

$$(V.3.12) \quad (s \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z) + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, 0)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq M_2 \langle s \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z) + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, 0) \rangle$$

and (V.3.9).

Our aim is to show that if M_1, M_2, M_3 are correctly chosen then $A = [0, \theta]$.

Let us show that the set A is not empty. Indeed if $t = \theta$ the estimates (V.3.6) to (V.3.12) are satisfied with strict inequalities if $M_1 > 1$, $M_2 > 2C_2$, $M_3 > 1$ (using Lemma V.3.3). It follows that they still hold for $T = \theta - \varepsilon$, if ε is small enough.

On the other hand A is an interval. Let $T_* = \inf A$. If $T_* = 0$ then the theorem V.3.2 is proved. Assume then that $T_* > 0$ and let $T \geq T_*$. Then on $[T, \theta]$, (V.3.6) to (V.3.12) hold.

Remark V.3.4 *If the case 2 is not empty then the point $z_0 = 0$ satisfies all the requirements of case 1. Indeed if there exists z_1 such that $|z_1 - x(\theta, \alpha)| > |x(\theta, \alpha)|$ then $|x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta_2 \langle \theta \rangle$ so $|0 - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta_2 \langle \theta \rangle$ and the other requirements are trivial. Therefore if the case 2 is not empty then $X(t, \theta, 0)$ is well defined on $[T, \theta]$ and satisfies (V.3.6) to (V.3.9).*

Let us show that we have $(t, X(t, \theta, z)) \in \Omega_\delta^{\mathbb{C}}$ (see (V.3.3)). This is the case if $M_1 \delta_2 \leq \delta$, $M_2 \leq c_0$, $2M_3 \delta_2 \leq \delta$. Indeed the only non trivial point is to prove that $|X(t, \theta, z) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle t \rangle$ in case 2. We have

$$|X(t, \theta, z) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq |X(t, \theta, z) - X(t, \theta, 0)| + |X(t, \theta, 0) - x(t, \alpha)| = (1) + (2).$$

It follows from (V.3.10) that (1) $\leq M_1 |z| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}$ and from (V.3.6) with $z = 0$, that (2) $\leq M_1 |x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. Now by Lemma V.3.3 (ii) we have $|\operatorname{Re} z| + |x(\theta, \alpha)| < 3 |\operatorname{Re} z - x(\theta, \alpha)|$; since $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta_2$ we will have $(1) + (2) \leq M_1 (\delta_2 + 3 |\operatorname{Re} z - x(\theta, \alpha)|) \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. Since $|\operatorname{Re} z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta_2 \langle \theta \rangle$ we obtain finally $(1) + (2) \leq 4M_1 \delta_2 \langle t \rangle \leq \delta \langle t \rangle$.

In the sequel we shall denote by C or $O(1)$ the constants which may depend on bounds of p, Φ but are independent of M_1, M_2, M_3 . Moreover for the sake of simplicity we shall write

$$(V.3.13) \quad \begin{cases} X(t) = X(t, \theta, z) \\ \tilde{X}(t) = x(t, \alpha) \text{ in case 1, } X(t, \theta, 0) \text{ in case 2.} \end{cases}$$

In particular $\tilde{X}(\theta) = x(\theta, \alpha)$ in case 1 and $\tilde{X}(\theta) = 0$ in case 2. Our goal is to show that the estimates (V.3.6) to (V.3.12) hold on $[T, \theta]$ with better constants than M_1, M_2, M_3 .

a) Improvement of (V.3.7) and (V.3.11)

By Theorem IV.4.10 (iii) we have $\Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \delta)$ if $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$ and by Lemma V.3.1 this estimate still hold on $\Omega_\delta^{\mathbb{C}}$; it follows that $\Phi(t, X(t), \alpha) - \alpha_\xi = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \delta)$. On the other hand

$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi) - 2\xi = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)|\xi|$ which also extends for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta_2$. It follows then from (V.3.4) that $\dot{X}(t) = 2\alpha_\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \delta)$. Therefore

$$(V.3.14) \quad \begin{cases} X(t) = z - 2(\theta - t)\alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta})(\theta - t) \\ \tilde{X}(t) = \tilde{X}(\theta) - 2(\theta - t)\alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta})(\theta - t). \end{cases}$$

Now for $s \in [0, 1]$

$$(1) = |\operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t))|^2 = |s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta)|^2 + 4(\theta - t)^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2 - 4(\theta - t)(s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta)) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon + \delta)[(\theta - t)^2 + |s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta)|^2]).$$

It follows from the conditions on z and the definition of $\tilde{X}(\theta)$ that $(s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta)) \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon \leq 2c_2 \langle s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ so

$$(1) \geq \frac{1}{2} |s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta)|^2 + 3(\theta - t)^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2 - 8c_2 \langle s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta) \rangle (\theta - t) |\alpha_\xi|$$

if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough. It follows that

$$(1) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - 16c_2\right) |s \operatorname{Re} z + (1-s) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(\theta)|^2 + (3 - 16c_2)(\theta - t)^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2 - 16c_2.$$

If c_2 has been chosen small enough we obtain in particular

$$(V.3.15) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & |\operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t))|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{Re}(sz + (1-s)\tilde{X}(\theta))|^2 + 2(\theta - t)^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{(ii)} & \langle \operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t)) \rangle^2 \geq \frac{1}{10} [(\theta - t)^2 + \langle \operatorname{Re}(sz + (1-s)\tilde{X}(\theta)) \rangle^2]. \end{cases}$$

This improves (V.3.7) and (V.3.11) if $M_1 > 4$.

b) Improvement of (V.3.8), (V.3.12)

It follows from (V.3.14) that

$$(2) = \operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t)) \cdot \alpha_\xi = \operatorname{Re}(sz + (1-s)\tilde{X}(\theta)) \cdot \alpha_\xi - 2(\theta - t)|\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \delta)(\theta - t).$$

Applying Lemma V.3.3 we obtain if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small,

$$(2) \leq 2c_2 \langle \operatorname{Re}(sz + (1-s)\tilde{X}(\theta)) \rangle - (\theta - t)|\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

Using (V.3.15) (i) we obtain, $(2) \leq 4c_2 \langle \operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t)) \rangle$. Taking $16c_2 \leq M_2$ we deduce finally that

$$(V.3.16) \quad \operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t)) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq \frac{1}{2} M_2 \langle \operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t)) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

This improves (V.3.8) and (V.3.12).

c) Improvement of (V.3.6) and (V.3.10)

We have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)), \\ \dot{\tilde{X}}(t) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)), \end{cases}$$

the second equation being true in the case 1 according to the identity $\Phi(t, x(t, \alpha), \alpha) = \xi(t, \alpha)$. Let us set

$$(V.3.17) \quad Z(t) = X(t) - \tilde{X}(t).$$

Then

$$\dot{Z}(t) = 2[\Phi(t, X(t), \alpha) - \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)] + \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)),$$

since $p = |\xi|^2 + q$.

Now we use (IV.4.39) and Theorem IV.4.2 (i). It follows after extending almost analytically $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ and the coefficients of q by Lemma V.3.1,

$$(V.3.18) \quad \Phi(t, z, \alpha) = \xi(t, \alpha) + \frac{z - x(t, \alpha)}{2t - i} - \left(\tilde{a} + \frac{i}{\langle t \rangle} \tilde{b} \right)(t, z, \alpha).$$

It follows then that

$$(V.3.19) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{Z}(t) = \frac{2Z(t)}{2t - i} - (\tilde{a}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{a}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) - \frac{i}{\langle t \rangle} (\tilde{b}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{b}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) \\ + \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) + \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) \\ - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)). \end{cases}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma V.3.5 *One can find a positive constant C such that*

$$\left| \dot{Z}(t) - \frac{2Z(t)}{2t - i} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) |Z(t)| \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

Proof

(i) Estimation of $(1) = \tilde{a}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{a}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial z}(t, sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t), \alpha)(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial \bar{z}}(t, sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t), \alpha) \overline{(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t))} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates on $\tilde{a}$ given in Theorem IV.4.2 and Lemma V.3.1 with $\sigma_3 = 1$ we find

$$\left(\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial z} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial \bar{z}} \right| \right)(t, \dots) \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle \operatorname{Re}(sX(t) + (1-s)\tilde{X}(t)) \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

Using (V.3.7) and (V.3.12) we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial z} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|(t, \dots) \leq C(M_1)(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

It follows that,

$$(V.3.20) \quad |(1)| \leq C(M_1)(\varepsilon + \delta) |Z(t)| \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

Here $C(M_1)$ is a constant depending only on M_1 .

(ii) Setting $(2) = \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle} (\tilde{b}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{b}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha))$ we have exactly by the same way

$$(V.3.21) \quad |(2)| \leq C(M_1)(\varepsilon + \delta) \frac{|Z(t)|}{\langle t \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

(iii) Estimation of $(3) = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha))$. We have

$$(3) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial z \partial \xi} (s X(t) + (1-s) \tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) (X(t) - \tilde{X}(t)) ds \\ + \text{analogue term with } \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \bar{z} \partial \xi}.$$

Now the coefficients of q say b_{jk} , extended by Lemma V.3.1 satisfy

$$\left| \frac{\partial b_{jk}}{\partial z}(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial b_{jk}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) \right| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle z \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}}.$$

Using again (V.3.7) and (V.3.13) we obtain

$$(V.3.22) \quad |(3)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon |Z(t)|}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}}.$$

(iv) Estimation of $(4) = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha))$. We have, by (V.3.18),

$$|\Phi(t, X(t), \alpha) - \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)| \leq C \frac{|Z(t)|}{\langle t \rangle} + |(1)| + |(2)|.$$

On the other hand (V.3.7), (V.3.11) with $s = 0$ imply that $M_1 \langle \text{Re } \tilde{X}(t) \rangle \geq \langle \theta - t \rangle$. Therefore using the decay of the coefficients b_{jk} of q and the estimates (V.3.20), (V.3.21) we obtain

$$|(4)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} |Z(t)| \left(\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} \right).$$

It follows then that,

$$(V.3.23) \quad |(4)| \leq C \varepsilon |Z(t)| \left(\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} \right).$$

Gathering the estimates (V.3.20) to (V.3.23) we obtain the claim of the Lemma. $\square$

Next we state the following Lemma.

Lemma V.3.6 Let $0 \leq T < \theta$. Let $Y(t) = (Y_1(t), \dots, Y_n(t)) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $Y \in C^1([T, \theta])$ and satisfies on $[T, \theta]$ the inequality

$$\left| \dot{Y}(t) - \frac{2}{2t-i} Y(t) \right| \leq |h(t)| |Y(t)| + |g(t)|,$$

for some continuous functions h, g . Then for all t in $[T, \theta]$ we have

$$|Y(t)| \leq \left(\frac{\langle 2t \rangle}{\langle 2\theta \rangle} |Y(\theta)| + \langle 2t \rangle \int_T^\theta \frac{|g(s)|}{\langle 2s \rangle} ds \right) \exp \left(\int_T^\theta |h(s)| ds \right).$$

Proof Let us set $W(t) = \frac{Y(t)}{2t-i}$. Then $|W(t)| = \frac{|Y(t)|}{\langle 2t \rangle}$,

$$\dot{W}(t) = \frac{\dot{Y}(t)}{2t-i} - \frac{2Y(t)}{(2t-i)^2} = \frac{1}{2t-i} \left(\dot{Y}(t) - \frac{2Y(t)}{2t-i} \right).$$

It follows that $|\dot{W}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\langle 2t \rangle} (|h(t)| |Y(t)| + |g(t)|) \leq |h(t)| |W(t)| + \frac{|g(t)|}{\langle 2t \rangle}$. Then, for $t \geq T$ and $\sigma \in [t, \theta]$,

$$|W(\sigma)| \leq |W(\theta)| + \int_\sigma^\theta |h(s)| |W(s)| ds + \int_t^\theta \frac{|g(s)|}{\langle 2s \rangle} ds.$$

By the Gronwall Lemma we obtain

$$|W(t)| \leq \left(|W(\theta)| + \int_T^\theta \frac{|g(s)|}{\langle 2s \rangle} ds \right) \exp \left(\int_T^\theta |h(s)| ds \right).$$

Coming back to $Y(t)$ we obtain the claim of the Lemma. $\square$

Corollary V.3.7 Let $Z(t)$ be defined by (V.3.17). Then if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small compared to M_1 we have

$$|Z(t)| \leq 2 \frac{\langle 2t \rangle}{\langle 2\theta \rangle} |z - \tilde{X}(\theta)|.$$

Proof We apply Lemma V.3.6 and Lemma V.3.5 with $g(t) = 0$ and $h(t) = C(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)$. Then $\int_T^\theta |h(s)| ds \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\sigma}{\langle \sigma \rangle^2}$. It follows that

$$|Z(t)| \leq e^{C'(\varepsilon + \delta)} \cdot \frac{\langle 2t \rangle}{\langle 2\theta \rangle} |Z(\theta)|.$$

Since $Z(\theta) = X(\theta) - \tilde{X}(\theta) = z - \tilde{X}(\theta)$ our lemma follows. $\square$

We can now show the improvement of (V.3.6) and (V.3.10). In the case 1 we have $\tilde{X}(\theta) = x(\theta, \alpha)$ and in the case 2, $\tilde{X}(\theta) = 0$. Therefore in case 1 we find by Corollary V.3.7,

$$|X(t, \theta, z) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq 4 \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|,$$

and in case 2,

$$|X(t, \theta, z) - X(t, \theta, 0)| \leq 4 \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} |z|.$$

Taking $M_1 > 4$ this shows that (V.3.6) and (V.3.10) have been improved.

d) Improvement of (V.3.9)

Let us set

$$(V.3.24) \quad \begin{cases} U(t) &= \text{Im}(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t)), \\ (1) &= \frac{4t}{1+4t^2} U(t), \\ (2) &= \frac{2 \text{Re}(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t))}{1+4t^2}, \\ (3) &= -\text{Im}[\tilde{a}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{a}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)], \\ (4) &= -\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle} \text{Re}[\tilde{b}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{b}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)], \\ (5) &= \text{Im} \left[\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (\text{Re } X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (\text{Re } X(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) \right], \\ (6) &= \text{Im} \left[\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (\text{Re } X(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (\text{Re } \tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) \right], \\ (7) &= \text{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \xi \partial \bar{z}} (\text{Re } X(t) + is \text{Im } X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) ds (i \text{Im } X(t)), \\ (8) &= \text{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \xi \partial \bar{z}} (\text{Re } X(t) + is \text{Im } X(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) ds (-i \text{Im } X(t)), \\ (9) &= -\text{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \xi \partial \bar{z}} (\text{Re } \tilde{X}(t) + is \text{Im } \tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) ds (i \text{Im } \tilde{X}(t)), \\ (10) &= -\text{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \xi \partial \bar{z}} (\text{Re } \tilde{X}(t) + is \text{Im } \tilde{X}(t), \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) ds (-i \text{Im } \tilde{X}(t)). \end{cases}$$

Then it follows from (V.3.17) and (V.3.19) that,

$$(V.3.25) \quad \dot{U}(t) = \frac{4t}{1+4t^2} U(t) + \sum_{i=2}^{10} (i).$$

Lemma V.3.8 *With the above notations, if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \dot{U}(t) - \frac{4t}{1+4t^2} U(t) \right| &\leq \frac{3M_1 |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle \langle t \rangle} + \frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) |U(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof We use (V.3.25) and (V.3.24). We estimate the terms (i) for $i = 2, \dots, 10$.

(i) Estimation of (2). It follows from (V.3.6) and (V.3.10), since $\tilde{X}(\theta) = x(\theta, \alpha)$ in case 1 and $\tilde{X}(\theta) = 0$ in case 2, that

$$\frac{|X(t) - \tilde{X}(t)|}{1+4t^2} \leq M_1 \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle (1+4t^2)} |z - \tilde{X}(\theta)| \leq \frac{M_1}{\langle \theta \rangle \langle t \rangle} \begin{cases} |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|, & \text{case 1} \\ |z|, & \text{case 2} \end{cases}.$$

But in case 2 according to Lemma V.3.3 (ii) we have $|z| \leq 3|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|$. It follows that in both cases we have

$$(V.3.26) \quad |(2)| \leq \frac{3M_1 |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle \langle t \rangle}.$$

(ii) Estimation of (3) and (4). We note that $\tilde{a}(t, \operatorname{Re} X(t), \alpha)$ and $\tilde{a}(t, \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)$ are real. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3) &= -\operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial z} (t, \operatorname{Re} X(t) + s i \operatorname{Im} X(t), \alpha) ds (i \operatorname{Im} X(t)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial \bar{z}} \quad (\text{idem}) ds (-i \operatorname{Im} X(t)) + \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial z} (t, \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(t) + s i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t), \alpha) ds (i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t)) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial \bar{z}} \quad (\text{idem}) ds (-i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t)) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, according to Theorem IV.4.2 and Lemma V.3.1 we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial z} (t, w, \alpha) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{a}}{\partial \bar{z}} (t, w, \alpha) \right| \leq C (\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle \operatorname{Re} w \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) .$$

We use this estimate with $w = \operatorname{Re} X(t) + i s \operatorname{Im} X(t)$ and $w = \operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(t) + i s \operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t)$. By (V.3.7) (with $s = 1$) and (V.3.11) (with $s = 0$) we have $\langle \operatorname{Re} w \rangle \geq \frac{1}{M_1} \langle \theta - t \rangle$.

Moreover in case 1, $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t) = \operatorname{Im} x(t, \alpha) = 0$ and in case 2,

$$(V.3.27) \quad |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t)| \leq M_3 \frac{|z|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq \frac{3M_5 |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} .$$

Summing up we obtain

$$(V.3.28) \quad |(3)| \leq C (\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{M_1^{2+\sigma_0}}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} \right) \left(|U(t)| + M_5 \frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) ,$$

since $|\operatorname{Im} X(t)| \leq |U(t)| + |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t)|$.

For the term (4), due to the factor $\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle}$ we have a better estimate. Indeed by (V.3.21), (V.3.17), (V.3.6) and (V.3.10) we have

$$(V.3.29) \quad |(4)| \leq C (M_1) (\varepsilon + \delta) \frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) .$$

(iii) Estimation of (5). We note here that $\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (x, \xi)$ is linear in ξ and real if $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that

$$(5) = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (\operatorname{Re} X(t), \operatorname{Im}(\Phi(t, X(t), \alpha) - \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha))) .$$

Using (V.3.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(\Phi(t, X(t), \alpha) - \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) &= \frac{\operatorname{Re}(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t))}{1 + 4t^2} + \frac{2t}{1 + 4t^2} \operatorname{Im}(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Im} [\tilde{a}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{a}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)] - \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle} \operatorname{Re} [\tilde{b}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \tilde{b}(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)] . \end{aligned}$$

By (V.3.6) and (V.3.10) we have $|X(t) - \tilde{X}(t)| \leq 3M_1 |z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. Moreover we can use (V.3.28) and (V.3.29). Finally we use the fact that the coefficients of $\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} (\operatorname{Re} X(t), \dots)$ are bounded

by $\frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \operatorname{Re} X(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}$ which by (V.3.7) (with $s = 1$) and (V.3.11) (with $s = 1$) can be estimated by $\frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}$. Gathering these informations we see that

$$(V.3.30) \quad |(5)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} \right) |U(t)| + \frac{C(M_1 \varepsilon |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|)}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle} \\ + C\varepsilon C(M_1, M_3)(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} \right) \frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

(iv) Estimation of (6). Since again $\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi)$ is linear in ξ and real when $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ we can write

$$|(6)| \leq \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\operatorname{Re} X(t), \operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{X}(t), \operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)) \right|.$$

Since the coefficients of $\frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}$ are bounded by $\frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}$ we obtain

$$|(6)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} |\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)|.$$

In the case 1, $\tilde{X}(t) = x(t, \alpha)$ which implies that $\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha) = 0$. In the case 2, $|\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, \tilde{X}(t), \alpha)| \leq M_3 \frac{|x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq 3M_3 \frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. Therefore

$$(V.3.31) \quad |(6)| \leq \frac{C M_3 \varepsilon |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle \theta \rangle}.$$

(v) Estimation of (7), (8), (9), (10). Using (V.3.7) and (V.3.11) and the estimates on the coefficients of q we find that

$$|(7) + (8) + (8) + (10)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} (|U(t)| + |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{X}(t)|).$$

Using (V.3.28) we obtain finally

$$(V.3.32) \quad |(7) + (8) + (9) + (10)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} (|U(t)| + \frac{3M_3 |z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}).$$

Gathering the estimates given by (V.3.26) to (V.3.32) and taking $\varepsilon + \delta$ small compared to M_1, M_3 we obtain the conclusion of Lemma V.3.8. $\square$

Lemma V.3.9 *Let $Y(t) = (Y_1(t), \dots, Y_n(t))$ be a C^1 function from $[T, \theta]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfies*

$$\left| \dot{Y}(t) - \frac{4t}{1 + 4t^2} Y(t) \right| \leq |h(t)| |Y(t)| + |g(t)| + \frac{K}{\langle 2t \rangle}$$

for some continuous functions h, g and $K \geq 0$. Then

$$|Y(t)| \leq \left(\frac{\langle 2t \rangle}{\langle 2\theta \rangle} |Y(\theta)| + \int_T^\theta |g(s)| ds + K \right) \exp \left(\int_T^\theta |h(s)| ds \right).$$

Proof Let us set $Z(t) = \frac{Y(t)}{\langle 2t \rangle}$. Then $\dot{Z}(t) = \frac{\dot{Y}(t)}{\langle 2t \rangle} - \frac{4t}{\langle 2t \rangle^3} Y(t)$. It follows that

$$|\dot{Z}(t)| \leq |h(t)| |Z(t)| + \frac{|g(t)|}{\langle 2t \rangle} + \frac{K}{1 + 4t^2}.$$

Therefore for $\sigma \in [t, \theta]$, $t \geq T$ we have

$$|Z(\sigma)| \leq |Z(\theta)| + \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} |h(s)| |Z(s)| ds + \int_t^{\theta} \frac{|g(s)|}{\langle 2s \rangle} ds + K \int_t^{\theta} \frac{ds}{1 + 4s^2}.$$

Now we have,

$$\int_t^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{1 + 4s^2} \leq \frac{1}{\langle 2t \rangle}, \quad \int_t^{\theta} \frac{|g(s)|}{\langle 2s \rangle} ds \leq \frac{1}{\langle 2t \rangle} \int_t^{\theta} |g(s)| ds.$$

Using Gronwall's Lemma we obtain

$$|Z(\sigma)| \leq \left(|Z(\theta)| + \frac{1}{\langle 2t \rangle} \int_t^{\theta} |g(s)| ds + \frac{K}{\langle 2t \rangle} \right) \exp \left(\int_t^{\theta} |h(s)| ds \right).$$

Taking $t = T$ and $\sigma = t$ we obtain, since $Y(t) = \langle 2t \rangle |Z(t)|$, the claim of the Lemma. $\square$

Corollary V.3.10 *With $U(t) = \text{Im}(X(t) - \tilde{X}(t))$ introduced in (V.3.24) we have*

$$|U(t)| \leq C \left(|U(\theta)| + (6M_1 + C) \frac{|z - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right).$$

Proof This follows from Lemmas V.3.8 and V.3.9. $\square$

We can now finish the proof of the improvement of (V.3.9).

Indeed we have $U(\theta) = \text{Im}(X(\theta, \theta, z) - \tilde{X}(\theta, \theta, 0)) = \text{Im } z$. Therefore Corollary V.3.10 and Remark V.3.4 show that if $C < M_1$ and $(6M_1 + C) \cdot C < M_3$ then (V.3.9) is improved.

End of the proof of Theorem V.3.2 in the cases 1 and 2

The estimates (V.3.5) to (V.3.12) improved are true for $t \in [T, \theta]$ for all $T > T_*$. By continuity they continue to hold on $[T_*, \theta]$. Now we consider problem (V.3.5) with data at $t = T_*$ equal to $X(T_*, \theta, \alpha)$. For this problem the estimates (V.3.6) to (V.3.12) hold on $[T_* - \varepsilon_0, T_*]$ which contradicts the fact that $T_* = \inf A$. Therefore $A = [0, \theta]$ which implies Theorem V.3.2 in this case.

2) Proof of Theorem V.3.2 in case 3

Here we shall take $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x \cdot \alpha_{\xi} \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_{\xi}|$ and $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta_1 \langle \theta \rangle$, with $0 < c_1 \ll c_2$, $0 < \delta_1 \ll \delta_2$.

Let us recall (see (IV.4.49)) that there exists a unique $\theta^* \in [0, \theta]$ such that $x(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_{\xi} = 0$. We shall make use of Lemma IV.4.17. To prove the claim of Theorem V.3.2 we shall use the same method as in the cases 1 and 2.

We introduce first the set A of $T \geq \theta^*$ such that the problem (V.3.4) has a solution on $[T, \theta]$ which satisfies

$$(V.3.33) \quad |X(t, \theta, x) - x| \leq M_4 |t - \theta|$$

$$(V.3.34) \quad \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon \leq M_5 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon|$$

$$(V.3.35) \quad \langle x \rangle + \langle t - \theta \rangle \leq M_4 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle$$

$$(V.3.36) \quad |\operatorname{Im} X(t, \theta, x)| \leq M_6 \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

If M_4 is large enough, $M_5 > c_1$, $M_6 > 0$ one can find $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\theta - \varepsilon_0 \in A$. Let $T_* = \inf A$. We want to prove that $T_* = \theta^*$ if M_4, M_5, M_6 are correctly chosen; let us assume $T_* > \theta$ and let $t \geq T_*$. Then on $[T, \theta]$ we have a solution $X(t, \theta, z)$ which satisfies (V.3.33) to (V.3.36). Let us show that this implies that $(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \in \Omega_\delta^C$ for $t \in [T, \theta]$ (see (V.3.3)) if δ_1 is small enough.

From (V.3.36) we have $|\operatorname{Im} X(t, \theta, z)| \leq M_6 \delta_1 \leq \delta$ if δ_1 is small enough. Moreover by (V.3.34) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon \leq M_5 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq c_0 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon|$$

if $M_5 \leq c_0$. Finally,

$$(1) = |X(t, \theta, x) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq |X(t, \theta, x) - x| + |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(t, \alpha)|.$$

From (V.3.33) we have $|X(t, \theta, x) - x| \leq M_4 |t - \theta| \leq M_4(\theta - \theta^*)$ since $t \geq \theta^*$. Now we use Lemma IV.4.17 to write

$$|X(t, \theta, x) - x| \leq 10 M_4 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 10 M_4 \delta_1 \langle \theta \rangle \leq \frac{10 M_4}{K_1} \delta_1 \langle \theta^* \rangle \leq \frac{10 M_4}{K_1} \delta_1 \langle t \rangle.$$

Again by Lemma IV.4.17,

$$|x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 6|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 6\delta_1 \langle \theta \rangle \leq \frac{6\delta_1}{K_1} \langle \theta^* \rangle \leq \frac{6\delta_1}{K_1} \langle t \rangle.$$

Finally $|x(t, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq \int_{\theta^*}^t |\dot{x}(s, \alpha)| ds \leq 5(t - \theta^*)$ if ε is small enough. It follows from Lemma IV.4.17 that

$$|x(t, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 5(\theta - \theta^*) \leq 50|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 50\delta_1 \langle \theta \rangle \leq \frac{50\delta_1}{K_1} \langle t \rangle.$$

Summing up we find that if δ_1 is small enough,

$$(V.3.37) \quad (1) \leq \max\left(\frac{10M_4}{K_1}, \frac{56}{K_1}\right) \delta_1 \langle t \rangle \leq \delta \langle t \rangle.$$

As in the cases 1 and 2 our goal is to prove that one can improve the estimates (V.3.33) to (V.3.36).

(i) **Improvement of (V.3.33)**

We have by (V.3.5), $\dot{X}(t, \theta, x) = 2\alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \delta)$. Therefore

$$(V.3.38) \quad X(t, \theta, x) = x - 2(\theta - t)\alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon + \delta)(\theta - t)).$$

It follows that $|X(t, \theta, x) - x| \leq 5(\theta - t)$ if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough. We shall take M_4 so that $5 \leq \frac{1}{2} M_4$ and then, (V.3.33) will be improved.

(ii) **Improvement of (V.3.35)**

We deduce from (V.3.38) that

$$1 + |\operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z)|^2 = 1 + |x|^2 + 4(\theta - t)^2 |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon + \delta)(|x|^2 + (\theta - t)^2)) - 2(\theta - t)x \cdot \alpha_\xi.$$

Since $x \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon|$, taking $\varepsilon + \delta$ small enough we obtain, $1 + |\operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z)|^2 \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2} |x|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\theta - t)^2 - 4c_1(\theta - t)\langle x \rangle$, so

$$(V.3.39) \quad 1 + |\operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, z)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} (\langle x \rangle^2 + (\theta - t)^2),$$

if $c_1 \leq \frac{1}{10}$. In particular $3\langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle \geq \langle \theta - t \rangle + \langle x \rangle$, so $\langle \theta - t \rangle + \langle x \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} M_4 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle$ if $M_4 \geq 6$.

(iii) **Improvement of (V.3.34)**

From (V.3.38) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \cdot \alpha_\xi = x \cdot \alpha_\xi - 2(\theta - t) |\alpha_\xi|^2 + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon + \delta)(\theta - t)).$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| - \frac{(\theta - t)}{4} \leq 10c_1 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta, x) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|,$$

by (V.3.39). We shall take $10c_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} M_5$ and (V.3.34) will be improved.

(iv) **Improvement of (V.3.36)**

Let us set $X(t, \theta, x) = X(t) = Y_1(t) + iY_2(t)$ where Y_1, Y_2 are real.

Lemma V.3.11 *There exists positive constants C, K independent of ε, δ and T such that for all $t \in [T, \theta]$ we have*

$$|\dot{Y}_2(t)| \leq C M_6 \left(\frac{\delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + (\varepsilon + \delta) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} g(t) \right) + \frac{\delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle}$$

where g is a continuous positive function satisfying $\int_T^\theta g(s) ds \leq K$.

Proof From (V.3.36) and (V.3.37) we get

$$(V.3.40) \quad |X(t) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq C (M_4) \delta_1 \langle t \rangle, \quad |Y_2(t)| \leq M_6 \delta_1.$$

Now (V.3.18) shows that

$$\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha) = \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{X(t) - x(t)}{2t - i} - \tilde{a}(t, X(t), \alpha) - \frac{i}{\langle t \rangle} \tilde{b}(t, X(t), \alpha) \right]$$

where $x(t) = x(t, \alpha)$. First of all we have,

$$\operatorname{Im} \frac{X(t) - x(t)}{2t - i} = \frac{2t Y_2(t)}{1 + 4t^2} + \frac{Y_1(t) - x(t)}{1 + 4t^2}.$$

Using (V.3.40) we deduce, since $\langle \theta \rangle \sim \langle t \rangle$,

$$(V.3.41) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{X(t) - x(t)}{2t - i} \right| \leq C M_6 \frac{\delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + C \frac{\delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

On the other hand we can write with $f = \tilde{a}$ or $\tilde{b}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, X(t), \alpha) &= f(t, Y_1(t), \alpha) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, Y_1(t) + is Y_2(t), \alpha) ds (i Y_2(t)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(t, Y_1(t) + is Y_2(t), \alpha) ds (-i Y_2(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{a}(t, Y_1(t), \alpha)$ is real, using the estimates on the derivatives of $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ given by Theorem IV.4.2 and their extensions to the complex domain proved in Lemma V.3.1 we obtain

$$(V.3.42) \quad |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{a}(t, X(t), \alpha)| \leq C M_6 (\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

Here we have used the estimate in (ii) and (V.3.36).

Moreover we have by Theorem IV.4.2 (ii) and (V.3.37),

$$|\tilde{b}(t, Y_1(t), \alpha)| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \frac{|Y_1(t) - x(t)|}{\langle t \rangle} \leq \sqrt{\delta} C(M_4) \delta_1 \leq \delta_1$$

if δ is small enough. Therefore

$$(V.3.43) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{i \tilde{b}(t, X(t), \alpha)}{\langle t \rangle} \right| \leq C \frac{\delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + C M_6 \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

We deduce from (V.3.41) to (V.3.43) that

$$(V.3.44) \quad |\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)| \leq \frac{C \delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + C M_6 \frac{\delta_1}{\langle \theta \rangle} + M_6 \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} g(t)$$

where $g(t) = C \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^2} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)$.

It follows from (V.3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{Y}_2(t)| &\leq 2 |\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)| + \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi}(Y_1(t), \operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \xi \partial z}(Y_1(t) + is Y_2(t), \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)) ds \right| |Y_2(t)| + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \xi \partial \bar{z}}(\text{idem}) ds \right| |Y_2(t)| \\ &\leq C |\operatorname{Im} \Phi(t, X(t), \alpha)| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle \theta - t \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} |Y_2(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate together with (V.3.36), (V.3.44) prove the Lemma. $\square$

We can now improve (V.3.36). Indeed, by Lemma V.3.11, we have, since $X(\theta, \theta, x) = x$ is real

$$|Y_2(t)| \leq \int_t^\theta |\dot{Y}_2(s)| ds \leq C M_6 \left[\frac{\delta_1(\theta - t)}{\langle \theta \rangle} + (\varepsilon + \delta) K \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right] + \delta_1 \frac{\theta - t}{\langle \theta \rangle}.$$

Moreover by Lemma IV.4.17 we have

$$\theta - t \leq \theta - \theta^* \leq C |x - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Taking $\delta_1, \delta, \varepsilon$ small enough we obtain $|Y_2(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} M_6 \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}$ which improves (V.3.36).

The improvements (i) to (iv) show that the set A where (V.3.33) to (V.3.36) are true is equal to $[\theta^*, \theta]$.

We can now give the proof of Theorem V.3.2 in the case 3. Indeed (V.3.34) to (V.3.36) imply the estimates (ii) to (iv) in this Theorem. To prove (i) we just remark that

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t, \theta, x) - x(t, \alpha)| &\leq |X(t, \theta, x) - x| + |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \\ &\leq (10M_4 + 1)|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq C |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

since $\langle t \rangle \sim \langle \theta \rangle$ when $t \in [\theta^*, \theta]$. Therefore we are done for $t \in [\theta^*, \theta]$. For $t \in [0, \theta^*]$ we first remark that

$$X(t, \theta, x) = X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x)).$$

We would like to apply the cases 1 and 2 already done, with $\theta = \theta^*$ and $z = X(\theta^*, \theta, x)$. So we have to prove that

- (i) $x(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle x(\theta^*, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$,
- (ii) $|z - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq \delta_2 \langle \theta^* \rangle$,
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re} z \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_2 \langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$,
- (iv) $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta_2$.

First of all (i) is trivial since $x(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon = 0$. Now we have,

$$|X(\theta^*, \theta, x) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |X(\theta^*, \theta, x) - x| + |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| = (1) + (2).$$

By (V.3.33) and Lemma IV.4.17 we have if $\delta_1 \ll \delta_2$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &\leq M_4(\theta - \theta^*) \leq 10 M_4 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 10 M_4 \delta_1 \langle \theta \rangle \leq C \delta_1 \langle \theta^* \rangle \leq \frac{\delta_2}{2} \langle \theta^* \rangle \\ (2) &\leq 5 |x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq C' \delta_1 \langle \theta^* \rangle \leq \frac{\delta_2}{2} \langle \theta^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

since $\langle \theta \rangle \sim \langle \theta^* \rangle$. Thus (ii) is satisfied. Now (iii) is also satisfied if $M_5 \leq c_2$. This is possible since the only constraint on M_5 (see (iii) improvement of (V.3.34)) was $M_5 \geq 20 c_1$. Finally by (V.3.36),

$|\operatorname{Im} X(\theta^*, \theta, x)| \leq \delta_1 M_6 \leq \delta_2$ if $\delta_1 \ll \delta_2$. Therefore $X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x))$ satisfies the estimates (V.3.5) to (V.3.9) in case 1 and (V.3.9) to (V.3.12) in case 2. Therefore we have the following estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= |X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x)) - x(t, \alpha)| \leq 3 M_1 |X(\theta^*, \theta, x) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta^* \rangle} \\
(1) &\leq 3 M_1 \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta^* \rangle} (|X(\theta^*, \theta, x) - x| + |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|) \\
(1) &\leq 3 M_1 \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta^* \rangle} (M_4(\theta - \theta^*) + |x - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|) \\
(1) &\leq C M_1(1 + M_4)|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (V.3.33), Lemma IV.4.17 and $\langle \theta^* \rangle \sim \langle \theta \rangle$. Therefore we obtain (i) of (V.3.5) if $K \geq C M_1(1 + M_4)$. Now (V.3.9) implies that

$$(2) = |\operatorname{Im} X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x))| \leq M_3 \left(\frac{|X(\theta^*, \theta, x) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta^* \rangle} + |\operatorname{Im} X(\theta^*, \theta, x)| \right).$$

Using (V.3.36) and the same argument as in the term (1) we obtain, $(2) \leq C(M_1, M_4, M_6) \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}$. Thus (ii) in (V.3.5) is satisfied if $K \geq C(M_1, M_4, M_6)$.

From (V.3.15) (ii) with $s = 1$ we have

$$(3) = \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x)) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{5} [\langle \theta^* - t \rangle + \langle \operatorname{Re} X(\theta^*, \theta, x) \rangle].$$

So using (V.3.35) we obtain

$$(3) \geq \frac{1}{5} \left[\langle \theta^* - t \rangle + \frac{1}{M_4} \langle \theta^* - \theta \rangle \right] \geq C(M_4) \langle \theta - t \rangle,$$

and (iii) satisfied $K \cdot C(M_4) \geq 1$.

Finally let us set $(4) = \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x)) \cdot \alpha_\xi$. Using (V.3.8) and (V.3.12) with $s = 1$ we can write,

$$(4) \leq M_2 \langle \operatorname{Re} X(t, \theta^*, X(\theta^*, \theta, x)) \rangle.$$

This shows that (V.3.5) (iv) holds if $\tilde{K} \geq \frac{1}{M_2}$ and completes the proof of Theorem V.3.2. $\square$

Having proved in Theorem V.3.2 the existence of the solution $X(t, \theta, x)$ of (V.3.4) we want to give estimates on its derivatives with respect to (θ, x) .

Proposition V.3.12 *The solution given by Theorem V.3.2 is C^∞ with respect to $y = (\theta, x)$ and satisfies the following estimates,*

$$(V.3.45) \quad |\partial_y^A X(t, \theta, x)| \leq \begin{cases} C \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} & \text{if } |A| = 1, \\ C_A \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A| + \sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A| - 1}} \right) & \text{if } |A| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

uniformly in $(t, \theta, x) \in [0, \theta] \times \Omega_\delta$.

To prove this result we need a Lemma.

Lemma V.3.13 For $j = 1, \dots, n$ let us set $L_j(t, z) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(z, \Phi(t, z, \alpha))$. Then for any integer $N > 0$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and all (t, θ, x) in $[0, \theta] \times \Omega_\delta$ we have

$$(V.3.46) \quad \left| \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial z_k}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) - \frac{2\delta_{jk}}{2t - i} \right| \leq C_1 \left(\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)$$

$$(V.3.47) \quad \left| \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, such that $|\mu| + |\nu| = N \geq 2$, $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(V.3.48) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} L_j}{\partial z^\mu \partial \bar{z}^\nu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \right| \leq C_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{|\mu|+|\nu|+1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{|\mu|+|\nu|+1}} \right).$$

Proof of Lemma V.3.13 We have

$$L_j(t, z) = 2 \Phi_j(t, z, \alpha) + 2\varepsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_{j\ell}(z) \Phi_\ell(t, z, \alpha).$$

Using (V.3.18) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial z_k}(t, z, \alpha) = \frac{\delta_{jk}}{2t - i} - \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{a}_j}{\partial z_k} + \frac{i}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\partial \tilde{b}_j}{\partial z_k} \right)(t, z, \alpha).$$

Then (V.3.46) follows easily from the estimates on $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ given in Theorem IV.4.2, the estimates on the coefficients $b_{j\ell}$ and from the inequality (iii) in Theorem V.3.2.

The estimate (V.3.47) follows from the same arguments and Lemma V.3.1 (iv), Theorem V.3.2 (ii), (iii). The same method can also be used to prove (V.3.48). $\square$

Proof of Proposition V.3.12 Let us set for $k = 1, \dots, n$, $q \geq 1$,

$$Y_k^q(t) = \partial_x^A X_k(t, \theta, x)$$

where $|A| = q$.

We begin by the case $q = 1$. Differentiating one time (V.3.4) with respect to y we obtain

$$(V.3.49) \quad \dot{Y}_k^1(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial L_k}{\partial z_j}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) Y_j^1(t) + \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \overline{Y_j^1(t)} \right].$$

Using (V.3.46) and (V.3.47) we see that $Y^1(t) = (Y_1^1(t), \dots, Y_n^1(t))$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma V.3.6 with $g \equiv 0$ and $h(t) = \frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2}$. Since $\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(\theta, \theta, x) = \delta_{jk}$ and $\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \theta, x)$

is bounded we obtain (V.3.45) when $|A| = 1$. Let us consider the case $|A| = 2$. Differentiating (V.3.49) with respect to y we see that $Y_k^2(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\dot{Y}_k^2(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial L_k}{\partial z_j}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) Y_j^2(t) + \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \overline{Y_j^2(t)} \right] + Z_k(t, \theta, x)$$

where, by (V.3.48) and (V.3.45) for $|A| = 1$, $Z_k(t)$ is estimated as follows

$$|Z_k(t, \theta, x)| \leq C \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \left(\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{3+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^3} \right).$$

We want to use Lemma V.3.6 (with $T = 0$) so we are led to estimate the quantity (1) = $\int_0^\theta \frac{|Z_k(s, \theta, x)|}{\langle 2s \rangle} ds$. Using the above estimation we see that

$$(1) \leq C' \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^2} \int_0^\theta \left(\frac{\langle \sigma \rangle}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - \sigma \rangle)^{3+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \sigma \rangle^2} \right) d\sigma.$$

By a straightforward computation we see that we have

$$(V.3.50) \quad \int_0^\theta \frac{\langle \sigma \rangle^\ell}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{k+\sigma_0}} d\sigma \leq C \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^\ell}{\langle x \rangle^{k-1+\sigma_0}}, \quad k, \ell \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$(1) \leq \frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right).$$

Using Lemma V.3.6 and the fact that $\partial_x^A X(\theta, \theta, x) = 0$, since $|A| \geq 2$, we obtain (V.3.45) when $|A| = 2$.

Now we proceed by induction on $q \geq 2$. Let $|A| = q + 1$ and let us differentiate the equation $\dot{X}_k(t, \theta, x) = L_k(t, X(t, \theta, x))$ $|A|$ times with respect to x . Using the Faa di Bruno formula and the notation $Y_k^{q+1} = \partial_x^A X_k$ we obtain the equation

$$\dot{Y}_k^{q+1}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial L_k}{\partial z_j}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) Y_j^{q+1}(t) + \frac{\partial L_k}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \overline{Y_j^{q+1}(t)} \right] + Z_k(t)$$

where $Z_k(t)$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$(2) = (\partial_{(z, \bar{z})}^\beta L_j)(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \prod_{\ell=1}^s (\partial_x^{L_\ell} X(t, \theta, x))^{K_\ell}$$

where $2 \leq |\beta| \leq q + 1$, $1 \leq s \leq q + 1$, $|K_\ell| \geq 1$, $|L_\ell| \geq 1$, $\sum_{\ell=1}^s K_\ell = \beta$, $\sum_{\ell=1}^s |K_\ell| L_\ell = A$. It follows that $|L_\ell| \leq |A| - 1 = q$.

Since by (V.3.45) we have different estimates for $|L_\ell| = 1$ and $|L_\ell| \geq 2$ we must separate these two cases. So let us write $\{1, \dots, s\} = I_1 \cup I_2$, $I_1 = \{\ell : |L_\ell| = 1\}$, $I_2 = \{\ell : |L_\ell| \geq 2\}$.

Now let us use (V.3.48) and the induction. We obtain

$$|(2)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - t \rangle)^{|\beta|+1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{|\beta|+1}} \right) \prod_{\ell \in I_1} \left(\frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|K_\ell|} \prod_{\ell \in I_2} \left[\frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|L_\ell|+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|L_\ell|-1}} \right) \right]^{|K_\ell|}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^s |K_i| = |\beta|$ we have $\prod_{\ell \in I_1} \left(\frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)^{|K_\ell|} \prod_{\ell \in I_2} \left(\frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)^{|K_\ell|} = \frac{\langle t \rangle^{|\beta|}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|}}$. It follows from (V.3.50) that

$$\int_0^\theta \frac{|(2)|}{\langle \sigma \rangle} d\sigma \leq \frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|-1}} \right) \prod_{\ell \in I_2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|L_\ell|+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|L_\ell|-1}} \right)^{|K_\ell|}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell \in I_2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|L_\ell|+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|L_\ell|-1}} \right)^{|K_\ell|} &\leq \prod_{\ell \in I_2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|L_\ell|-1}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|L_\ell|-1}} \right)^{|K_\ell|} \\ &\leq C \prod_{\ell \in I_2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|K_\ell|(|L_\ell|-1)}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|K_\ell|(|L_\ell|-1)}} \right) \\ &\leq C' \left[\left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} \right)^{\sum_{\ell \in I_2} |K_\ell|(|L_\ell|-1)} + \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{\sum_{\ell \in I_2} |K_\ell|(|L_\ell|-1)} \right] \\ &\leq C' \left[\left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} \right)^{|A|-|\beta|} + \left(\frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|-|\beta|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed

$$|A|-|\beta| = \sum_{\ell=1}^s |K_\ell| |L_\ell| - \sum_{\ell=1}^s |K_\ell| = \sum_{\ell \in I_1} |K_\ell| + \sum_{\ell \in I_2} |K_\ell| |L_\ell| - \sum_{\ell \in I_1} |K_\ell| - \sum_{\ell \in I_2} |K_\ell| = \sum_{\ell \in I_2} |K_\ell| (|L_\ell| - 1).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\theta \frac{|(2)|}{\langle \sigma \rangle} d\sigma &\leq \frac{C}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|-1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|-|\beta|}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|-|\beta|}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C'}{\langle \theta \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then using Lemma V.3.6 and the fact that $\partial_x^A X(\theta, \theta, x) = 0$ since $|A| \geq 2$ we obtain (V.3.45) for $|A| = q + 1$. $\square$

We need another lemma.

Let us recall that we have set $L_j(\theta, x) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha))$.

Lemma V.3.14 *Let $u_j(t) = \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(t, \theta, x)$. Then for every integer $N > 0$ one can find a constant $C_N > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, \theta]$ and all (θ, x) in Ω_δ we have*

$$|u_j(t)| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Proof First of all we claim that $u_j(\theta) = 0$. Indeed since $\dot{X}_j(\theta, \theta, x) + \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \theta, x) = 0$ we have $\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \theta, x) = -L_j(\theta, x)$. Then our claim follows from the fact that $\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(\theta, \theta, x) = \delta_{jk}$. Now

$$\dot{u}_j(t) = \frac{\partial \dot{X}_j}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n L_k(\theta, x) \frac{\partial \dot{X}_j}{\partial x_k}(t, \theta, x).$$

Using (V.3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{u}_j(t) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial L_j}{\partial z_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta, x) + \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial \bar{z}_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \overline{\frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta, x)} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n L_k(\theta, x) \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial L_j}{\partial z_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial x_k}(t, \theta, x) + \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial \bar{z}_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \overline{\frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial x_k}(t, \theta, x)} \right] \\
\dot{u}_j(t) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial z_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) u_\mu(t) + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial \bar{z}_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \left[\overline{\frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta, x)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n L_k(\theta, x) \overline{\frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial x_k}(t, \theta, x)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows then from (V.3.45) that with $u(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$,

$$\left| \dot{u}_j(t) - \frac{2u_j(t)}{2t-i} \right| \leq |h(t)| |u(t)| + |g(t)|,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} h(t) = \sum_{\mu,j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial z_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) - \frac{2\delta_{jk}}{2t-i} \right|, \\ g(t) = C \sum_{\mu,j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial \bar{z}_\mu}(t, X(t, \theta, x)) \right|. \end{cases}$$

Now using (V.3.46) and (V.3.47) we have $\int_0^\theta h(t) dt \leq C$ and $\int_0^\theta g(t) dt \leq C C_N \frac{|x-x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$, so Lemma V.3.14 follows from Lemma V.3.6 since $u_j(\theta) = 0$. $\square$

To solve the transport equations we need to introduce some notations. First of all we shall set,

$$(V.3.51) \quad D = \left\{ (\theta, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n : |z - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\delta_1}{K} \langle \theta \rangle, \quad |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \frac{\delta_1}{K}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon \leq c_1 \langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon| \right\}$$

where δ_1, c_1, K have been introduced in the statement of Theorem V.3.2.

Let $a \in C^\infty(D)$. We introduce some possible estimates.

$$(V.3.52) \quad \begin{cases} \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C_{\mu, \nu, \ell} \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ \text{for all } (\theta, z) \in D, \quad |\partial_z^\mu \partial_{\bar{z}}^\nu a(\theta, z)| \leq C_{\mu, \nu} \end{cases}$$

$$(V.3.53) \quad \begin{cases} \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C_N \geq 0 : \forall j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \forall (\theta, z) \in D \\ \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(\theta, z) \right| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} z|^N \end{cases}$$

$$(V.3.54) \quad \begin{cases} \exists \sigma_0 > 0 : \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C_{\mu, \nu} \geq 0 : \forall (\theta, z) \in D \\ \left| \partial_z^\mu \partial_{\bar{z}}^\nu a(\theta, z) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\mu, \nu}}{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{1+\sigma_0}}. \end{cases}$$

We first state the following result.

Proposition V.3.15 *Let $u_0 = u_0(z)$ be a C^∞ function in a neighborhood of $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z - \alpha_x| \leq \delta_1\}$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N \geq 0$ such that for every $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $z \in D_0$,*

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(z) \right| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} z|^N.$$

For $(\theta, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\theta, x) \in D$ we set $u(\theta, x) = u_0(X(0, \theta, x))$. Then for any $N \geq 0$ we can find $C'_N \geq 0$ such that

$$(V.3.55) \quad \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x) \right| \leq C'_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N$$

$$(V.3.56) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

$$(V.3.57) \quad \begin{cases} \text{For any } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ one can find } C_\gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\partial_x^\gamma u(\theta, x)| \leq C_\gamma \text{ for every } (\theta, x) \text{ in } D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Proof First of all by (V.3.5) (i) we have for $(\theta, x) \in D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|X(0, \theta, x) - \alpha_x| \leq K \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq K \frac{\delta_1}{K} = \delta_1.$$

Therefore $u(\theta, x) = u_0(X(0, \theta, x))$ is well defined and satisfies (V.3.57) by Proposition V.3.12, the fact that u_0 is C^∞ in a neighborhood of D_0 and the Faa di Bruno formula (Section VIII). Now since $X(0, 0, x) = x$, (V.3.56) is obvious. Let us check (V.3.55). We set

$$(1) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(X(0, \theta, x)) \left[\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(0, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(0, \theta, x) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(X(0, \theta, x)) \left[\overline{\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(0, \theta, x)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \overline{\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(0, \theta, x)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and we write $(1) = (A) + (B)$.

By Lemma V.3.14 with $t = 0$, the term (A) satisfies (V.3.55). By the hypothesis made on u_0 and (V.3.5) (ii) we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(X(0, \theta, x)) \right| \leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} X(0, \theta, x)|^N \leq C'_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Using (V.3.45) and the fact that Φ and the coefficients of p are bounded we deduce that the term (B) satisfies also (V.3.55). $\square$

Proposition V.3.16 Let $a \in C^\infty$ on D which satisfies (V.3.53), (V.3.54). Let us set

$$A(s, \theta, x) = \int_\theta^s a(\sigma, X(\sigma, \theta, x)) d\sigma$$

for $s \in [0, \theta]$ and $(\theta, x) \in D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$(V.3.58) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for any } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ one can find } C_N \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta}(s, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_k}(s, \theta, x) + a(\theta, x) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N \\ \text{for all } s \in [0, \theta] \text{ and } (\theta, x) \in D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

$$(V.3.59) \quad A(\theta, \theta, x) = 0.$$

$$(V.3.60) \quad \begin{cases} \text{For every } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n, \text{ there exists } C_\gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\partial_x^\gamma A(s, \theta, x)| \leq C_\gamma \text{ on } [0, \theta] \times D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Proof The claim (V.3.59) is trivial, (V.3.60) follows from Proposition V.3.12, (V.3.54) and (V.3.5) (iii). Let us show (V.3.58). We set

$$(1) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta}(s, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_k}(s, \theta, x) + a(\theta, x).$$

Then

$$(1) = \int_\theta^s \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a}{\partial z_j}(\sigma, X(\sigma, \theta, x)) \left[\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(\sigma, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(\sigma, \theta, x) \right] d\sigma \\ + \int_\theta^s \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(\sigma, X(\sigma, \theta, x)) \left[\overline{\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(\sigma, \theta, x)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \overline{\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(\sigma, \theta, x)} \right] d\sigma = (A) + (B).$$

By (V.3.54) and (V.3.5)(iii) we have $\left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial z_j}(\sigma, X(\sigma, \theta, x)) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\langle \theta - \sigma \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}$. Therefore using Lemma V.3.14 we obtain (V.3.58) for the term (A).

Now it follows from (V.3.53) and (V.3.54) by interpolation that

$$\left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(\theta, z) \right| \leq C''_{N, \sigma_0} \frac{|\operatorname{Im} z|^N}{\langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle^{1+\frac{\sigma_0}{2}}}.$$

Thus using (V.3.45), (V.3.5) (ii), (iii), we obtain (V.3.58) for the term (B) since Φ and the coefficients of p are uniformly bounded. $\square$

This is the last result before the final one solving the transport equations.

Proposition V.3.17 Let b be C^∞ on D satisfying (V.3.52) and (V.3.53). Let us set $B(s, \theta, x) = b(s, X(s, \theta, x))$, $s \in [0, \theta]$, $(\theta, x) \in D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$(V.3.61) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } N \geq 0 \text{ there exists } C_N \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ \left| \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta}(s, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_k}(s, \theta, x) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(V.3.62) \quad B(\theta, \theta, x) = b(\theta, x),$$

$$(V.3.63) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there exists } C_\gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\partial_x^\gamma B(s, \theta, x)| \leq C_\gamma, \quad \forall (s, \theta, x) \in [0, \theta] \times D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof The claim (V.3.62) is obvious and (V.3.63) follows from Proposition V.3.12 and (V.3.52). Let us show (V.3.61). The left hand side of (V.3.61) can be written,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial b}{\partial z_j}(s, X(s, \theta, x)) \left[\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(s, \theta, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(s, \theta, x) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{z}_j}(s, X(s, \theta, x)) \left[\overline{\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial \theta}(s, \theta, x)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \overline{\frac{\partial X_j}{\partial x_k}(s, \theta, x)} \right] = (A) + (B). \end{aligned}$$

The estimation of (A) follows from Lemma V.3.14 and (V.3.52). Now from (V.3.53), (V.3.5) (ii) and Proposition V.3.12 we deduce the estimation of (B) since Φ and the coefficients of p are uniformly bounded. $\square$

Theorem V.3.18 Let $a = a(\theta, z)$ be a C^∞ function on D satisfying (V.3.53), (V.3.54). Let $b = b(\theta, z)$ be a C^∞ function on D satisfying (V.3.52), (V.3.53). Let $u_0 = u_0(z)$ be a C^∞ function on D_0 satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition V.3.15. With the notations of Propositions V.3.15, V.3.16 and V.3.17 we set

$$v(\theta, x) = \int_0^\theta e^{A(s, \theta, x)} B(s, \theta, x) ds + e^{A(0, \theta, x)} u(\theta, x).$$

Then

$$(V.3.64) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } N \geq 1 \text{ there exists } C_N \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}(\theta, x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x) + a(\theta, x) v(\theta, x) - b(\theta, x) \right| \\ \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^{N-1}} \\ \text{for all } (\theta, x) \in D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(V.3.65) \quad v(0, x) = u_0(x),$$

$$(V.3.66) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n, \text{ there exists } C_\gamma \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\partial_x^\gamma v(\theta, x)| \leq C_\gamma \langle \theta \rangle \text{ for all } (\theta, x) \in D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof (V.3.65) is obvious, (V.3.66) follows from (V.3.57), (V.3.60) and (V.3.63). Let us show (V.3.64). We set

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k} (x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}v(\theta, x) + a(\theta, x)v(\theta, x) - b(\theta, x) &= b(\theta, x) + \int_0^\theta e^{A(s, \theta, x)} [\mathcal{L}B(s, \theta, x) + \mathcal{L}A(s, \theta, x) B(s, \theta, x)] ds \\ &\quad + e^{A(0, \theta, x)} (u(\theta, x) \mathcal{L}A(0, \theta, x) + \mathcal{L}u(\theta, x)) + a(\theta, x) e^{A(0, \theta, x)} u(\theta, x) \\ &\quad + a(\theta, x) \int_0^\theta e^{A(s, \theta, x)} B(s, \theta, x) ds - b(\theta, x). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}v(\theta, x) + a(\theta, x)v(\theta, x) - b(\theta, x) &= \int_0^\theta e^{A(s, \theta, x)} [\mathcal{L}B(s, \theta, x) + (\mathcal{L}A(s, \theta, x) + a(\theta, x)) B(s, \theta, x)] ds \\ &\quad + e^{A(0, \theta, x)} [\mathcal{L}A(0, \theta, x) + a(\theta, x)] u(\theta, x) + e^{A(0, \theta, x)} \mathcal{L}u(\theta, x). \end{aligned}$$

The Propositions V.3.15, V.3.16 and V.3.17 show that

$$|\mathcal{L}B(s, \theta, x)| + |\mathcal{L}A(s, \theta, x) + a(\theta, x)| + |\mathcal{L}u(\theta, x)| \leq C_N \frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|^N}{\langle \theta \rangle^N}$$

and $|A(s, \theta, x)| \leq C$. Then (V.3.64) follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem V.1.1 (continued). Case of incoming points Let us set

$$(V.3.67) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} (x, \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \\ a(\theta, x) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x_k} (\theta, x, \alpha) - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} + i \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x) \Phi_j(\theta, x, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

By Proposition IV.4.14 (ii) we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} (\theta, x, \alpha) - \Phi(\theta, x, \alpha) \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N.$$

Therefore using (V.1.3), (V.1.4) we see that to prove Theorem V.1.1 it will be sufficient to construct a smooth symbol $f = f(\theta, x, \lambda)$ (with all derivatives in x bounded) such that

$$\left| \mathcal{L}f + af + \frac{1}{\lambda} {}^t P f \right| \leq C_N \lambda^{-N}.$$

We shall take f on the form

$$f(\theta, x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda^{-k} f_k(\theta, x, \alpha),$$

where the f'_k 's are the solutions of the problems,

$$(V.3.68) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}f_0 + af_0 = 0, & f_0|_{\theta=0} = 1, \\ \mathcal{L}f_k + af_k = -{}^t P f_{k-1}, & f_k|_{\theta=0} = 0, \quad k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem V.3.18 we have,

$$(V.3.69) \quad \begin{cases} f_0(\theta, x) = e^{A(0, \theta, x)}, \\ f_k(\theta, x) = \int_0^\theta e^{A(s, \theta, x)} B_k(s, \theta, x) ds \text{ where,} \\ A(s, \theta, x) = - \int_s^\theta a(\sigma, X(\sigma, \theta, x)) d\sigma, \\ B_k(s, \theta, x) = -{}^t P f_{k-1}(s, X(s, \theta, x)), \quad k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to prove, by induction on $k \geq 0$ that,

$$(V.3.70) \quad |\partial_x^\gamma f_k(\theta, x)| \leq C_{k, \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\gamma|}.$$

We claim that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$(V.3.71) \quad |\partial_x^\ell A(s, \theta, x)| \leq C_\ell \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\ell|},$$

uniformly with respect to $s \in [0, \theta]$ and $(\theta, x) \in \Omega_\delta$.

Indeed using (V.3.18) and the estimates on $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ given in Theorem IV.4.2 we see easily that

$$(V.3.72) \quad |\partial_{(z, \bar{z})}^\beta a(\sigma, z)| \leq C_\beta \left(\frac{1}{\langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle^{|\beta|+2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \sigma \rangle^{|\beta|+2}} \right).$$

Moreover by Theorem V.3.2 (iii) we have

$$(V.3.73) \quad \langle \operatorname{Re} X(\sigma, \theta, x) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{K} (\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - \sigma \rangle).$$

Using the Faa di Bruno formula we see that $\partial_x^\ell A(s, \theta, x)$ is bounded by a finite sum of terms of the following form.

$$(1) = \int_0^\theta \left| \partial_{(z, \bar{z})}^\beta a(\sigma, X(\sigma, \theta, x)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_x^{\ell_j} X(\sigma, \theta, x))^{k_j} \right| d\sigma$$

where $1 \leq |\beta| \leq |\ell|$, $1 \leq s \leq |\beta|$, $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \beta$, $\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| \ell_j = \ell$.

Setting $I_1 = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} : |\ell_j| = 1\}$, $I_2 = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} : |\ell_j| \geq 2\}$ and using (V.3.72), (V.3.73) and (V.3.45) we can write

$$(1) \leq C \int_0^\theta \left[\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - \sigma \rangle)^{|\beta|+2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{\langle \sigma \rangle^{|\beta|+2}} \right] \frac{\langle \sigma \rangle^{|\beta|}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|}} d\sigma \prod_{j \in I_2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\ell_j|-1}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\ell_j|-1}} \right)^{|k_j|}$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \beta$.

Using (V.3.50) and the fact that $\sum_{j \in I_2} |k_j| |\ell_j| = |\ell| - |\beta|$ we obtain

$$(1) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\beta|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\ell|-|\beta|}$$

which proves (V.3.71).

As a consequence of (V.3.71) we claim that

$$(V.3.74) \quad |\partial_x^\gamma (e^{A(s, \theta, x)})| \leq C_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\gamma|}.$$

Indeed by the Faa di Bruno formula the left hand side of (V.3.74) can be bounded by a finite sum of terms of the form

$$(2) = \left| e^{A(s, \theta, x)} \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_x^{\ell_j} A(s, \theta, x))^{k_j} \right|$$

where $1 \leq s \leq |\gamma|$, $1 \leq \sum |k_j| \leq \ell$, $\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| \ell_j = |\gamma|$.

Then (V.3.74) follows easily from (V.3.71).

Now (V.3.70) for $k = 0$ follows from (V.3.74) (take $s = 0$). On the other hand by the Faa di Bruno formula $\partial_x^\gamma f_k(\theta, x, \alpha)$ can be bounded by a finite sum of terms of the form

$$(3) = \int_0^\theta |\partial_x^{\gamma_1} (e^{A(s, \theta, x)})| \partial_x^{\gamma_2} [{}^t P f_{k-1}(s, X(s, \theta, x))] ds.$$

Setting for convenience $b_k = {}^t P f_{k-1}$ it follows from the induction and Lemma V.3.1 that

$$(V.3.75) \quad |\partial_{(z, \bar{z})}^\beta b_k(s, z)| \leq C_\beta \left(\frac{1}{\langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle} \right)^{|\beta|+2}.$$

Then the Faa di Bruno formula shows that the term $\partial_x^{\gamma_2} [{}^t P f_{k-1}(s, X(s, \theta, x))]$ can be estimated by a finite sum of terms of the form

$$(4) = \left| (\partial_{(z, \bar{z})}^\beta b_k)(s, X(s, \theta, x)) \prod_{\ell=1}^s (\partial_x^{\ell_j} X)^{k_j} \right|$$

where $1 \leq |\beta| \leq |\gamma_2|$, $1 \leq s \leq |\gamma_2|$, $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \beta$, $\sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| \ell_j = \gamma_2$. Then using (V.3.75), (V.3.73), (V.3.45) we see that

$$(4) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - s \rangle)^{|\beta|+2}} + \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^{|\beta|+2}} \right) \frac{\langle s \rangle^{|\beta|}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|}} \prod_{j \in I_2} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|k_j|(|\ell_j|-1)}.$$

Now by (V.3.71) we can write

$$(3) \leq C \int_0^\theta \left[\frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle + \langle \theta - s \rangle)^{|\beta|+2}} + \frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^{|\beta|+2}} \right] \frac{\langle s \rangle^{|\beta|}}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|}} ds \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|-|\beta|}$$

since $\sum_{j \in I_2} |k_j|(|\ell_j|-1) = |\gamma_2| - |\beta|$.

It follows from (V.3.50) that

$$(3) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\beta|}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|-|\beta|} \leq C' \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\gamma|}$$

since $|\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2| = |\gamma|$. This proves (V.3.70) for all k .

V.4 The amplitude for short time

We shall need the following precision on the amplitude when $|\theta| \leq 1$.

Proposition V.4.1 *Let a_N be the amplitude defined in Corollary V.1.2. Then for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ one can find a constant $C_\gamma \geq 0$ such that*

$$|\partial_\alpha^\gamma [a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)]| \leq C_\gamma$$

for all $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$.

Proof When $\theta \leq 1$ we can use the method of Section V.2 no matter is α , provided that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$. Let us recall how the amplitude a_N is produced. We have

$$(V.4.1) \quad \begin{cases} a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda) = \langle \theta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} e_N(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda), \\ e_N(\theta, x - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda) = f_N\left(\theta, \frac{x - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}, \alpha, \lambda\right), \\ f_N(\theta, z, \alpha) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N+1} \lambda^{-\ell} A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha), \\ L A_0 = 0, \quad L A_\ell = i Q A_{\ell-1}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N+1, \\ A_0(0, z, \alpha) = 1, \quad A_\ell(0, z, \alpha) = 0, \\ |\partial_z^\beta A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq C_\beta, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad |\theta| \leq 1, \quad |z| \leq \delta, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Now, according to Proposition III.2.1 for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ such that $|\gamma| \geq 1$ one can find $C'_\gamma \geq 0$ such that

$$(V.4.2) \quad |\partial_\alpha^\gamma x(\theta, \alpha)| + |\partial_\alpha^\gamma \xi(\theta, \alpha)| \leq C'_\gamma$$

if $|\theta| \leq 1$, $\alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$.

Assume that we show that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ one can find $C_{\beta\gamma} \geq 0$ such that

$$(V.4.3) \quad |\partial_z^\beta \partial_\alpha^\gamma A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq C_{\beta\gamma}$$

if $|\theta| \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$. It will follow from (V.4.1) to (V.4.3) and the Faa di Bruno formula that

$$(V.4.4) \quad |\partial_\alpha^\gamma a_N(\theta, x, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C_\gamma$$

if $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|x - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$, which is the claim of Proposition V.4.1.

So we are left with the proof of (V.4.3). By (V.2.8), (V.2.9) and (V.4.2) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ there exists $C_{\mu\gamma} \geq 0$ such that

$$|\partial_y^\mu \partial_\alpha^\gamma E_j(s, y, \alpha)| \leq C_{\mu\gamma},$$

for all $|s| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq \delta \langle s \rangle$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\varepsilon| \leq 2$.

It follows from (V.2.10), (V.2.11) that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ there exists $C_{\beta\gamma} \geq 0$ such that

$$(V.4.5) \quad |\partial_z^\beta \partial_\alpha^\gamma h_j(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq C_{\beta\gamma},$$

for all $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq \delta$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$.

And we see easily from (V.2.5), (V.4.2), (V.2.15), (V.2.16) that $h_j^{N_0}$, d^{N_0} , $k_\nu^{N_0}$ satisfy also the bound (V.4.5). By induction on the size of derivation, using the Faa di Bruno formula and the Gronwall Lemma we see easily that the solution $z = z(\theta, y, \alpha)$ of (V.2.26) satisfies the bound

$$(V.4.6) \quad |\partial_y^\mu \partial_\alpha^\gamma z(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq C_{\mu\gamma}$$

uniformly for $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq \eta$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$.

Moreover by Lemma V.2.3, if we denote by $\kappa(\theta, z)$ the inverse map of $z(\theta, y)$ we have also by (V.4.6),

$$(V.4.7) \quad |\partial_z^\beta \partial_\alpha^\gamma \kappa(\theta, z, \alpha)| \leq C_{\beta\gamma}$$

uniformly for $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq \delta$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$. Finally we have set for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, N_0 + 1$,

$$(V.4.8) \quad A_\ell(\theta, z, \alpha) = \tilde{A}_\ell(\theta, \kappa(\theta, z, \alpha), \alpha)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_0(\theta, y, \alpha) &= \exp \left[- \int_0^\theta d^{N_0}(t, z(t, y, \alpha), \alpha) dt \right] \\ \tilde{A}_\ell(\theta, y, \alpha) &= \exp \left[- \int_0^\theta d^{N_0}(t, z(t, y, \alpha), \alpha) dt \right] \int_0^\theta i(\tilde{Q} A_{\ell-1})(t, y, \alpha) dt \end{aligned}$$

so using (V.4.6), (V.4.7), (V.4.8) and the estimates (V.4.5) for d^{N_0} , $k_\nu^{N_0}$ we see easily that (V.4.3) holds, which completes the proof of Proposition V.4.1. $\square$

VI Microlocal localizations and the use of the FBI transform

In this Section using the phase and the amplitude constructed in Sections IV and V we shall define general FBI transforms which will lead to a parametrix for the Schrödinger equation. These constructions will be microlocal so we will need several microlocal localizations.

VI.1 Preliminaries

VI.1.1 The semi-classical calculus

We shall work with semi-classical pseudo-differential operators (p.d.o) and we shall use the Weyl calculus described by Hörmander. We refer to [H] for notations and details.

Let $p \in S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the usual class of symbol of order m) and let us set $a(x, \xi) = p(x, \frac{\xi}{\lambda})$, $\lambda \geq 1$. It is easy to see that $a \in S(M, g)$ where,

$$g = dx^2 + \frac{d\xi^2}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2}, \quad M = \lambda^{-m}(\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

The p.d.o associated to the symbol a is denoted by $p(x, \frac{D}{\lambda})$. Then we have the following symbolic calculus.

i) Let $p \in S_{1,0}^m$, $q \in S_{1,0}^{m'}$. Then one can find $\ell_\lambda \in S_{1,0}^{m+m'}$ such that

$$p\left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \circ q\left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda}\right) = \ell_\lambda\left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda}\right).$$

The semi norms of ℓ_λ are uniformly bounded when $\lambda \geq 1$ and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have

$$\ell_\lambda(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{1}{i^{|\alpha|}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{|\alpha|}} \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi) \partial_x^\alpha q(x, \xi) + \frac{1}{\lambda^N} r_N(\lambda, x, \xi)$$

where $r_N \in S_{1,0}^{m+m'-N}$ uniformly for $\lambda \geq 1$.

ii) Let $p \in S_{1,0}^0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| p\left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda}\right) u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}$$

for every $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. As a consequence, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $p \in S_{1,0}^m$ one can find a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2}\right)^s p\left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda}\right) u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \left\| \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2}\right)^{s+m} u \right\|_{L^2}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 1$, where $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2$.

VI.1.2 The FBI transform

We recall here the definition of the classical FBI transform as described in Sjöstrand [Sj]. We set for $\alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $c_n = 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{3n}{4}}$,

$$(VI.1.1) \quad Tu(\alpha, \lambda) = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda(y-\alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - \frac{\lambda}{2}|y-\alpha_x|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} u(y) dy.$$

Then T maps continuously the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the space of functions $v = v(\alpha)$ such that $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

The adjoint of T is then given by the formula

$$(VI.1.2) \quad T^*v(x, \lambda) = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{-i\lambda(x-\alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - \frac{\lambda}{2}|x-\alpha_x|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} v(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Then we have

$$(VI.1.3) \quad T^*T \text{ is the identity operator of } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We shall need also the expressions of T and T^* by means of the Fourier transform. We have,

$$(VI.1.4) \quad \begin{cases} Tu(\alpha, \lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{4}} \int e^{i\sigma \cdot \alpha_x - \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi + \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} \hat{u}(\sigma) d\sigma \\ \widehat{T^*v}(\xi, \lambda) = c'_n \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot \alpha_x - \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi + \frac{\xi}{\lambda}|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} v(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider now a self adjoint operator,

$$(VI.1.5) \quad P = \sum_{j,k=1}^n D_j (g^{jk} D_k) + \sum_{j=1}^n (D_j b_j + b_j D_j) + b_0$$

with $g^{jk} = \delta_{jk} + \varepsilon b_{jk}$, where ε is a small positive constant, δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol and,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\partial_x^\alpha b_{jk}(x)| \leq \frac{A_k}{\langle x \rangle^{k+1+\sigma_0}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \sigma_0 > 0.$$

Then by interpolation and duality we can prove the following estimates. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C \geq 1$ such that for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(VI.1.6) \quad \frac{1}{C} \|u\|_{H^s} \leq \|(I + P)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{H^s}.$$

For all $s \geq 0$ there exists $C \geq 1$ such that for all $\lambda \geq 1$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(VI.1.7) \quad \frac{1}{C} \lambda^{-s} \|u\|_{H^s} \leq \left\| \left(I + P \left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{H^s}$$

$$(VI.1.8) \quad \frac{1}{C} \|u\|_{H^{-s}} \leq \left\| \left(I + P \left(x, \frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \lambda^s \|u\|_{H^{-s}}.$$

VI.2 The microlocalization procedure

We begin by introducing several cut-off functions. Generally speaking we shall denote by χ (resp. ψ) cut-off functions in the space (resp. frequency) variables.

Let $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\xi_0| = 1$ be fixed.

Let $\chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that,

$$(VI.2.1) \quad \chi_0(s) = 1 \text{ if } s \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad \chi_0(s) = 0 \text{ if } s \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq \chi_0 \leq 1.$$

With $\delta_1 > 0$ to be chosen later on we set

$$(VI.2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_1^+(x) = \chi_0\left(-\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{\delta_1}\right), & \chi_2^+(x) = \chi_0\left(-\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{2\delta_1}\right), & \chi_3^+(x) = \chi_0\left(-\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{3\delta_1}\right) \\ \chi_1^-(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{\delta_1}\right), & \chi_2^-(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{2\delta_1}\right), & \chi_3^-(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x \cdot \xi_0}{3\delta_1}\right). \end{cases}$$

These cut-off functions will correspond to outgoing and incoming points. Now for convenience we shall set,

$$(VI.2.3) \quad a = \frac{6}{10}, \quad b = \frac{19}{10},$$

and with $\delta_2 \leq \frac{1}{100}$ (chosen later on) we introduce the following cut-off functions.

Let $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \leq \psi_0 \leq 1$ and

$$(VI.2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_0(\xi) = 1 \text{ if } \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0 \right| \leq \delta_2 \text{ and } |\xi| \geq 2\delta_2 \\ \text{supp } \psi_0 \subset \left\{ \xi : \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0 \right| \leq 2\delta_2 \text{ and } |\xi| \geq \delta_2 \right\} \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that, $0 \leq \psi_1 \leq 1$ and

$$(VI.2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_1(\xi) = 1 \text{ if } a - \delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + \delta_2, \\ \text{supp } \psi_1 \subset \{ \xi : a - 2\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 2\delta_2 \}. \end{cases}$$

We shall set

$$(VI.2.6) \quad \psi_2(\xi) = \psi_0(\xi) \psi_1(\xi).$$

Now we introduce for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the operators,

$$(VI.2.7) \quad \begin{cases} U_+(t) = \chi_1^+(x) \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) e^{-itP}, \\ U_-(t) = \chi_1^-(x) \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) e^{-itP}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that if we denote by U^* the adjoint of U then we have

$$(VI.2.8) \quad \begin{cases} U_\pm(t_1) U_\pm(t_2)^* = K_\pm(t_1 - t_2) \text{ where,} \\ K_+(t) = \chi_1^+(x) \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) e^{-itP} \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+(x), \\ K_-(t) = \chi_1^-(x) \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) e^{-itP} \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^-(x). \end{cases}$$

Let now $\psi_3 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \leq \psi_3 \leq 1$ and

$$(VI.2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_3(\xi) = 1 \text{ if } \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 3\delta_2 \quad \text{and } a - 3\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 3\delta_2 \\ \text{supp } \psi_3 \subset \left\{ \xi : \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 4\delta_2 \quad \text{and } a - 4\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 4\delta_2 \right\}. \end{cases}$$

The first localization result requires to introduce the following Definition.

Definition VI.2.1 We shall call $\mathcal{R}$ the set of families of operators $R = (R_\lambda(t))$ depending on $\lambda \in [1, +\infty[$ and $t \in [-T, T]$ such that for every N in $\mathbb{N}$ one can find a constant $C_N \geq 0$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(VI.2.10) \quad \|R_\lambda(t) u\|_{H^{2N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_N \|u\|_{H^{-2N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

uniformly with respect to $(\lambda, t) \in [1, +\infty[\times [-T, T]$.

Then we can state the following result.

Theorem VI.2.2 Let $T > 0$. For every $t \in [-T, T]$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ one can write

$$(VI.2.11) \quad K_+(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_2^+ T_{\alpha \rightarrow x}^* \chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T_{y \rightarrow \alpha} \left[e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ \right] + R_\lambda^+(t)$$

where $(R_\lambda^+(t)) \in \mathcal{R}$.

The same formula is true with the sign $-$ instead of $+$.

The proof of this Theorem requires several steps.

Lemma VI.2.3 *There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) T^* [(1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) v] \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{-\frac{\lambda}{8} \delta_2^2} \| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}$$

for every v such that $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof We claim that on the support of $\psi_2(\xi)(1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi))$ we have

$$(VI.2.12) \quad |\xi + \alpha_\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_2 |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Indeed, according to (VI.2.4) to (VI.2.6) and (VI.2.9) we have on this support $|\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0| \leq 2\delta_2$, $a - 2\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 2\delta_2$ and either $|\alpha_\xi| \leq a - 3\delta_2$ or $|\alpha_\xi| \geq b + 3\delta_2$ or $|\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0| \geq 3\delta_2$. In the first case we have $|\xi + \alpha_\xi| \geq |\xi| - |\alpha_\xi| \geq \delta_2$. In the second case we have $|\xi + \alpha_\xi| \geq |\alpha_\xi| - |\xi| \geq \delta_2$ and in the third one we have

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right| \geq \left| \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| - \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0 \right| \geq \delta_2.$$

Therefore $|\alpha_\xi + \frac{|\alpha_\xi|}{|\xi|} \xi| \geq \delta_2 |\alpha_\xi|$. It follows that

$$|\alpha_\xi + \xi| \geq \left| \alpha_\xi + \frac{|\alpha_\xi|}{|\xi|} \xi \right| - \left| \xi - \frac{|\alpha_\xi|}{|\xi|} \xi \right| \geq \delta_2 |\alpha_\xi| - ||\xi| - |\alpha_\xi|| \geq \delta_2 |\alpha_\xi| - |\alpha_\xi + \xi|$$

so $|\alpha_\xi + \xi| \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_2 |\alpha_\xi|$ and our claim is proved.

Now using (VI.1.4) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= \mathcal{F} \left(\psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) T^* [(1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) v] \right) (\xi) \\ &= c_n \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} \psi_2 \left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-i\xi \cdot \alpha_x - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi + \frac{\xi}{\lambda}|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} (1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) v(\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$w(\xi, \alpha_\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot \alpha_x - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} v(\alpha) d\alpha_x = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_x} (e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} v)(\xi, \alpha_\xi).$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$|(1)|^2 \leq C \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda |\alpha_\xi + \frac{\xi}{\lambda}|^2} \psi_2^2 \left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda} \right) (1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi))^2 d\alpha_\xi \right) \left(\int |w(\xi, \alpha_\xi)|^2 d\alpha_\xi \right)$$

so by (VI.2.12)

$$|(1)|^2 \leq C \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{8} \delta_2^2} \left(\int e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi + \frac{\xi}{\lambda}|^2} d\alpha_\xi \right) \left(\int |w(\xi, \alpha_\xi)|^2 d\alpha_\xi \right).$$

It follows that

$$|(1)|^2 \leq C' e^{-\frac{\lambda}{8} \delta_2^2} \int |w(\xi, \alpha_\xi)|^2 d\alpha_\xi.$$

Integrating with respect to ξ and using Parseval identity we obtain

$$\left\| \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) T^*[(1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi))v] \right\|_{L^2} \leq C e^{-\frac{\lambda}{8}\delta_2^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} |v(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha.$$

□

Corollary VI.2.4 *We have for $t \in [-T, T]$ and $\lambda \geq 1$,*

$$K_+(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T e^{-itP} \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ + R_\lambda^+(t)$$

where $(R_\lambda^+(t)) \in \mathcal{R}$. The same is true for the sign $-$.

Proof Using (VI.1.3) we write $\text{Id} = T^*T = T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T + T^*(1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) T$. So we have to prove that the family of operators

$$R_\lambda^+(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) T^*(1 - \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) T e^{-itP} \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+$$

belong to $\mathcal{R}$.

Let $\tilde{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$ be such that $\tilde{\psi}(\xi) \psi_2(\xi) = \psi_2(\xi)$. Then writing $\psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) = \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right)$, using Lemma VI.2.3 and the fact that $\|\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) v\|_{H^{2N}} \leq C \lambda^{2N} \|v\|_{L^2}$ we obtain

$$\|R_\lambda^+(t) v\|_{H^{2N}} \leq C \lambda^{2N} e^{-\frac{1}{8}\lambda\delta_2^2} \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} T e^{-itP} \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Since T is continuous from L^2 to the space of v such that $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} v \in L^2$ and using the conservation of L^2 norm we obtain

$$\|R_\lambda^+(t) v\|_{H^{2N}} \leq C \lambda^{2N} e^{-\frac{1}{8}\lambda\delta_2^2} \left\| \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C' \lambda^{4n} e^{-\frac{1}{8}\lambda\delta_2^2} \|u\|_{H^{-2N}}$$

so our claim is proved. □

Lemma VI.2.5 *Let χ_2^+ be defined in (VI.2.2). Then we have for $t \in [-T, T]$ and $\lambda \geq 1$,*

$$K_+(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_2^+ T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ + R_\lambda^+(t)$$

where $(R_\lambda^+(t)) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof Since by (VI.2.2) the support of χ_1^+ and $1 - \chi_2^+$ are disjoint, the symbolic calculus shows that the operators $\chi_1^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right)(1 - \chi_2^+)$ and $(1 - \chi_2^+) \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+$ belong to $\frac{1}{\lambda^M} S_{1,0}^{-M}$ for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows from (VI.1.7) that if $M \geq 2N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) (1 - \chi_2^+) T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T e^{-itP} \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{H^{2N}} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{2N} \left\| \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2} \right)^N \chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) (1 - \chi_2^+) T^* \cdots \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{2N-M} \left\| T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T e^{-itP} \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{2N-M} \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T e^{-itP} \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{2N-M} \left\| e^{-itP} \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \lambda^{2N-M} \left\| \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{4N-M} \|u\|_{H^{-2N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $M \geq 4N$ we conclude that the remainder under consideration belong to $\mathcal{R}$. By the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_2^+ T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) T e^{-itP} (1 - \chi_2^+) \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{H^{2N}} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{2N} \left\| \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2} \right)^N \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_2^+ T^* \cdots u \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{2N} \left\| (1 - \chi_2^+) \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2} \right)^N \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2} \right)^{-N} u \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C' \lambda^{2N-M} \left\| \left(I - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda^2} \right)^{-N} u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C'' \lambda^{4N-M} \|u\|_{H^{-2N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma VI.2.6 Let $\psi_a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\chi_a(x)$, $\chi_b(\alpha_x)$ be C^∞ functions such that one can find $\mu > 0$ such that $|x - \alpha_x| \geq \mu$ if (x, α_x) belongs to $\text{supp}[\chi_a(x)(1 - \chi_b(\alpha_x))]$. Then one can find $\varepsilon > 0$, $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \chi_a T^* [\psi_a(\alpha_\xi)(1 - \chi_b(\alpha_x)) v] \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C e^{-\varepsilon \lambda} \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} v \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\alpha_x}^{2n})}$$

for all v such that the right hand side norm is finite.

Proof It follows from (VI.1.2) that

$$\chi_a(x) T^* (\psi_a(\alpha_\xi)(1 - \chi_b(\alpha_x)) v)(x) = \int K(x, \alpha) e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} v(\alpha) d\alpha$$

where

$$K(x, \alpha) = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} e^{-i\lambda(x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - \frac{\lambda}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2} \psi_a(\alpha_\xi) \chi_a(x) (1 - \chi_b(\alpha_x)).$$

Using our assumption we can write

$$|K(x, \alpha)| \leq C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} \mu^2} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} |x - \alpha_x|^2} \psi_a(\alpha_\xi).$$

Therefore one can find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that,

$$\sup_x \int |K(x, \alpha)| d\alpha \leq C e^{-\varepsilon \lambda}, \quad \sup_\alpha \int |K(x, \alpha)| dx \leq C e^{-\varepsilon \lambda},$$

so the Lemma follows from the well known Schur Lemma. $\square$

Corollary VI.2.7 We have

$$K_+(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_2^+ T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \chi_3^+(\alpha_x) T e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ + R_\lambda^+(t)$$

where $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and the same is true for the sign $-$.

Proof We have to show that the operator

$$R_\lambda^+(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_2^+ T^* \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) (1 - \chi_3^+(\alpha_x)) T e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+$$

belongs to $\mathcal{R}$.

We apply Lemma VI.2.6 with $\chi_a = \chi_2^+$, $\psi_a = \psi_3$, $\chi_b = \chi_3^+$. Then according to (VI.2.2) we have on the support of $\chi_2^+(x)(1 - \chi_3^+(\alpha_x))$, $-x \cdot \xi_0 \leq 2\delta_1$, $-\alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 \geq \frac{9}{4}\delta_1$. Therefore we have, $\frac{9}{4}\delta_1 \leq -\alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq (x - \alpha_x) \cdot \xi_0 - x \cdot \xi_0 \leq |x - \alpha_x| \cdot |\xi_0| + 2\delta_1$, so $|x - \alpha_x| \geq \mu > 0$. Then using Lemma VI.2.6 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda(t) u\|_{H^{2N}} &\leq C \lambda^{2N} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda} \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} T e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C' \lambda^{2N} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda} \left\| \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C'' \lambda^{4N} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda} \|u\|_{H^{-2N}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem VI.2.2 It follows immediately from Corollary VI.2.7.

VI.3 The one sided parametrix

The purpose of this Section is to use the results of Sections IV, V and VI.2 to show that the operators $K_+(t)$ and $K_-(t)$ introduced in (VI.2.5) can be written as Fourier integral operators with complex phase functions. We shall take the expression of $K_\pm(t)$ given by Theorem VI.2.2 and we begin by considering the expression

$$T \left[e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u \right] (\alpha, \lambda) \quad (\text{see (VI.1.1)}).$$

Let us first introduce some other cut-off functions.

$$(VI.3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{If } |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq \frac{c_0}{2} \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \text{ we set } \chi_4^\pm(y) \equiv 1. \\ \text{If } |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > \frac{c_0}{2} \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \text{ we set,} \\ \quad (i) \quad \text{in the } + \text{ case, } \chi_4^+(y) = \chi_0\left(-\frac{y \cdot \xi_0}{5\delta_1}\right), \\ \quad (ii) \quad \text{in the } - \text{ case, } \chi_4^-(y) = \chi_0\left(\frac{y \cdot \xi_0}{5\delta_1}\right), \\ \quad \text{where } \chi_0 \text{ has been defined (in (VI.2.1)).} \end{cases}$$

In all cases let $\chi_5 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such $0 \leq \chi_5 \leq 1$ and

$$(VI.3.2) \quad \chi_5(y) = 1 \quad \text{if } |y| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \text{supp } \chi_5 \subset \{y : |y| \leq \delta\}$$

where δ is the small constant introduced in Theorem IV.1.2. For the convenience of the reader let us recall the main properties of the phase and the symbol constructed in Corollary V.1.2, and Theorem IV.1.2. First of all the phase φ is defined on the set Ω_δ where,

(i) if $|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ then

$$\Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle\}.$$

(ii) if $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ then,

$$\Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, y) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle\} \cup \{(\theta, y) \in (-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle \text{ and } y \cdot \alpha_\xi \geq -c_1 \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}.$$

(iii) if $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi < -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ then,

$$\Omega_\delta = \{(\theta, y) \in (-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle\} \cup \{(\theta, y) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle \text{ and } y \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_1 \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|\}.$$

Moreover on this domain

$$(VI.3.3) \quad \text{Im } \varphi(\theta, y, \alpha) \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|y - x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{1 + 4\theta^2} - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

Now if we set, with the notations of Theorem V.1.1, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(VI.3.4) \quad a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) = (1 + \theta^2)^{-\frac{n}{4}} e_N(\theta, y - x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha, \lambda),$$

then a is defined on Ω_δ for $\lambda \geq 1$ and satisfies,

$$(VI.3.5) \quad \begin{cases} a(0, y, \alpha, \lambda) = 1, \\ |a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq c(1 + \theta^2)^{-\frac{n}{4}}, \\ \left(i\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + {}^t P\right) \left(e^{i\lambda \varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)\right) = b_N(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) e^{i\lambda \varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)}, \\ \text{with } |b_N(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq c_N(1 + \theta^2)^{-\frac{n}{4}} \left(\lambda^{-N} + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{|y - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)^N\right). \end{cases}$$

Let us introduce now the following set.

$$(VI.3.6) \quad W^\pm = \left\{ \alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \quad |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n : |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \quad (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in \text{supp}(\chi_3^\pm(\alpha_x) \cdot \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) \right\}$$

where $\chi_3^\pm$ and ψ_3 have been defined in (VI.2.2) and (VI.2.9).

Then we can state the main result of this Section.

Theorem VI.3.1 *We have for $t \in [-T, T]$, and $\alpha \in W^+$,*

$$T[e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ v](\alpha, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda \varphi(-\lambda t, y, \alpha)} a(-\lambda t, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(-\lambda t, \alpha)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle}\right) [\chi_2^+ v](y) dy + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\alpha)$$

where the operator J_λ^+ is such that, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find a constant $C_M > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq 1$ and $t \in [-T, T]$

$$\|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} J_\lambda^+(t) v\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq \frac{C_M}{\lambda^M} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and the same is true with the minus sign.

Proof Let us introduce the following family of operators. We set for $\alpha \in W^+$

$$(VI.3.7) \quad Sv(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) [e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ v](y) dy.$$

We must verify that the right hand side is indeed well defined.

On the support of χ_5 we have $|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ (which is one of the conditions for (θ, y) to be in Ω_δ). If $\alpha \in W^+$ then either $|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon|$ or $|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon|$ and $(\alpha_x, \alpha_\varepsilon) \in \text{supp}(\chi_2^+ \cdot \psi_3)$. In the first case by (VI.3.1) $\chi_4^+(y) = 1$ but $(\theta, y) \in \Omega_\delta$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. In the second case since $\alpha_x \in \text{supp} \chi_3^+$ and $\alpha_\xi \in \text{supp} \psi_3$ we have, by (VI.2.1), (VI.2.2) and (VI.2.9), $\alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 \geq -3\delta_1$ and $|\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0| \leq 4\delta_2$. It follows that

$$(VI.3.8) \quad \alpha_x \cdot \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} = -\alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 + \alpha_x \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right) \leq 7\delta_1 \langle \alpha_x \rangle.$$

On the other hand we have $|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. According to (VI.3.8) we cannot have $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ if $\delta_1 \ll c_0$; thus we must have $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi < -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. So we are in the case (iii) for the definition of Ω_δ . Since in the integral, on the support of $\chi_4^+(y)$ we have by (VI.3.1), $y \cdot \xi_0 \geq -5\delta_1 \geq -5\delta_1 \langle y \rangle$, we deduce that

$$y \cdot \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} = -y \cdot \xi_0 + y \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right) \leq 9\delta_1 \langle y \rangle < c_0 \langle y \rangle.$$

Therefore $(\theta, y) \in \Omega_\delta$ and the right hand side of (VI.3.7) is well defined. Of course the same argument is valid in the case of the minus sign.

Now let us set for $s \in [0, t]$ if $t > 0$ (resp. $s \in [t, 0]$ if $t < 0$)

$$(VI.3.9) \quad g(s) = Sv(\lambda(s - t), s, \alpha, \lambda).$$

Since $g'(s) = (\lambda \partial_\theta + \partial_t)(Sv)(\lambda(s - t), s, \alpha, \lambda)$ we obtain

$$(VI.3.10) \quad \begin{cases} Sv(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = Sv(-\lambda t, 0, \alpha, \lambda) + \int_0^t (\lambda \partial_\theta + \partial_t)(Sv)(\lambda(s - t), s, \alpha, \lambda) ds & \text{if } t > 0 \\ Sv(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = Sv(-\lambda t, 0, \alpha, \lambda) - \int_0^t (\lambda \partial_\theta + \partial_t)(Sv)(\lambda(s - t), s, \alpha, \lambda) ds & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us set

$$(VI.3.11) \quad U(t, y, \lambda) = [e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ v](y).$$

Then according to (VI.3.7) we can write

$$(VI.3.12) \quad (\lambda \partial_\theta + \partial_t)(Sv)(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} (A_1 + A_2 + A_3)$$

where

(VI.3.13)

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = \int \lambda \partial_\theta (e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)) \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ A_2 = \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) (-iP) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ A_3 = \sum_{j=1}^n \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_4^+(y) (\partial_j \chi_5)\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) \partial_\theta\left(\frac{y_j-x_j(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy. \end{cases}$$

Integrating by parts in the integral giving A_2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \int (-i^t P) [e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)] \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |\beta| \leq 2 \\ \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2}} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} b_\beta(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) (\partial_y^{\beta_1} \chi_4^+)(y) \langle\theta\rangle^{-|\beta_2|} (\partial_y^{\beta_2} \chi_5)\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \end{aligned}$$

where b_β is a symbol satisfying the same estimates as $a(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)$ (see (VI.3.5)).

Combining the term A_1 with the first part of A_2 and using (VI.3.5) we can write

$$(VI.3.14) \quad (\lambda \partial_\theta + \partial_t)(Sv)(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} (B_1 + B_2 + B_3)$$

where

$$(VI.3.15) \quad \begin{cases} B_1(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} b_N(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ B_2(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = \sum_{\substack{|\beta_1 + \beta_2| \leq 2 \\ \beta_2 \neq 0}} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} C_{\beta_1\beta_2}(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) (\partial_y^{\beta_1} \chi_4^+)(y) \langle\theta\rangle^{-|\beta_2|} (\partial_y^{\beta_2} \chi_5)\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ B_3(\theta, t, \alpha, \lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha)} d_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) (\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+)(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \end{cases}$$

where C_{β_1, β_2} and d_γ are bounded symbol. Here we used the estimate $|\partial_\theta(\frac{y_j-x_j(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle})| \leq \frac{C}{\langle\theta\rangle}$. Now we state a Lemma.

Lemma VI.3.2 *One can find constants $C > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and for every $M \geq 0$ a constant $C_M > 0$ such that for all θ, t, λ such that $\lambda \geq 1$, $|\theta| \leq |\lambda t|$, $|t| \leq T$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} B_1(\theta, t, \cdot, \lambda)\|_{L^2(W^+)} &\leq C_M \lambda^{-M} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} B_2(\theta, t, \cdot, \lambda)\|_{L^2(W^+)} &\leq C e^{-\eta\lambda} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

for all v in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof Let us consider the term B_1 . Using (VI.3.5) and (VI.3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta,y,\alpha) - \frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} b_N(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta,\alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) \chi_4^+(y) \mathbf{1}_{W^+}(\alpha) \right| \\ &\leq C_N e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y-x(\theta,\alpha)|^2}{\langle\theta\rangle^2}} \langle\theta\rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\lambda^{-N} + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{|y-x(\theta,\alpha)|}{\langle\theta\rangle} \right)^N \right) \mathbf{1}_{W^+}(\alpha) =: K(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} B_1 = \int K(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) U(t, y, \lambda) dy.$$

We want to apply Schur Lemma to this integral operator. First of all making the change of variables $y - x(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{\langle \theta \rangle z}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ we can write

$$\int K(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) dy \leq C_N \langle \theta \rangle^{\frac{n}{2}} \int e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{16}} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda^{-N} + \lambda^2 \lambda^{-\frac{N}{2}} |z|^N) dz.$$

Since $\langle \theta \rangle \leq 1 + \lambda T$ we obtain finally for all $M \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, $\int K(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) dy \leq C_M \lambda^{-M}$.

To estimate $(1) = \int K(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) d\alpha$ we make the change of variable $\beta = (x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha))$. Since this transformation is symplectic we have $d\alpha = d\beta$. Therefore we obtain,

$$(1) \leq C_N \int e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y - \beta_x|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}} \langle \theta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi(-\theta, \beta)| \leq 2}(\beta) \left(\lambda^{-N} + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{|y - \beta_x|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^N \right) d\beta.$$

Since $\xi(-\theta, \beta) = \beta_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ we have $\frac{1}{3} \leq |\beta_\xi| \leq 3$. Setting as before $y - \beta_x = \frac{\langle \theta \rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ we deduce easily that $(1) \leq C_M \lambda^{-M}$ for every $M \geq 0$. It follows from these estimates, the Schur Lemma and (VI.3.11) that,

$$\|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} B_1\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq C_M \lambda^{-M} \|e^{-itP} \psi^2\left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2}\right) \chi_2^+ v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C'_M \lambda^{-M} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To deal with the term B_2 we use exactly the same computations and the fact that on the support of $(\partial_y^{\beta_2} \chi_5)\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)$, for $|\beta_2| \geq 1$ we have $\frac{\delta}{2} \leq \frac{|y - x(\theta, \alpha)|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq \delta$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem VI.3.1 in the following cases

$$(VI.3.16) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \text{ and } t \in [-T, T], \\ \text{(ii)} & |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \text{ and } t \in [0, T]. \end{cases}$$

In both these cases we are going to show, with the notations of (VI.3.15), that $B_3 \equiv 0$.

In the case (i) this is obvious since by (VI.3.1) we took $\chi_4^+(y) \equiv 1$. Now if $t > 0$, by (VI.3.9) we have $\theta = \lambda(s - t) \leq 0$. In the case (ii) we have seen that in the case + we must have $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\varepsilon \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\varepsilon|$. Now on the support of $\chi_5\left(\frac{y - y(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)$ we have $|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle$ and it follows from Proposition III.4.1 that $x(\theta, \alpha) = \alpha_x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle)$. Then we write

$$y \cdot \xi_0 = (y - x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \xi_0 + (\alpha_x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi) \cdot \xi_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle).$$

On the support of $\psi_3(\alpha_\xi)$ we have $\left| \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 4\delta_1$ so

$$y \cdot \xi_0 = (\alpha_x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi) \cdot \left(\xi_0 + \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} \right) - (\alpha_x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi) \cdot \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon + \delta) \langle \theta \rangle)$$

$$y \cdot \xi_0 \geq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle + 2|\theta| |\alpha_\xi| - 4\delta_1 \langle \alpha_x \rangle - C(\delta + \varepsilon + \delta_1) \langle \theta \rangle.$$

Since $\delta, \varepsilon, \delta_1$ are small compared to c_0 we deduce that $y \cdot \xi_0 \geq \frac{c_0}{2} \langle \theta \rangle$ in the integral defining B_3 in (VI.3.15). Since the support of $\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+(y)$, for $\gamma \neq 0$, is contained in $\frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{-y \cdot \xi_0}{5\delta_1} \leq 1$ we deduce that $B_3 \equiv 0$ in this case, (see (VI.3.1) and (VI.2.2)). It follows from (VI.3.10) and (VI.3.14) that

$$(VI.3.17) \quad Sv(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = Sv(-\lambda t, 0, \alpha, \lambda) + \int_0^t (B_1 + B_2)(s) ds.$$

It follows from Lemma VI.3.2 that,

$$(VI.3.18) \quad \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} \int_0^t (B_1 + B_2)(s) ds \right\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^M} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(0, y, \alpha) = \varphi_0(y, \alpha) + g(y - \alpha_x), \text{ where} \\ \varphi_0(y, \alpha) = (y - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} |y - \alpha_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2, \\ |g(x)| \leq C_N |x|^N \text{ for every } N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\chi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \chi \subset \{x : |x| \leq 2\}$ and let us fix $N \geq 3$. We can write

$$(VI.3.19) \quad Sv(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = A_1 - A_2 - A_3 + A_4$$

where

$$(VI.3.20) \quad \begin{cases} A_1 = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi_0(y, \alpha)} \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5(y - \alpha_x) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ A_2 = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi_0(y, \alpha)} (1 - \chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N)) \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5(y - \alpha_x) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ A_3 = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi_0(y, \alpha)} (1 - e^{i\lambda g(y - \alpha_x)}) \chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N) \chi_4^+(y) \\ \quad \cdot \chi_5(y - \alpha_x) U(t, y, \lambda) dy \\ A_4 = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda(\varphi_0(y, \alpha) + g(y - \alpha_x))} (1 - \chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N)) \chi_4^+(y) \\ \quad \cdot \chi_5(y - \alpha_x) U(t, y, \lambda) dy. \end{cases}$$

We claim that we have for $j = 2, 3, 4$,

$$(VI.3.21) \quad \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} A_j \right\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq \frac{C_{M_N}}{\lambda^{M_N}} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, M_N \rightarrow +\infty \text{ if } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(i) Term A_2

On the support $1 - \chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N)$ we have $|y - \alpha_x| \geq C'_N \lambda^{-\frac{1}{N}}$. So,

$$\left| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} e^{i\varphi_0(y, \alpha)} (1 - \chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N)) \right| \leq C e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} |y - \alpha_x|^2} e^{-C''_N \lambda^{1 - \frac{2}{N}}}.$$

Using the Schur Lemma and the inequality $\|U(t, \cdot, \alpha)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|v\|_{L^2}$ (see (VI.3.11)) we obtain (VI.3.21) for A_2 .

(ii) Term A_3

On the support of $\chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N)$ we have $\lambda |g(y - \alpha_x)| \leq C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N \leq 2$. Therefore we have $|1 - e^{i\lambda g(y - \alpha_x)}| \leq C \lambda |g(y - \alpha_x)| \leq C'_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} e^{i\lambda \varphi_0(y, \alpha)} (1 - e^{i\lambda g(y - \alpha_x)}) \chi(C_N \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N) \right| &\leq C'_N e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |y - \alpha_x|^2} \cdot \lambda |y - \alpha_x|^N \\ &\leq \frac{C'_N}{\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |y - \alpha_x|)^N e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} |y - \alpha_x|^2} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} |y - \alpha_x|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C'_N}{\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} |y - \alpha_x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The Schur Lemma shows again that A_3 satisfies (VI.3.21).

(iii) Term A_4

On the support of $\chi_5(y - \alpha_x)$ we have, according to (VI.3.2), $|y - \alpha_x| \leq \delta$. It follows that $|g(\alpha_x - y)| \leq \delta C_3 |y - \alpha_x|^2$ so if δ is small enough,

$$-\frac{1}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2 - \operatorname{Im} \varphi_0(y, \alpha) - \operatorname{Im} g(y - \alpha_x) \leq -\frac{1}{4} |y - \alpha_x|^2$$

and, as for A_2 , the Schur Lemma implies that A_4 satisfies (VI.3.21).

Using (VI.3.19) and (VI.3.21) we see that

$$(VI.3.22) \quad Sv(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = c_n \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda \varphi_0(y, \alpha)} \chi_4^+(y) \chi_5(y - \alpha_x) U(t, y, \lambda) dy + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\alpha).$$

$$(VI.3.23) \quad \|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} J_\lambda^+(t) v\| \leq C_M \lambda^{-M} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, on the support of $\chi_5(y - \alpha_x) - 1$ we have $|y - \alpha_x| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ so modulo a term which satisfies (VI.3.23) we can remove $\chi_5(y - \alpha_x)$ in the right hand side of (VI.3.22). Let us remove χ_4^+ . When $\alpha \in W^+$ we have $|\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$ and $\chi_4^+(y) \equiv 1$ (see (VI.3.1)) (so there is nothing to remove) or $\alpha_x \in \operatorname{supp} \chi_3^+$ (see (VI.3.6)) that is $-\alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq 3\delta_1$. In the later case on the support of $1 - \chi_4^+(y)$ we have $\frac{-y \cdot \xi_0}{5\delta_1} \geq \frac{3}{4}$ (see (VI.2.1), (VI.3.1)) so $|y - \alpha_x| \geq \alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 - y \cdot \xi_0 \geq \frac{3}{4} \delta_1$. The corresponding term, again by the Schur Lemma, satisfies (VI.3.23).

Using (VI.1.1) we see therefore that

$$(VI.3.24) \quad Sv(0, t, \alpha, \lambda) = T[e^{-itP} \chi_2^+ v](\alpha, \lambda) + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\alpha),$$

where $J_\lambda^+(t)$ satisfies (VI.3.23).

Gathering the informations given by (VI.3.17), (VI.3.18), (VI.3.24) and (VI.3.23) we obtain the claim of Theorem VI.3.1 in the case (VI.3.16).

Proof of Theorem VI.3.1 in the following case

$$(VI.3.25) \quad |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \quad \text{and} \quad t \in [-T, 0].$$

According to (VI.3.14), (VI.3.15) and Lemma VI.3.2, we must prove that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that for $\lambda \geq 1$,

$$(VI.3.26) \quad \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} B_3(\theta, t, \cdot, \lambda) \right\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Here $\theta = \lambda(s - t) > 0$ since $s \in [t, 0]$.

Let us introduce a new cut-off function. Let $\psi_4 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \leq \psi_4 \leq 1$ and,

$$(VI.3.27) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_4(\xi) = 1 & \text{if } \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 5\delta_2, \quad a - 5\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 5\delta_2 \\ \text{supp } \psi_4 \subset \left\{ \xi : \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 6\delta_2, \quad a - 6\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 6\delta_2 \right\}. \end{cases}$$

We state a Lemma.

Lemma VI.3.3 *Let us set*

$$(VI.3.28) \quad \tilde{W}^+ = \left\{ \alpha : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2, \quad |\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi| > c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \quad (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in \text{supp}(\chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) \right\}.$$

Let $k(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)$ be a symbol and let us set

$$F(\theta, \alpha, \lambda) = \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{W}^+}(\alpha) e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} k(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) \partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+(y) \left[I - \psi_4\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \right] v(y) dy.$$

Then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \lambda T$ we have

$$\|F(\theta, \cdot, \lambda)\|_{L^2} \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof By (VI.2.12) we have $|\xi + \alpha_\xi| \geq \mu > 0$ on the support of $\psi_3(\alpha_\xi)(1 - \psi_4(\xi))$. Now recall that Theorem IV.1.2 shows that the phase φ satisfies

$$(VI.3.29) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \\ |\partial_y^\beta \varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)| \leq C_\beta \quad \text{if } |\beta| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

on the support of $\chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) \partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+(y) \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{W}^+}(\alpha)$.

Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $g(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 1$. Then

$$\left(I - \psi_4\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \right) v(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^n \iint e^{i\lambda(y-z) \cdot \xi} (1 - \psi_4(\xi)) g(\varepsilon \xi) v(z) dz d\xi.$$

It follows that

$$(VI.3.30) \quad \begin{cases} F(\theta, \alpha, \lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int K_\varepsilon(\alpha, z) v(z) dz \quad \text{with} \\ K_\varepsilon(\alpha, z) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^n \iint e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{W}^+}(\alpha) e^{i\lambda[\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha) + (y-z) \cdot \xi]} k(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) \\ \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) \partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+(y) (1 - \psi_4(\xi)) g(\varepsilon \xi) d\xi dy. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the vector field

$$X = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda|y - z|^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - z_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} X e^{i\lambda(y-z) \cdot \xi} = e^{i\lambda(y-z) \cdot \xi} \\ ({}^t X)^N = \sum_{|A| \leq N} \frac{C_A (y-z)^A}{(1 + \lambda|y-z|^2)^N} \partial_\xi^\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(VI.3.31)} \quad & \int e^{i(y-z) \cdot \xi} (1 - \psi_4(\xi)) g(\varepsilon \xi) d\xi = \int e^{i\lambda(y-z) \cdot \xi} ({}^t X)^N [(1 - \psi_4(\xi)) g(\varepsilon \xi)] d\xi \\ & = \sum_{\substack{A=A_1+A_2 \\ |A| \leq N}} \int e^{i\lambda(y-z) \cdot \xi} C_{A_1 A_2} \frac{(y-z)^A}{(1 + \lambda|y-z|^2)^N} \partial_\xi^{A_1} (1 - \psi_4(\xi)) \varepsilon^{|A_2|} (\partial_\xi^{A_2} g)(\varepsilon \xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now on the support of $\psi_3(\alpha_\xi)(1 - \psi_4(\xi))$ we have $|\xi + \alpha_\xi| \geq \mu > 0$, it follows from (VI.3.29) that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \xi \right| \geq |\alpha_\xi + \xi| - \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi \right| \geq \mu - C(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\delta}) \geq \frac{\mu}{2}$$

if ε and δ are small enough.

If $|\xi| \geq 2 \sup \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) \right|$ we have $\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \xi \right| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{2}$. Therefore in all cases we have, with $\eta_0 > 0$,

$$\text{(VI.3.32)} \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \xi \right| \geq \eta_0 \langle \xi \rangle.$$

Let us set then

$$Y = \frac{1}{i\lambda|\xi + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha)|^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \xi_j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}.$$

and $T = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(\theta, y, \alpha) + \xi$.

Then

$$\text{(VI.3.33)} \quad \begin{cases} Y e^{i\lambda(\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha) + (y-z) \cdot \xi)} = e^{i\lambda(\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha) + (y-z) \cdot \xi)} \\ ({}^t Y)^N = \frac{1}{(i\lambda)^N} \left\{ \sum_{|\nu|=N} \left(\frac{T}{|T|^2} \right)^\nu \partial_y^\nu + \sum_{|\nu| \leq N-1} \frac{P_{3N-2|\nu|-1}(\theta, y, \alpha, T, \bar{T})}{|T|^{4N-2|\nu|}} \partial_y^\nu \right\} \end{cases}$$

where $P_k(\theta, y, \alpha, T, \bar{T})$ is a polynomial in $T, \bar{T}$ of order $\leq k$ with C^∞ -bounded coefficients.

It follows from (VI.3.32) that on the support of $\psi_3(\alpha_\xi)(1 - \psi_4(\xi))$ we have,

$$\text{(VI.3.34)} \quad \sum_{|\nu|=N} \left| \left(\frac{T}{|T|^2} \right)^\nu \right| + \sum_{|\nu| \leq N-1} \frac{|P_{3N-2|\nu|-1}(\dots)|}{|T|^{4N-2|\nu|}} \leq \frac{C_N}{\langle \xi \rangle^N}.$$

On the other hand we check by induction that

$$(VI.3.35) \quad \partial_y^\nu \left[\frac{(y-z)^A}{(1+\lambda|y-z|^2)^N} \right] = \sum_{\substack{j \leq |\nu| \\ |\beta| \leq |A|+j \\ 2j+|A| \leq |\beta|+|\nu|}} b_{N,j,A,\beta} \frac{(y-z)^\beta \lambda^j}{(1+\lambda|y-z|^2)^{N+j}}.$$

Now if we insert (VI.3.31) into (VI.3.30) and if we make integration by parts with respect to Y using (VI.3.33) we see using (VI.3.34) and (VI.3.3) that $K_\varepsilon(\alpha, z)$ is bounded by a finite sum of integrals of the following type

$$\int \frac{\lambda^n |y-z|^{|\beta|} \lambda^j}{\lambda^N \langle \xi \rangle^N (1+\lambda|y-z|^2)^{N+j}} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{W}^+}(\alpha) |\partial_\xi^{A_1} (1-\psi_4(\xi))| \varepsilon^{|A_2|} (\partial_\xi^{A_2} g)(\varepsilon \xi) |\partial_y^{\nu_1} k| \\ \left| (\partial_y^{\nu_2} \chi_5) \left(\frac{y-x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right| \langle \theta \rangle^{-|\nu_2|} |\partial_y^{\gamma+\nu_3} \chi_4^+(y)| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y-x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}} dy d\xi$$

where $|\beta| \leq |A| + j$, $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 = \nu$, $|\nu| \leq N$, $A = A_1 + A_2$, $|A| \leq N$, $j \leq |\nu|$, $2j + |A| \leq |\beta| + |\nu|$.

Claim We have

$$\begin{cases} (1) = \sup_\alpha \int |K_\varepsilon(\alpha, z)| dz \leq C_N \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^n}{\lambda^{N/2}} \\ (2) = \sup_z \int |K_\varepsilon(\alpha, z)| d\alpha \leq C'_N \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^n}{\lambda^{N/2}}. \end{cases}$$

Let us first remark that

$$(VI.3.36) \quad \int \frac{\lambda^j |x|^{|\beta|}}{(1+\lambda|x|^2)^{N+j}} dx = C \lambda^{j-\frac{|\beta|}{2}-\frac{n}{2}} \leq C \lambda^{\frac{N}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Indeed $-|\beta| \leq |\nu| - 2j - |A|$ so $j - \frac{|\beta|}{2} \leq \frac{|\nu|}{2} - \frac{|A|}{2} \leq \frac{N}{2}$.

To estimate (1) we use the above estimate of $K_\varepsilon(\alpha, z)$ which we integrate with respect to z . For the integral in z we use (VI.3.36). The integral in ξ is estimated thanks to the term $\frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^N}$ where $|\nu| \leq N$, finally the integral in y is bounded by $\int e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y-x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \theta \rangle^2}} dy \leq C \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^n}{\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}}$. Therefore we obtain

$$(1) \leq C \frac{\lambda^n}{\lambda^N} \lambda^{\frac{N}{2}-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^n}{\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}} = C \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^n}{\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}}.$$

To estimate the term (2) we use the change of variables $\tilde{\alpha} = (x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha))$ as in the proof of Lemma VI.3.2 and (VI.3.36). This gives, (2) $\leq C \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^n}{\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}}$. Since $|\theta| \leq \lambda T$ we obtain

$$(1) + (2) \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{N}{2}+n}.$$

We can therefore use the Schur Lemma and (VI.3.30) to achieve the proof of Lemma VI.3.3. $\square$

Corollary VI.3.4 *With the notations of (VI.3.15) and (VI.3.11) we have*

$$B_3 = \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} d_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) (\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+)(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) \psi_4\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) U(t, y, \lambda) dy + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\alpha)$$

$$(VI.3.37) \quad \|J_\lambda^+(t) v\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now we state the following result.

Lemma VI.3.5 *Let $b = b(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda)$ be a bounded symbol. Let us set*

$$G(\theta, \alpha) = \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) b(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) v(y) dy.$$

Then one can find $C > 0$ such that for all $|\theta| \leq \lambda T$ and $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} G(\theta, \cdot)\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq C \frac{\langle\theta\rangle^n}{\lambda^{n/2}} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof Let us write

$$G(\theta, \alpha) = \int K(\theta, \alpha, y, \lambda) v(y) dy.$$

Then using the estimate (VI.3.3) we see that

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\alpha_\xi|^2} \mathbf{1}_{W^+}(\alpha) |K(\theta, \alpha, y, \lambda)| \leq C e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y-x(\theta, \alpha)|^2}{\langle\theta\rangle^2}} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2}.$$

From this estimate we can use the Schur Lemma (making the change of variables $\tilde{\alpha} = (x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha))$) to conclude. $\square$

Let now $\psi_5 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \leq \psi_5 \leq 1$ and

$$(VI.3.38) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_5(\xi) = 1 & \text{if } \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 7\delta_2, \quad a - 7\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 7\delta_2 \\ \text{supp } \psi_5 \subset \left\{ \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 8\delta_2 \right\}, & a - 8\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 8\delta_2 \end{cases}$$

The analogue of Lemma VI.2.3 proves that one can find $C > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$(VI.3.39) \quad \left\| \psi_4\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) T_{\beta \rightarrow y}^* [(1 - \psi_5(\beta_\xi))v] \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq C e^{-\varepsilon_0 \lambda} \|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\beta_\xi|^2} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\beta^n)}.$$

Corollary VI.3.6 *We have*

$$B_3 = \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2} \int e^{i\lambda\varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} d_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) (\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+)(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle\theta\rangle}\right) T_{\beta \rightarrow y}^* [\psi_5(\beta_\xi) T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, z, \lambda)] dy \\ + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\alpha)$$

where $J_\lambda^+(t)$ satisfies (VI.3.41).

Proof We use Corollary VI.3.4, (VI.3.39) and Lemma VI.3.3 to remove $\psi_4\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right)$. $\square$

Let now $\chi_6^+ = \chi_6^+(\beta_x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \leq \chi_6^+ \leq 1$ and

$$(VI.3.40) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_6^+(\beta_x) = 1 & \text{if } \frac{7}{2}\delta_1 \leq -\beta_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq 6\delta_1 \\ \text{supp } \chi_6^+ \subset \left\{ \beta_x : \frac{47}{5}\delta_1 \leq -\beta_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq 7\delta_1 \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Then we can apply Lemma VI.2.6 with $\chi_a = \partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+$, $|\gamma| \geq 1$, $\chi_b = \chi_6^+$. Indeed on the support of $\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+(y)(1 - \chi_6^+(\beta_x))$ we have $\frac{15}{4} \delta_1 \leq -y \cdot \xi_0 \leq 5 \delta_1$ and $-\beta_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq \frac{7}{2} \delta_1$ or $-\beta_x \cdot \xi_0 \geq 6 \delta_1$. In the first case we write

$$|y - \beta_x| \geq \beta_x \cdot \xi_0 - y \cdot \xi_0 \geq \frac{15}{4} \delta_1 - \frac{7}{2} \delta_1 = \frac{1}{4} \delta_1,$$

and in the second case we have,

$$|y - \beta_x| \geq y \cdot \xi_0 - \beta_x \cdot \xi_0 \geq 6 \delta_1 - 5 \delta_1 = \delta_1.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(VI.3.41) \quad \|\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+(y) T_{\beta \rightarrow y}^* \psi_5(\beta_\xi)(1 - \chi_6(\beta_x))W\|_{L^2} \leq C e^{-\varepsilon \lambda} \|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\beta_\xi|^2} W\|_{L^2}.$$

Using Corollary VI.3.6 we deduce the following Lemma.

Lemma VI.3.7 *We have*

$$B_3 = \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2} \int e^{i\lambda \varphi(\theta, y, \alpha)} d_\gamma(\theta, y, \alpha, \lambda) (\partial_y^\gamma \chi_4^+)(y) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) T_{\beta \rightarrow y}^* [\psi_5(\beta_\xi) \chi_6^+(\beta_x) T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot, \lambda)](y) dy + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\alpha),$$

where $J_\lambda^+(t)$ satisfies (VI.3.37).

Now on the support of $\psi_5(\beta_\xi) \chi_6^+(\beta_x)$ we have by (VI.3.38), (VI.3.40),

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_x \cdot \beta_\xi| &\leq \left(|\beta_x \cdot \xi_0| + |\beta_x| \left| \frac{\beta_\xi}{|\beta_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \right) |\beta_\xi| \\ &\leq (16 \delta_1 + 8 \delta_2 |\beta_x|) |\beta_\xi| \leq c_0 \langle \beta_x \rangle |\beta_\xi| \end{aligned}$$

if $16 \delta_1 + 8 \delta_2 \leq c_0$. Therefore we are in the case (i) of (VI.3.17) and since Theorem VI.3.1 is already proved in this case for $t \in [-T, T]$ we can write,

$$(VI.3.42) \quad T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\lambda \varphi(-\lambda t, z, \beta)} a(-\lambda t, z, \beta, \lambda) \chi_4^+(z) \chi_5\left(\frac{z - x(-\lambda t, z)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle}\right) (\chi_2^+ v)(z) dz + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\beta),$$

where $J_\lambda^+(t)$ satisfies

$$(VI.3.43) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for every } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ one can find } C_N > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \|e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\beta_\xi|^2} \psi_5(\beta_\xi) \chi_6^+(\beta_x) J_\lambda^+(t) v\|_{L^2} \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{cases}$$

□

From this we can deduce the following result.

Corollary VI.3.8 *We have*

$$T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{4}} \int e^{i\varphi(-\lambda t, z, \beta)} a(-\lambda t, z, \beta, \lambda) \chi_5\left(\frac{z - x(-\lambda t, \beta)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle}\right) \chi_3^+(z) (\chi_2^+ v)(z) dz + J_\lambda^+(t) v(\beta)$$

where $J_\lambda^+(t)$ satisfies (VI.3.43).

Proof We have just to show that we can replace χ_4^+ by χ_3^+ in (VI.3.42). But this is obvious since (see (VI.2.1), (VI.3.1)) we have $\chi_4^+ \chi_2^+ = \chi_4^+ (1 - \chi_3^+) \chi_2^+ + \chi_4^+ \chi_3^+ \chi_2^+$ and $(1 - \chi_3^+) \chi_2^+ \equiv 0$, $\chi_4^+ \chi_3^+ = \chi_3^+$. $\square$

We are ready now to prove (VI.3.26).

Lemma VI.3.9 *For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} B_3(\theta, t, \cdot, \lambda) \right\|_{L^2(W^+)} \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 1$, $\theta = \lambda(s - t) \in [0, \lambda T]$ and all $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof We use first Corollary VI.3.8 and Lemma VI.3.7. On the support of $\psi_5(\beta_\xi) \chi_6^+(\beta_x) \chi_5\left(\frac{z-x(-\lambda t, \beta)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle}\right)$ we have by (VI.3.38), (VI.3.40), (VI.3.2), since $x(-\lambda t, \beta) = \beta_x - 2\lambda t \beta_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle)$,

$$\begin{aligned} z \cdot \xi_0 &\leq (z - x(-\lambda t, \beta)) \cdot \xi_0 + (\beta_x - 2\lambda t \beta_\xi) \cdot \xi_0 + C \varepsilon \langle \lambda t \rangle \\ &\leq \beta_x \cdot \xi_0 - 2\lambda t \beta_\xi \cdot \left(\xi_0 + \frac{\beta_\xi}{|\beta_\xi|} \right) + 2\lambda t |\beta_\xi| + C(\varepsilon + \delta) \langle \lambda t \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{7}{2} \delta_1 + 2\lambda t |\beta_\xi| + C(\varepsilon + \delta + \delta_2) \langle \lambda t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\beta_\xi| \geq a - \delta_2$ we obtain

$$z \cdot \xi_0 \leq -\frac{17}{5} \delta_1 - 2(a - \delta_2) \lambda |t| + C(\varepsilon + \delta + \delta_2) \langle \lambda t \rangle.$$

Taking $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_2$ small with respect to δ_1 and a we obtain $z \cdot \xi_0 \leq -\frac{10}{3} \delta_1$. Now on the support of $\chi_3^+(z)$ we have by (VI.2.1), $z \cdot \xi_0 \geq -3 \delta_1$.

It follows from Corollary VI.3.8 that $T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot, \lambda) = R^+ v$ where R^+ satisfies (VI.3.37). Now we use Lemma VI.3.5 and we obtain since $|\theta| \leq \lambda T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} B_3(\theta, \cdot, \lambda) \right\|_{L^2(W^+)} &\leq C \frac{\langle \theta \rangle^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|T_{\beta \rightarrow y} [\psi_5(\beta_\xi) \chi_6^+(\beta_x) T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot, \lambda)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\beta_\xi|^2} \psi_5(\beta_\xi) \chi_6^+(\beta_x) T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{z \rightarrow \beta} U(t, \cdot) = R^+ v$, where R^+ satisfies (VI.3.37), we obtain the conclusion of Lemma VI.3.9. $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem VI.3.1 in the case (VI.3.25) we use (VI.3.11), (VI.3.14), Lemmas VI.3.2, VI.3.9 and the same argument as in the end of the proof of the case (VI.3.17) to remove the cut-off functions $\chi_4^+(y)$ and $\chi_5(y - \alpha_x)$. $\square$

VI.4 Conclusion of Section VI

Here we state a result which combines the conclusions of Theorems VI.2.2, VI.3.1 and (VI.3.5).

Theorem VI.4.1 *Let $K_{\pm}$ the operators defined in (VI.2.8). Then we can write*

$$K_+(t) u(x) = I + II + III$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \chi_1^+(x) \psi_2\left(\frac{D_x}{\lambda}\right) \chi_2^+(x) \left[\iint e^{i\lambda F(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)} a(-\lambda t, y, \alpha) \chi_2^+(y) \chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(-\lambda t, \alpha)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle}\right) \left(\psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u\right)(y) dy d\alpha \right] \\ II &= \chi_1^+(x) \psi_2\left(\frac{D_x}{\lambda}\right) \chi_2^+(x) T_{\alpha \rightarrow x}^* \left[\chi_3^+(\alpha_x)(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) J_\lambda^+(t) \left(\chi_2^+ \psi_2\left(\frac{D_x}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+ u\right) \right] \\ III &= R_\lambda^+(t) u \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(VI.4.1) \quad \begin{cases} F(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha) = \varphi(-\lambda t, y, \alpha) - (x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2 + \frac{i}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2, \\ |a(-\lambda t, y, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \\ \|\chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} J_\lambda^+(t) v\|_{L^2} \leq C \lambda^{-N} \|v\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \|R_\lambda^+(t) u\|_{H^{2N}} \leq C_N \|u\|_{H^{-2N}}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and χ_i^+, ψ_j have been defined in (VI.2.1) to (VI.2.6), (VI.2.9), (VI.3.1) and (VI.3.2). Moreover the same result holds with the minus sign.

VII The dispersion estimate and the end of the proof of Theorem I.1

VII.1 The dispersion estimate for the operators $K_{\pm}(t)$

Let us recall that $K_{\pm}(t)$ have been introduced in (VI.2.8). The purpose of this paragraph is to prove the following result.

Theorem VII.1.1 *Let $T > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|K_{\pm}(t) u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^1}$$

for all $0 < |t| \leq T$ and all $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof We shall use Theorem VI.4.1 and its notation and we shall consider only $K_+(t)$. Then we can write

$$(VII.1.1) \quad \|K_+(t) u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|I\|_{L^\infty} + \|II\|_{L^\infty} + \|III\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Let $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2N_0 > \frac{n}{2}$. By the Sobolev embedding and (VI.4.1) we have

$$(VII.1.2) \quad \|III\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|R_\lambda^+(t)u\|_{H^{2N_0}} \leq C'_{N_0} \|u\|_{H^{-2N_0}} \leq C'' \|u\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C(T)}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^1}.$$

Let us consider the term II . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|II\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \left\| \chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_2^+ T^* \left(\chi_3^+ \psi_3 J_\lambda^+ \left(\chi_2^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right) \right) \right\|_{H^{2N_0}} \\ &\leq C' \lambda^{2N_0} \|T^*(\dots)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C'' \lambda^{2N_0} \left\| e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |\alpha_\xi|^2} \chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) J_\lambda^+(t) \left(\chi_2^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\alpha^{2n})} \\ &\leq C_N \lambda^{2N_0-N} \left\| \chi_2^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C'_N \lambda^{2N_0-N} \left\| \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) (I - \Delta)^{-N_0} \chi_1^+ u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C''_N \lambda^{4N_0-N} \|u\|_{H^{-2N_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $N = 4N_0$ we obtain finally,

$$(VII.1.3) \quad \|II\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|u\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C(T)}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^1}.$$

So we are left with the estimation of $\|I\|_{L^\infty}$. Let us set

$$(VII.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} k_+(t, x, y, \lambda) &= \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int e^{i\lambda F(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)} a(-\lambda t, y, \alpha, \lambda) \chi_2^+(y) \chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \\ &\quad \cdot \chi_5 \left(\frac{y - x(-\lambda t, \alpha)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle} \right) d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(VII.1.5) \quad \tilde{K}_+(t) v(x) = \int k_+(t, x, y, \lambda) \left[\psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ v \right](y) dy.$$

Then

$$(VII.1.6) \quad I = \chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_2^+ \tilde{K}_+(t) v.$$

Since the operator $\chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_2^+$ is bounded from L^∞ to L^∞ with bound independent of λ we have,

$$(VII.1.7) \quad \|I\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\tilde{K}_+(t) v\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Assume that the kernel k_+ has the following bound,

$$(VII.1.8) \quad |k_+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}},$$

with C independent of λ . It will follow from (VII.1.7), (VII.1.5) and (VII.1.8) that

$$\|I\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\| \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \chi_1^+ v \right\|_{L^1}.$$

Since the operator $\psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \chi_1^+$ is uniformly bounded on L^1 we will have

$$(VII.1.9) \quad \|I\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|v\|_{L^1}.$$

Then Theorem VII.1.1 follows from (VII.1.1), (VII.1.2), (VII.1.3) and (VII.1.9).

Proof of (VII.1.8) We divide the proof in three cases : $\lambda t \geq 1$, $\lambda t \leq -1$, $|\lambda t| \leq 1$.

Let us remark first that in the integral in the right hand side of (VI.1.4), on the support of $\chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \cdot \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)$ we have $-\alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq 3\delta_1$ and $\left|\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0\right| \leq 4\delta_2$. Therefore

$$\alpha_x \cdot \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} = \alpha_x \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right) - \alpha_x \cdot \xi_0 \leq (4\delta_2 + 3\delta_1) \langle \alpha_x \rangle$$

so

$$(VII.1.10) \quad \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|,$$

if δ_1 and δ_2 are small compared to c_0 .

Case A : proof of (VII.1.8) when $\lambda t \geq 1$.

In this case $\theta = -\lambda t < 0$ and it follows from (VII.1.10) and Definition III.2.2 that all the points α in the integral giving k_+ are outgoing for $\theta < 0$. It follows from Corollary III.3.3 that

$$(VII.1.11) \quad \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \alpha_\xi^k}(\theta, \alpha) = 2\theta \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle), \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n.$$

Now using Theorem VI.4.1 and (VII.1.4) we obtain

$$(VII.1.12) \quad |k^+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq c \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y-x(-\lambda t, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \lambda t \rangle^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |x-\alpha_x|^2} \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} d\alpha.$$

By (VII.1.11) we can make the change of variables

$$\tilde{\alpha}_x = \alpha_x, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_\xi = x(-\lambda t, \alpha)$$

and $|\det \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}}{\partial \alpha}| \geq (\lambda t)^n$ if ε is small enough (since $\langle \lambda t \rangle \leq \sqrt{2} |\lambda t|$). It follows from (VII.1.12) that

$$|k^+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\lambda t|^{-n} \iint e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16} \frac{|y-\tilde{\alpha}_\xi|^2}{\langle \lambda t \rangle^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |x-\tilde{\alpha}_x|^2} d\tilde{\alpha}.$$

Setting $\tilde{\alpha}_\xi - y = \frac{4(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} z_1$, $\tilde{\alpha}_x - x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} z_2$, $Z = (z_1, z_2)$ we obtain

$$|k^+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda t)^{-n} \langle \lambda t \rangle^n \lambda^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-|Z|^2} dZ$$

so

$$|k^+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}},$$

since $\langle \lambda t \rangle \leq \sqrt{2} \lambda t$. This proves (VII.1.8) in this case.

Case B : proof of (VII.1.4) when $\lambda t \leq -1$.

In the right hand side of (VII.1.4) we integrate on the support of $\chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \cdot \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)$ on which we have (VII.1.10). We divide this support in two subsets U_1 and U_2 where

$$U_1 = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in \text{supp}(\chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) : -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \right\}$$

$$U_2 = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_\xi) \in \text{supp}(\chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi)) : \alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \right\}$$

According to Definition III.2.2 we have $U_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_+ \cap \mathcal{S}_-$ (which means that the points in U_1 are outgoing both for $\theta \geq 0$ and $\theta \leq 0$).

According to Corollary III.3.3 we have (VII.1.11) for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ so in particular for $\theta = -\lambda t \geq 1$. Therefore the same arguments as those used in case 1 work. It follows that the part of the integral giving k_+ which concerns U_1 is bounded by $C |t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}$. We consider now the integral on U_2 . Here $\theta = -\lambda t \geq 1$ and the points in U_2 are incoming for $\theta \geq 0$. The needed estimate on k_+ will follow from the following result.

Proposition VII.1.2 *One can find a function $g = g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)$ such that for all $\theta \geq 1$, all $\alpha \in U_2$ and all $y \in \text{supp}(\chi_2^+(y) \chi_5(\frac{y-x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}))$ we have,*

$$|\alpha_\xi - g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

For the proof we need the following Lemma.

Lemma VII.1.3 *Let $\alpha \in U_2$ and $\theta \geq 1$. Then for all $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that,*

$$\begin{cases} (i) & Y \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq 20 c_0 \langle Y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \\ (ii) & \left| \frac{Y - \alpha_x}{2\theta} - \alpha_\xi \right| \leq c_0, \end{cases}$$

there exists a unique $\beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x) - \alpha_\xi| \leq 2 c_0, \\ x(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x)) = \alpha_x. \end{cases}$$

Proof Let $E = \{\beta_\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\beta_\xi - \alpha_\xi| \leq 2 c_0\}$. Then we have

$$Y \cdot \beta_\xi = Y \cdot \alpha_\xi + Y \cdot (\beta_\xi - \alpha_\xi) \leq 20 c_0 \langle Y \rangle |\alpha_\xi| + 2 c_0 \langle Y \rangle < \frac{1}{4} \langle Y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

It follows that the point (Y, β_ξ) belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$ (see Definition III.2.2). Since $-\theta < 0$ it follows from Proposition III.3.1 that the equation $x(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) = \alpha_x$ is equivalent to,

$$Y - 2\theta \xi(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) + z(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) = \alpha_x,$$

that is to the equation, $Y - 2\theta \beta_\xi - 2\theta \zeta(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) + z(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) = \alpha_x$. This equation can be written,

$$\beta_\xi = \frac{Y - \alpha_x}{2\theta} - \zeta(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) + \frac{1}{2\theta} z(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi) =: F(\beta_\xi).$$

We show now that we can apply the fixed point theorem to F in the set E . First of all if $\beta_\xi \in E$ we have,

$$|F(\beta_\xi) - \alpha_\xi| \leq \left| \frac{Y - \alpha_x}{2\theta} - \alpha_\xi \right| + |\zeta(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi)| + \frac{1}{2\theta} |z(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi)|.$$

Using our assumption and Proposition III.3.2 we obtain,

$$|F(\beta_\xi) - \alpha_\xi| \leq c_0 + 2\varepsilon \leq 2c_0 \text{ if } 2\varepsilon \leq c_0.$$

Now if $\beta_\xi \in E$, $\beta'_\xi \in E$ we have again by Proposition III.3.2,

$$|F(\beta_\xi) - F(\beta'_\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon |\beta_\xi - \beta'_\xi|.$$

Taking ε so small that $C\varepsilon < 1$ we obtain the conclusion of the Lemma. $\square$

Remark VII.1.4 Let (θ, α, y) as in Proposition VII.1.2. Then they satisfy the conditions of Lemma VII.1.3. Indeed we have $y \in \text{supp } \chi_4^+$ that is $-y \cdot \xi_0 \leq 5\delta_1$ and $\left| \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right| \leq 4\delta_2$. It follows that

$$y \cdot \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} \leq y \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_\xi}{|\alpha_\xi|} + \xi_0 \right) - y \cdot \xi_0 \leq 4\delta_2 |y| + 5\delta_1 < 10c_0 \langle y \rangle$$

since δ_1 and δ_2 are small compared to c_0 .

Moreover we have $|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \delta \langle \theta \rangle \leq \sqrt{2} \delta \theta$. By Proposition III.4.1 we have $x(\theta, \alpha) = \alpha_x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle)$ so

$$|y - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle)| \leq \sqrt{2} \delta \theta.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{y - \alpha_x}{2\theta} - \alpha_\xi \right| \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \leq c_0,$$

if $\varepsilon + \delta$ is small enough. $\square$

Now for fixed $\theta \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in U_2$ let us set

$$(VII.1.13) \quad A = \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{R}^n : Y \cdot \alpha_\xi < 20c_0 \langle Y \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \quad \left| \frac{Y - \alpha_x}{2\theta} - \alpha_\xi \right| < c_0 \right\},$$

and for $Y \in A$ let us set

$$(VII.1.14) \quad H(Y) = \xi(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x)).$$

Lemma VII.1.5 There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of θ, α such that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial H}{\partial Y}(Y) \right\| \leq \frac{C}{\theta}$$

for every Y in A .

Proof By Lemma VII.1.3 we have for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$x_j(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x)) = \alpha_x^j.$$

Let us differentiate this equality with respect to Y_k . We obtain

$$\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x)) + \sum_{\ell} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x)) \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^\ell}{\partial Y_k}(\theta, Y, \alpha_x) = 0.$$

Since the point (Y, β_ξ) belongs to $\mathcal{S}_-$ we have by Corollary III.3.3

$$\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\dots)) = \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon\theta), \quad \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\dots)) = -2\theta \delta_{jk} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon\theta).$$

It follows that

$$(VII.1.15) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial \beta_\xi}{\partial Y}(\theta, Y, \alpha_x) \right\| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \varepsilon \right).$$

Now thanks again to the fact that $(Y, \beta_\xi) \in \mathcal{O}_-$ we deduce from Proposition III.3.1 that

$$\alpha_x^j = x_j(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\theta, Y, \alpha_x)) = Y_j - 2\theta H_j(Y) + z_j(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\dots)).$$

Differentiating with respect to Y_k yields

$$\delta_{jk} - 2\theta \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial Y_k}(Y) + \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_k}(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\dots)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \xi_\ell}(-\theta, Y, \beta_\xi(\dots)) \frac{\partial \beta_\xi^\ell}{\partial Y_k}(\theta, Y, \alpha_x) = 0.$$

Using Proposition III.3.2 and (VII.1.15) we obtain the claim of the Lemma. $\square$

Proof of Proposition VII.1.2 We shall prove that the function g defined by,

$$(VII.1.16) \quad g(\theta, y, \alpha_x) = H(y) = \xi(-\theta, y, \beta_\xi(\theta, y, \alpha_x)),$$

satisfies the claim of the Proposition.

To do so we must consider several cases.

Case 1 Here we assume that

$$(VII.1.17) \quad \begin{cases} x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq 10 c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \\ |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| < |x(\theta, \alpha)|. \end{cases}$$

Let us show that this implies that

$$(VII.1.18) \quad t y + (1 - t) x(\theta, \alpha) \in A \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]$$

where A has been defined in (VII.1.13).

First of all using the Remark VII.1.4 and (VII.1.17) we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} (t y + (1 - t) x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi &< 10 c_0 (t \langle y \rangle + (1 - t) \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq 10 c_0 [t(1 + |y|) + (1 - t)(1 + |x(\theta, \alpha)|)] |\alpha_\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use Lemma IV.4.16 and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (ty + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \alpha_\xi &< 10 c_0 (1 + \sqrt{2} \cdot |ty + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha)|) |\alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq 20 c_0 \langle ty + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} |ty + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq t|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| + |x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq 2t\delta\theta + C\varepsilon\theta < 2c_0\theta, \end{aligned}$$

since $y \in \text{supp } \chi_5\left(\frac{y-x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)$ and ε, δ are small compared to c_0 .

In particular (VII.1.18) for $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ shows that we can apply Lemma VII.1.3 to $Y = x(\theta, \alpha)$, $Y = y$. Therefore we have

$$x(-\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \beta_\xi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha_x)) = \alpha_x.$$

But we have also

$$x(-\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = \alpha_x$$

and since $|\xi(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi| \leq C\varepsilon \leq 2c_0$ it follows from the uniqueness of β_ξ in the set E (see the proof of Lemma VII.1.3) that $\beta_\xi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha_x) = \xi(\theta, \alpha)$. Therefore we have by (VII.1.16),

$$\alpha_\xi = \xi(-\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \beta_\xi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha_x)) = H(x(\theta, \alpha)).$$

Finally we write

$$|\alpha_\xi^j - g_j(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| = |H_j(x(\theta, \alpha)) - H_j(y)| \leq |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial Y_k}(ty + (1-t)x(\theta, \alpha)) \right| dt$$

so using (VII.1.18) and Lemma VII.1.5 we obtain

$$|\alpha_\xi - g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Case 2 Here we assume that

$$(VII.1.19) \quad \begin{cases} x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq 10 c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|, \\ |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| > |x(\theta, \alpha)|. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(VII.1.20) \quad |y| \leq 2|y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

We claim that in this case we have

$$(VII.1.21) \quad ty \in A, \quad tx(\theta, \alpha) \in A \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Indeed we have by Remark VII.1.4

$$ty \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq t \cdot 10 c_0 \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq 10 c_0 \langle ty \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|ty - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq t|y| + |x(\theta, \alpha)| + |x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq 3|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| + C\varepsilon \langle \theta \rangle \leq 2(C\varepsilon + 3\delta)\theta \leq 2c_0\theta. \\
tx(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq t \cdot 10c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq 10c_0 \langle tx(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \\
|tx(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq (1-t)|x(\theta, \alpha)| + |x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| \leq 2c_0\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

As before we have

$$(1) = |\alpha_\xi - g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| = |H(x(\theta, \alpha)) - H(y)|$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &\leq |H(x(\theta, \alpha)) - H(0)| + |H(0) - H(y)| \\
&\leq |x(\theta, \alpha)| \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial H}{\partial Y}(tx(\theta, \alpha)) \right\| dt + |y| \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{\partial H}{\partial Y}(t, y) \right\| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we use (VII.1.21), Lemma VII.1.5 and (VII.1.19), (VII.1.20) to conclude that

$$(1) \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

The last case is the following.

Case 3 We assume that

$$(VII.1.22) \quad x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > 10c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|.$$

Recall that $\alpha \in U_2$ that is in particular $\alpha_x \cdot \alpha_\xi \leq -c_0 \langle \alpha_x \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$. By (IV.4.49) there exists $\theta^* \in]0, \theta[$ depending only on α such that $x(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi = 0$. Then by Lemma IV.4.17 we have

$$(VII.1.23) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} |\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| \leq |x(\theta, \alpha) - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq 3 |\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| \\ |\theta - \theta^*| \geq \frac{c_0}{40} \\ |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |y - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 5 |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|. \end{cases}$$

Here again we consider two subcases.

Case 3.1 $x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > 10c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$, $|y - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| < |x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$.

In this case, (VII.1.23) ensures that

$$(VII.1.24) \quad ty + (1-t)x(\theta^*, \alpha) \in A.$$

This follows from Lemma IV.4.16, since $t|y| \leq \sqrt{2}|ty + (1-t)x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$ and from the following estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
|ty + (1-t)x(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq t|y - x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| \\
&\leq 5t|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| + 2|\theta - \theta^*| |\alpha_\xi| + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \theta^*) \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon)\theta \leq 2c_0\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we write

$$|\alpha_\xi - g(y, \theta, \alpha_x)| = |H(y) - \alpha_\xi| \leq \underbrace{|H(y) - H(x(\theta^*, \alpha))|}_{(1)} + \underbrace{|H(x(\theta^*, \alpha)) - \alpha_\xi|}_{(2)}.$$

By (VII.1.24), Lemma VII.1.5 and (VII.1.23) we have

$$(VII.1.25) \quad (1) \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Now we have

$$x\left(-\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \frac{\theta^*}{\theta} \xi(\theta^*, \alpha)\right) = x(-\theta^*, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \xi(\theta^*, \alpha)) = \alpha_x.$$

Therefore

$$\beta_\xi(\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \alpha_x) = \frac{\theta^*}{\theta} \xi(\theta^*, \alpha).$$

It follows that

$$H(x(\theta^*, \alpha)) = \xi\left(-\theta, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \frac{\theta^*}{\theta} \xi(\theta^*, \alpha)\right) = \frac{\theta^*}{\theta} \xi(-\theta^*, x(\theta^*, \alpha), \xi(\theta^*, \alpha)) = \frac{\theta^*}{\theta} \alpha_\xi.$$

Therefore

$$(VII.1.26) \quad (2) = |\alpha_\xi| \frac{|\theta - \theta^*|}{\theta} \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|$$

by (VII.1.23). The estimates obtained on (1) and (2) show that

$$|\alpha_\xi - g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|$$

which is the claim of Proposition VII.1.2.

The last step concerns the following case.

Case 3.2 $x(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi > 10 c_0 \langle x(\theta, \alpha) \rangle |\alpha_\xi|$, $|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq |y - x(\theta^*, \alpha)|$.

It follows then from (VII.1.23) that we have

$$(VII.1.27) \quad |y| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| \leq C |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Moreover we have

$$(VII.1.28) \quad ty \in A, \quad tx(\theta^*, \alpha) \in A \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Indeed we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} ty \cdot \alpha_\xi &\leq t \cdot 10 c_0 \langle y \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \leq 10 c_0 \langle ty \rangle |\alpha_\xi| \\ |ty - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq t |y| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq C(|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| + |\theta - \theta^*| + C \varepsilon \theta^*) \leq 2 c_0 \theta \\ tx(\theta^*, \alpha) \cdot \alpha_\xi &= 0 \\ |tx(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| &\leq (1 - t)|x(\theta^*, \alpha)| + |x(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_x - 2\theta \alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq C(1 - t)|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| + C|\theta - \theta^*| + C \varepsilon \theta^* \\ &\leq 2 c_0 \theta \end{aligned}$$

by (VII.1.23). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_\xi - g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| &\leq |H(y) - H(x(\theta^*, \alpha))| + |H(x(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi| \\ &\leq |H(y) - H(0)| + |H(0) - H(x(\theta^*, \alpha))| + |H(x(\theta^*, \alpha) - \alpha_\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (VII.1.28), Lemma VII.1.5, (VII.1.26) we obtain

$$|\alpha_\xi - g(\theta, y, \alpha_x)| \leq \frac{C}{\theta} |y - x(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition VII.1.2. □

End of the proof of (VII.1.8) in case B, ($\lambda t \leq -1$)

For the part of the integral in (VII.1.4) (giving k_+) where $\alpha \in U_2$ we use Proposition VII.1.2. Let us call it (1). As in (VII.1.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(1)| &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{|y-x(-\lambda t, \alpha)|^2}{\langle \lambda t \rangle^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2} \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} d\alpha \\ |(1)| &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \iint e^{-\varepsilon_0 \frac{|\lambda t|^2 \lambda}{\langle \lambda t \rangle^2} |\alpha_\xi - g(-\lambda t, y, \alpha_x)|^2} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2} d\alpha_x d\alpha_\xi \\ |(1)| &\leq C' \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \langle \lambda t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \langle \lambda t \rangle^n}{\lambda^n |\lambda t|^n} \leq \frac{C''}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case C : proof of (VII.1.8) when $|\lambda t| \leq 1$.

In this case the proof made above does not give the needed result since $\langle \lambda t \rangle \approx 1$. We will use instead a stationnary phase method. Let us set $\theta = -\lambda t$ and let us recall (see (VII.1.4)) that we have to bound the following kernel

$$(VII.1.29) \quad k_+(t, x, y, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int e^{i\lambda F(\theta, x, y, \alpha)} a(\theta, y, \alpha) \chi_2^+(y) \chi_3^+(\alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) d\alpha.$$

Let us recall also that, according to the Theorems VI.4.1 and IV.1.2 we have,

$$(VII.1.30) \quad \text{Im } F(\theta, x, y, \alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2.$$

Let $\chi_6 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that,

$$(VII.1.31) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_6(x) = 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \chi_6(x) = 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

We write in the integral in the right hand side of (VII.1.29) $1 = \chi_6(x - \alpha_x) + 1 - \chi_6(x - \alpha_x)$.

The part of the integral containing $1 - \chi_6(x - \alpha_x)$ can be bounded, using (VII.1.30), by the quantity

$$C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{16}} \iint e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4} |x - \alpha_x|^2} |\psi_3(\alpha_\xi)| d\alpha$$

which is $0(1)$ uniformly in (t, x, y, λ) . Setting $a_1(\theta, y, \alpha) = a(\theta, y, \alpha) \chi_2^+(y) \chi_3^+(\alpha_x)$ we see therefore that we are left with the bound of the following kernel.

$$(VII.1.32) \quad \tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int e^{i\lambda F(\theta, x, y, \alpha)} a_1(\theta, y, \alpha) \chi_6(x - \alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(\theta, \alpha)}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right) d\alpha.$$

Now, according to Theorem VI.4.1 we have,

$$F(\theta, x, y, \alpha) = \varphi(\theta, y, \alpha) - (x - \alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi + \frac{i}{2} |x - \alpha_x|^2 - \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

Using Theorem IV.5.1 we obtain

(VII.1.33)

$$F(\theta, x, y, \alpha) = \frac{(y-x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - 2i\theta(x-\alpha_x) \cdot \alpha_\xi - \theta|\alpha_\xi|^2 - \theta|x-\alpha_x|^2 + \frac{i}{2}|x-\alpha_x|^2 + \frac{i}{2}|y-\alpha_x|^2}{1+2i\theta} + R(\theta, y, \alpha)$$

where

$$(VII.1.34) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_x} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_x^2} \right| & \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)(|y - \alpha_x| + |\theta|), \\ \left| \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_\xi^2} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_x \partial \alpha_\xi} \right| & \leq C(\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|, \\ |\partial_{\alpha_x}^{A_1} \partial_{\alpha_\xi}^{A_2} R| & \leq \begin{cases} C_{A_1} & \text{if } A_2 = 0, \\ C_{A_1, A_2} |\theta| & \text{if } |A_2| \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

It follows that we have,

$$(VII.1.35) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} &= \frac{1}{1+2i\theta}[(y-x) - 2i\theta(x-\alpha_x) - 2\theta\alpha_\xi] + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} &= \frac{1}{1+2i\theta}[2i\theta\alpha_\xi + 2\theta(x-\alpha_x) - 2i(x-\alpha_x) - i(y-x)] + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_x} \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j \partial \alpha_\xi^k} &= \frac{-2\theta\delta_{jk}}{1+2i\theta} + \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j \partial \alpha_\xi^k} \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j \partial \alpha_x^k} &= \frac{2i\theta\delta_{jk}}{1+2i\theta} + \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j \partial \alpha_x^k} \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_x^j \partial \alpha_x^k} &= \frac{(2i-2\theta)\delta_{jk}}{1+2i\theta} + \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \alpha_x^j \partial \alpha_x^k} \\ \frac{\partial^{|A|} F}{\partial \alpha^A} &= \frac{\partial^{|A|} R}{\partial \alpha^A} \quad \text{if } |A| \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Let us recall that (VI.2.9) shows that

$$(VII.1.36) \quad \text{supp } \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \subset \{\alpha_\xi : a - 4\delta_2 \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq b + 4\delta_2\}.$$

We shall divide the proof into three cases

$$(VII.1.37) \quad \begin{cases} \text{case 1 : } \frac{|x-y|}{2|\theta|} \leq a - 5\delta_2 \\ \text{case 2 : } \frac{|x-y|}{2|\theta|} \geq b + 5\delta_2 \\ \text{case 3 : } a - 5\delta_2 \leq \frac{|x-y|}{2|\theta|} \leq b + 5\delta_2 \end{cases}$$

Case 1 We have the following result.

Lemma VII.1.6 When $x - \alpha_x \in \text{supp } \chi_6$, $y - x(\theta, \alpha) \in \text{supp } \chi_5$, $\alpha_\xi \in \text{supp } \psi_3$ we have

$$Q =: \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{|\theta|} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 \geq C(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\theta|),$$

$$|\partial_{\alpha_x}^{A_1} \partial_{\alpha_\xi}^{A_2} F| \leq \begin{cases} C_{A_1} & \text{if } |A_1| \geq 1, A_2 = 0, \\ C_{A_1, A_2} |\theta| & \text{if } |A_2| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

uniformly in (θ, x, y, α) .

Proof Let us set $X = x - \alpha_x$, $Y = \alpha_\xi - \frac{y-x}{2\theta}$. Using (VII.1.35) we see that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} = \frac{-2\theta}{1+2i\theta} (Y + iX) + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_\xi}$. It follows from (VII.1.34) that $\frac{2|\theta|}{|1+2i\theta|} |Y + iX| \leq \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right| + C(\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|$. Therefore

$$(VII.1.38) \quad \frac{4|\theta|}{1+4\theta^2} (|X|^2 + |Y|^2) \leq \frac{2}{|\theta|} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 + C_1(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 |\theta|.$$

By the same way we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} = \frac{1}{1+2i\theta} (2i\theta Y - 2iX + 2\theta X) + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_x}.$$

Since $|y - \alpha_x| \leq |y - x| + |x - \alpha_x| \leq |x - \alpha_x| + C|\theta|$, we obtain

$$\frac{4}{1+4\theta^2} (|\theta Y - X|^2 + \theta^2 |X|^2) \leq 2 \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + C_2(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 (|\theta| + |X|^2).$$

It follows that

$$(VII.1.39) \quad 2 \left(\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{|\theta|} \left(\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 \right) + C_3(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 (|\theta| + |X|^2) \geq \frac{4}{1+4\theta^2} (|\theta Y - X|^2 + |\theta| |Y|^2).$$

Since $2|\theta||X||Y| \leq \frac{2}{3}|X|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\theta^2|Y|^2$ we can write

$$|\theta Y - X|^2 + |\theta||Y|^2 \geq \frac{1}{3}|X|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2|Y|^2 + |\theta||Y|^2 \geq \frac{1}{3}|X|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\theta||Y|^2$$

since $|\theta| \leq 1$. We deduce from (VII.1.39) that

$$Q \geq \frac{2}{15} (|X|^2 + |\theta||Y|^2) - C_4(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 (|\theta| + |X|^2).$$

Now in case 1 we have, according to (VII.1.37)

$$|Y| = \left| \alpha_\xi - \frac{y-x}{2\theta} \right| \geq |\alpha_\xi| - \frac{|y-x|}{2|\theta|} \geq \delta_2.$$

Taking ε and δ small compared to δ_2 we deduce that $Q \geq c(|X|^2 + |\theta|)$ which is the first claim of the Lemma. The second claim follows easily from the fact that $|Y|, |X|$ are uniformly bounded and from (VII.1.35), (VII.1.34). $\square$

Case 2 We have the following result.

Lemma VII.1.7 When $x - \alpha_x \in \text{supp } \chi_6$, $y - x(\theta, \alpha) \in \text{supp } \chi_5$, $\alpha_\xi \in \text{supp } \psi_3$ we have

$$Q =: \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{|y-x|} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 \geq c(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\theta|),$$

$$|\partial_{\alpha_x}^A F| \leq C_A \quad \text{if } |A| \geq 1,$$

$$|\partial_{\alpha_x}^{A_1} \partial_{\alpha_\xi}^{A_2} F| \leq C_{A_1 A_2} |y - x| \quad \text{if } |A_2| \geq 1.$$

Proof Here we set $X = x - \alpha_x$, $Y = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} - 2\theta \frac{\alpha_\xi}{|y-x|}$. Then we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} &= \frac{1}{1+2i\theta} (|y-x|Y - 2i\theta X) + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_\xi}, \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} &= \frac{1}{1+2i\theta} (2\theta X - i(2X + |y-x|Y)) + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_x}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{1+4\theta^2} (|y-x|^2 |Y|^2 + 4\theta^2 |X|^2) \leq 2 \left(\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 + C_1(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 |\theta|^2 \right).$$

Therefore we have the estimate

$$|y-x| |Y|^2 \leq 10 \frac{1}{|y-x|} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 + C_2(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 |\theta|.$$

On the other hand since $|y - \alpha_x| \leq |y - x| + |x - \alpha_x|$

$$|2X + |y-x|Y|^2 \leq 10 \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + C_3(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 (|\theta|^2 + |y-x|^2 + |x - \alpha_x|^2).$$

Summing up we see that

$$10Q + C_4(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 (|\theta|^2 + |y-x|^2 + |X|^2) \geq |2X + |y-x|Y|^2 + |y-x| |Y|^2.$$

Writing $y - x = y - x(\theta, \alpha) + x(\theta, \alpha) - \alpha_x + \alpha_x - x$, we see that one can find a constant K_0 such that $|y - x| \leq K_0$. Let $\eta_0 > 0$ be such that $\frac{\eta_0}{4-\eta_0} K_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have

$$4|y-x| |X| \cdot |Y| \leq (4 - \eta_0) |X|^2 + \frac{4}{4 - \eta_0} |y-x|^2 |Y|^2.$$

It follows that

$$|2X + |y-x|Y|^2 \geq \eta_0 |X|^2 - \frac{\eta_0}{4 - \eta_0} |y-x|^2 |Y|^2.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}10Q + C_4(\varepsilon + \delta)^2 (|\theta|^2 + |y-x|^2 + |X|^2) &\geq \eta_0 |X|^2 + |y-x| |Y|^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta_0}{4 - \eta_0} K_0 \right) \\ &\geq \min \left(\eta_0, \frac{1}{2} \right) (|X|^2 + |y-x| |Y|^2).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$|Y| \geq 1 - 2|\theta| \frac{|\alpha_\xi|}{|y-x|} \geq 1 - \frac{b + 4\delta_2}{b + 5\delta_2} = \frac{\delta_2}{b + 5\delta_2}$$

because $|y-x| \geq 2(b + 5\delta_2)|\theta|$, since we are in case 2. Therefore

$$10Q + C_5(\varepsilon + \delta)(|\theta| + |y-x| + |X|^2) \geq C_6(|X|^2 + |y-x|).$$

Taking $\varepsilon + \delta$ small with respect to C_6 we obtain the first part of the Lemma.

The bounds on the derivatives of F can be easily obtained since $|\theta| \leq (b + 5\delta_2)^{-1} |y-x|$.

Case 3 Recall that we have $a - 5\delta_2 \leq \frac{|x-y|}{2|\theta|} \leq b + 5\delta_2$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma VII.1.8 One can find $\rho_0 > 0$ such that the equation $x(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi) = y$ has a unique solution in the set $E = \{\alpha_\xi : |\alpha_\xi - \frac{y-x}{2\theta}| \leq \rho_0\}$.

Proof First of all one can find ρ_0 such that if $\alpha_\xi \in E$ then $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$. It follows from Proposition III.2.1 that $x(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi) = x + 2\theta \alpha_\xi + r(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi)$ where $|r| \leq C \varepsilon |\theta|$. The equation to be solved is in E equivalent to the equation $\alpha_\xi = \frac{y-x}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta} r(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi)$. If we set $\Phi(\alpha_\xi) = \frac{y-x}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta} u(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi)$ then Φ maps E in itself if $C \varepsilon < \rho_0$. Moreover, again by Proposition III.2.1 we have $|\Phi(\alpha_\xi) - \Phi(\alpha'_\xi)| \leq C' \varepsilon |\alpha_\xi - \alpha'_\xi|$. Taking ε small enough the Lemma follows from the fixed point theorem. $\square$

We shall set

$$(VII.1.40) \quad \alpha_c = (x, \alpha_\xi^c)$$

where α_ξ^c is the unique solution of $x(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi) = y$ given by Lemma VII.1.8. Then we have the following result.

Lemma VII.1.9 We have $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x}(\theta, x, y, \alpha^c) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi}(\theta, x, y, \alpha^c) = 0$.

Proof Let us recall that

$$(VII.1.41) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} = \alpha_\xi - i(x - \alpha_x) + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_x} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} = -(x - \alpha_x) + i\alpha_\xi + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand we have (see (IV.5.17))

$$(VII.1.42) \quad \varphi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \theta p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2i} |\alpha_\xi|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha).$$

Moreover we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \Phi(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \xi(\theta, \alpha)$$

so

$$(VII.1.43) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha) - \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k}(\theta, \alpha).$$

Now by the definition of the flow and the Euler relation we have

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n \dot{x}_k(\theta, \alpha) \xi_k(\theta, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = 2p(\alpha) \\ p(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = p(\alpha). \end{cases}$$

Differentiating these two relations with respect to α_x^j we obtain

$$(VII.1.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha) + \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) &= 2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha) \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) &= \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Using the equations of the flow the last equality can be written as

$$-\dot{\xi}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) + \dot{x}(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha).$$

Combining with (VII.1.44) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha) + \dot{\xi}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha)$$

which can be written as

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha) \right] = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha).$$

Integrating both side we obtain

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_j}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha) = \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(\alpha) + \alpha_\xi^j.$$

Using (VII.1.43) we deduce

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = -\alpha_\xi^j.$$

Since $\alpha^c = (x, \alpha_\xi^c)$ where $x(\theta, x, \alpha_\xi^c) = y$ we deduce from (VII.1.41) that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x, y, \alpha^c) = \alpha_\xi^j + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha^c), \alpha^c) = \alpha_\xi^j - \alpha_\xi^j = 0.$$

Now differentiating (VII.1.42) with respect to α_ξ^j yields

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, \alpha) = \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{i} \alpha_\xi^j.$$

As above we see easily that,

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha) \right] = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(\alpha),$$

from which we deduce that $\frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, \alpha) \cdot \xi(\theta, \alpha) = \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(\alpha)$. Since $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \xi(\theta, \alpha)) = \xi(\theta, \alpha)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, x(\theta, \alpha), \alpha) = \frac{1}{i} \alpha_\xi^j$$

which implies that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, x, y, \alpha^c) = 0$. □

Lemma VII.1.10 *Let us set*

$$\begin{cases} Q = \left[\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{|\theta|} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 \right](\theta, x, y, \alpha) \\ X = \alpha_x - x \\ Y = \alpha_\xi - \alpha_\xi^c \end{cases}$$

where α_ξ^c has been introduced in (VII.1.40). Then we have

$$\begin{cases} Q \geq C(|X|^2 + |\theta||Y|^2), \\ |\partial_{\alpha_x}^A F| \leq C_A \text{ if } |A| \geq 1, \\ |\partial_{\alpha_x}^{A_1} \partial_{\alpha_\xi}^{A_2} F| \leq C_{A_1 A_2} |\theta| \text{ if } |A_2| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

uniformly in (θ, x, y, α) when $|\theta| \leq 1$, $x - \alpha_x \in \text{supp } \chi_6$, $y - x(\theta, \alpha) \in \text{supp } \chi_6$, $\alpha_\xi \in \text{supp } \psi_3$.

Proof For $t \in (0, 1)$ let us set $m_t = (\theta, x, y, t\alpha + (1-t)\alpha^c)$. Then using Lemma (VII.1.9) we can write for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_x^j \partial \alpha_x^k}(m_t)(\alpha_x^k - x_k) + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_x^j \partial \alpha_\xi^k}(m_t)(\alpha_\xi^k - (\alpha_\xi^c)^k) \right] dt \right) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j \partial \alpha_x^k}(m_t)(\alpha_x^k - x_k) + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j \partial \alpha_\xi^k}(m_t)(\alpha_\xi^k - (\alpha_\xi^c)^k) \right] dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (VII.1.35) and (VII.1.34) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x^j} &= \frac{2i - 2\theta}{1 + 2i\theta} X_j + \frac{2i\theta}{1 + 2i\theta} Y_j + \mathcal{O}[(\varepsilon + \delta)(|X| + |\theta||Y|)] \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j} &= \frac{2i\theta}{1 + 2i\theta} X_j - \frac{2\theta}{1 + 2i\theta} Y_j + \mathcal{O}[(\varepsilon + \delta)|\theta|(|X| + |Y|)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\theta^2 |X|^2 + |X - \theta Y|^2 + |\theta||X|^2 + |\theta||Y|^2 \leq \frac{5}{2} Q + C(\varepsilon + \delta)^2(|X|^2 + |\theta|^2 |Y|^2).$$

Taking $\varepsilon + \delta$ small enough we obtain $Q \geq C(|X|^2 + |\theta||Y|^2)$ which the first claim of the Lemma. The other claims follows from (VII.1.35) and the fact that $|x - y| \leq 2(b + 5\delta_2)|\theta|$ since we are in the case 3. $\square$

We shall set in that follows,

$$(VII.1.45) \quad \begin{cases} L = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \alpha_x^j}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_x^j} + \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j} \right) \\ Q = \left(\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{D} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \right|^2 \right)(\theta, x, y, \alpha) \\ \text{where } D = |\theta| \text{ in the cases 1 and 3, } D = |x - y| \text{ in the case 2.} \end{cases}$$

Let us note that, according to Lemmas VII.1.6, VII.1.7 and VII.1.10 L is a vector field whose coefficients are uniformly bounded together with their derivatives with respect to α . Moreover we have

$$(VII.1.46) \quad L e^{i\lambda F} = \lambda Q e^{i\lambda F}.$$

Our first goal is to prove the following result.

Proposition VII.1.11 For any N in $\mathbb{N}^n$ we can write

$$L^N e^{i\lambda F(\theta, x, y, \alpha)} = \left(\lambda^N Q^N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} h_{k,N}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) \lambda^k \right) e^{i\lambda F(\theta, x, y, \alpha)}$$

where $h_{k,N}$ are smooth functions satisfying

$$|L^j h_{k,N}(\theta, x, y, \alpha)| \leq C_{j,N} Q^k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

uniformly when $0 < |\theta| \leq 1$, $|x - \alpha_x| \leq 1$, $|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2\delta$, $|\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$.

Proof

Step 1 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of C^∞ functions $c = c(\theta, x, y, \alpha)$ such that for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$, $\partial_\alpha^\gamma c$ is uniformly bounded when $0 < |\theta| \leq 1$, $|x - \alpha_x| \leq 1$, $|y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2\delta$, $|\alpha_\xi| \leq 2$. For instance $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x}$ and $\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$.

Let us set $T = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_x}$, $\overline{T} = \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_x}$, $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_\xi}$, $\overline{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_\xi}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of homogeneous polynomials of order 2 in $T, \overline{T}, S, \overline{S}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{H}$. For instance we have $Q \in \mathcal{P}$. We claim that L sends $\mathcal{P}$ into $\mathcal{P}$. First of all if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ then $\frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_x^j} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \alpha_x^j} \in \mathcal{P}$ since $\frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_x} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha^2} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_x} = \overline{T}_j$. On the other hand $\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j} \in \mathcal{P}$ since $\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial \alpha_\xi^j} = \overline{T}_j$ and $\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \alpha_\xi^2} \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows that L maps $\mathcal{P}$ into $\mathcal{P}$.

Now if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ then $|P| \leq C Q$. It follows that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(VII.1.47) \quad |L^j Q| \leq C_j Q$$

uniformly in (θ, x, y, α) .

Step 2 We claim that

$$(VII.1.48) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ we have } |L^j Q^N| \leq C_{j,N} Q^N \text{ uniformly when} \\ 0 < |\theta| < 1, |x - \alpha_x| \leq 1, |y - x(\theta, \alpha)| \leq 2\delta, |\alpha_\xi| \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Indeed by the Faa di Bruno formula (since L is a homogeneous vector field) $L^j Q^N$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$Q^{N-M} \prod_{i=1}^s (L^{\ell_i} Q)^{k_i}$$

where $1 \leq M \leq N$, $1 \leq s \leq M$, $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = M$, $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i \ell_i = j$. Each of such terms is bounded, according

to (VII.1.47) by $C Q^{N-M} Q^{\sum_{i=1}^s k_i} = C Q^{N-M} Q^M = C Q^N$ which proves our claim.

Step 3 Proof of Proposition VII.1.11

We use an induction on N . For $N = 1$ the result follows from (VII.1.46). Let us assume it is true up to the order N . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^{N+1}(e^{i\lambda F}) &= e^{i\lambda F} \left(\lambda^N N Q^{N-1} LQ + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (L h_{k,N}) \lambda^k + \lambda^{N+1} Q^{N+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} Q h_{k,N} \lambda^{k+1} \right) \\ &= e^{i\lambda F} \left(\lambda^{N+1} Q^{N+1} + \sum_{k=0}^N h_{k,N+1} \lambda^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} h_{N,N+1} = N Q^{N-1} LQ + Q h_{N-1,N}, \\ h_{k,N+1} = L h_{k,N} + Q h_{k-1,N}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \\ h_{0,N+1} = L h_{0,N}. \end{cases}$$

Now we have

$$|L^j h_{N,N+1}| \leq N \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} |L^i Q^{N-1}| |L^{j-i} Q| + \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} |L^i Q| |L^{j-i} h_{N-1,N}|.$$

Using (VII.1.47), (VII.1.48) and the induction hypothesis we deduce that $|L^j h_{N,N+1}| \leq C_{j,N} Q^N$. The estimates for the other terms are completely analogous. $\square$

We need another Lemma.

Lemma VII.1.12 (i) For any $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we can write

$$L^N(e^{i\lambda F}) = G_N(\theta, x, y, \alpha, \lambda) e^{i\lambda F}.$$

(ii) There exists a constant $K_N > 0$ such that

$$|K_N + G_N(\theta, x, y, \alpha, \lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^N Q^N + 1).$$

(iii) For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we can find a constant $C_{j,N} > 0$ such that

$$|L^j G_N(\theta, x, y, \alpha, \lambda)| \leq C_{j,N} |G_N(\theta, x, y, \alpha, \lambda) + K_N|.$$

(iv) For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we can find a constant $C'_{j,N} > 0$ such that

$$\left| ({}^t L)^j \left(\frac{1}{K_N + G_N} \right) \right| \leq \frac{C'}{|K_N + G_N|}$$

where ${}^t L$ denotes the transposed of L .

Proof (i) is a consequence of Proposition VII.1.11 with

$$G_N(\theta, x, y, \alpha, \lambda) = \lambda^N Q^N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} h_{k,N}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) \lambda^k$$

and $|h_{k,N}| \leq C_N Q^k$, $0 \leq k \leq N-1$, $C_N \geq 1$.

(ii) If $\lambda Q \leq 2N C_N$ then $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |h_{k,N}| \lambda^k \leq C_N \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\lambda Q)^k \leq C_N \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (2N C_N)^k$. If $\lambda Q \geq 2N C_N$ then $(\lambda Q)^N = (\lambda Q)^{N-k} (\lambda Q)^k \geq 2N C_N (\lambda Q)^k$ if $0 \leq k \leq N-1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |h_{k,N}| \lambda^k \leq \frac{C_N}{2N C_N} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 1 \right) (\lambda Q)^N = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda Q)^N.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |h_{k,N}| \lambda^k \leq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda Q)^N + K'_N.$$

This implies

$$\left| K'_N + \frac{1}{2} + G_N \right| \geq K'_N + \frac{1}{2} + \lambda^N Q^N - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^N Q^N - K'_N \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^N Q^N + 1).$$

(iii) We have, by (VII.1.48) and Proposition VII.1.11

$$\begin{aligned} |L^j G_N| &= \left| \lambda^N L^j Q^N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} L^j h_{k,N} \lambda^k \right| \leq C_{j,N} \lambda^N Q^N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} C'_{j,N} \lambda^k Q^k \\ &\leq C''_{j,N} (1 + \lambda^N Q^N) \leq \tilde{C}_{j,N} |K_N + G_N|. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let us recall that $L = \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \alpha_x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_x} + \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \alpha_\xi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_\xi}$. According to the Lemmas VII.1.6, VII.1.7 and VII.1.10, we see that ${}^t L = -L + c(\theta, x, y, \alpha)$ where

$$|\partial_\alpha^\gamma c(\theta, x, y, \alpha)| \leq C_\gamma$$

uniformly in (θ, x, y, α) . We claim that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(VII.1.49) \quad \begin{cases} ({}^t L)^j = \sum_{k=0}^j c_{j,k}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) L^k, \text{ with} \\ |\partial_\alpha^\gamma c_{j,k}(\theta, x, y, \alpha)| \leq c_{j,k,\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

We saw above that this is true when $j = 1$. Let us assume that (VII.1.49) is true up to the order j . Then

$$({}^t L)^{j+1} = (-L + c) \sum_{k=0}^j c_{j,k}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) L^k = \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} c_{j+1,k}(\theta, x, y, \alpha) L^k$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_{j+1,0} = c c_{j,0} - L c_{j,0} \\ c_{j+1,k} = c c_{j,k} - L c_{j,k} - c_{j,k-1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq j \\ c_{j+1,j+1} = -c_{j,k}. \end{cases}$$

Then the estimate on $|\partial_\alpha^\gamma c_{j+1,k}|$ follows from the induction and the fact that the coefficients of L have all their derivatives with respect to α bounded uniformly. It follows that

$$(VII.1.50) \quad \left| ({}^t L)^j \left(\frac{1}{K_N + G_N} \right) \right| \leq C_{j,N} \sum_{k=0}^j \left| L^k \left(\frac{1}{K_N + G_N} \right) \right|.$$

Now by the Faa di Bruno formula, $L^k\left(\frac{1}{K_N+G_N}\right)$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{(K_N + G_N)^{1+\beta}} \prod_{i=1}^s (L^{\ell_i} G_N)^{k_i}$$

where $1 \leq \beta \leq k$, $1 \leq s \leq k$, $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = \beta$, $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i \ell_i = k$. It follows from (iii) that

$$\left| L^k\left(\frac{1}{K_N + G_N}\right) \right| \leq C_{j,N} \frac{1}{|K_N + G_N|^{1+\beta}} |K_N + G_N|^{\sum_{i=1}^s k_i} \leq \frac{C_{j,N}}{|K_N + G_N|}.$$

Then (iv) follows from (VII.1.50).

We can now state the estimate on the kernel for $|\theta| \leq 1$.

Lemma VII.1.13 *Let $\tilde{k}_+ = \tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda)$ be the kernel defined by (VII.1.32). Then one can find a positive constant C such that*

$$|\tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{n/2}}$$

for all (t, x, y, λ) such that $\lambda \geq 1$, $|\lambda t| \leq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof The kernel $\tilde{k}_+$ can be written as

$$\tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda) = \int e^{i\lambda F(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)} \tilde{a}(\lambda t, x, y, \alpha) d\alpha$$

where

$$\tilde{a}(\lambda t, x, y, \alpha) = a_1(-\lambda t, y, \alpha) \chi_6(x - \alpha_x) \psi_3(\alpha_\xi) \chi_5\left(\frac{y - x(-\lambda t, \alpha)}{\langle \lambda t \rangle}\right).$$

Let us note that all the derivatives of $\tilde{a}$ with respect to α are uniformly bounded.

We use Lemma VII.1.12 to write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda) &= \int (K_N + L^N) e^{i\lambda F(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)} \frac{\tilde{a}(\lambda t, x, y, \alpha)}{K_N + G_N(-\lambda t, x, y, \alpha, \lambda)} d\alpha \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda F(\cdots)} (K_N + ({}^t L)^N) \left[\frac{\tilde{a}(\cdots)}{K_N + G_N(\cdots)} \right] d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (ii), (iv) of Lemma VII.1.12, (VII.1.49) and the fact that $\text{Im } F \geq 0$ we obtain

$$(VII.1.51) \quad |\tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq C_N \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int \frac{|\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_\xi)|}{1 + \lambda^N Q^N} d\alpha = C_N I$$

where Q has been introduced in (VII.1.45) and $\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_\xi)$ is a smooth function with compact support. Now according to the Lemmas VII.1.6, VII.1.7 and VII.1.10 we have

$$\begin{cases} Q \geq C(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\lambda t|) & (\text{case 1 and 2}) \\ Q \geq C(|x - \alpha_x|^2 + |\lambda t| |\alpha_\xi - \alpha_\xi^c|^2) & (\text{case 3}). \end{cases}$$

Let us fix the integer N such that $N > n$. Since $\tilde{\psi}$ has compact support we have in case 1 and 2

$$I \leq \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dX}{1 + \lambda^N |X|^{2N} + \lambda^N |\lambda t|^N}.$$

Let us set $X = \frac{(1 + \lambda^N |\lambda t|^N)^{\frac{1}{2N}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} z$. Then

$$I \leq \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \frac{(1 + \lambda^N |\lambda t|^N)^{\frac{n}{2N}}}{\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^N |\lambda t|^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dz}{1 + |z|^{2N}}.$$

If $\lambda |\lambda t| \leq 1$, that is $\lambda^2 \leq \frac{1}{|t|}$, we have,

$$I \leq C_N \lambda^n \leq \frac{C_N}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

If $\lambda |\lambda t| \geq 1$, that is $\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \leq |t|$, we can write for $N > n$,

$$I \leq C_N \lambda^n \frac{(\lambda |\lambda t|)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(\lambda |\lambda t|)^N} \leq \frac{C_N}{\lambda^{2(N-n)}} |t|^{\frac{n}{2}-N} \leq C_N |t|^{N-n+\frac{n}{2}-N} \leq \frac{C_N}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

It follows from (VII.1.51) that $|\tilde{k}_+(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}}$.

In case 3 we have

$$I \leq C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{d\alpha}{1 + (\lambda |x - \alpha_x|^2 + \lambda |\lambda t| |\alpha_\xi - \alpha_\xi^c|^2)^N}.$$

Setting $X = \sqrt{\lambda}(x - \alpha_x)$, $Y = \sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{|\lambda t|} (\alpha_\xi - \alpha_\xi^c)$ we obtain

$$I \leq C \lambda^{\frac{3n}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\lambda t|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{dX dY}{1 + (|X|^2 + |Y|^2)^N}.$$

It follows that

$$|\tilde{k}(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma VII.1.13 thus the proof of Theorem VII.1.1. $\square$

VII.2 End of the proof of Theorem II.2.1

Let us recall that we have set in (VI.2.7),

$$(VII.2.1) \quad U_\pm(t) = \chi_1^+ \psi_2 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) e^{-itP}.$$

It follows from Theorem VII.1.1 that there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|U_\pm(t_1) U_\pm(t_2)^* f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all t_1, t_2 in $[-T, T]$ with $t_1 \neq t_2$ and all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover there is a conservation of the L^2 norm for e^{-itP} which implies,

$$\|U_{\pm}(t) u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $|t| \leq T$ and $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We can therefore apply Lemma II.1.3 with $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we obtain

$$(VII.2.2) \quad \|U_{\pm}(\cdot) u_0\|_{L^q([-T, T], L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $q \geq 2$ and $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{r}$. Now it follows from (VI.2.2) that we have

$$\chi_1^+(x) + \chi_1^-(x) \geq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then (VII.2.1) and (VII.2.2) show that

$$(VII.2.3) \quad \left\| \psi_2\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) e^{-itP} u_0 \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $I = [-T, T]$.

Now let us recall (see (VI.2.6)) that $\psi_2(\xi) = \psi_0(\xi) \psi_1(\xi)$ where

$$\begin{cases} \psi_0(\xi) = 1 & \text{if } \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0 \right| \leq \delta_2, \quad |\xi| \geq 2\delta_2, \quad |\xi_0| = 1, \\ \text{supp } \psi_0 \subset \left\{ \xi : \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - \xi_0 \right| \leq 2\delta_2 \right\} & \text{and } |\xi| \geq \delta_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1(\xi) = 1 & \text{if } a - \delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + \delta_2, \quad a = \frac{6}{10}, \quad b = \frac{19}{10}, \\ \text{supp } \psi_1 \subset \{ \xi : a - 2\delta_2 \leq |\xi| \leq b + 2\delta_2 \}. \end{cases}$$

By a finite partition of unity we deduce easily from (VII.2.3) that

$$(VII.2.4) \quad \left\| \psi_1\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) e^{-itP} u_0 \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now let us recall that $p(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$. Therefore if ε is small enough we have

$$(VII.2.5) \quad \frac{9}{10} |\xi|^2 \leq p(x, \xi) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi|^2.$$

Let $\varphi_0(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\varphi_0(t) = 1 \text{ if } |t| \leq \frac{9}{5} \quad \varphi_0(t) = 0 \text{ if } |t| \geq 3$$

and set

$$(VII.2.6) \quad \varphi(t) = \varphi_0(t) - \varphi_0(4t).$$

It follows that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{9}{20} \leq |t| \leq 3\}$.

Let $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$(VII.2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \text{supp } \tilde{\varphi} \subset \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{4}{10} \leq |t| \leq \frac{31}{10} \right\} \\ \tilde{\varphi} = 1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } \text{supp } \varphi, \text{ so} \\ \varphi(t) \tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(t). \end{cases}$$

We claim that for every $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(VII.2.8) \quad (1 - \psi_1(\xi)) \tilde{\varphi}(p(x, \xi)) \equiv 0.$$

Indeed on the support of $1 - \psi_1(\xi)$ we have

$$(i) \quad |\xi| \leq \frac{6}{10} - \delta_2 \quad \text{or} \quad (ii) \quad |\xi| \geq \frac{19}{10} + \delta_2.$$

In the case (i), (VII.2.5) shows that

$$0 \leq p(x, \xi) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi|^2 \leq \frac{11}{10} \left(\frac{6}{10} \right)^2 < \frac{4}{10}.$$

In the case (ii) we have

$$p(x, \xi) \geq \frac{9}{10} \left(\frac{19}{10} \right)^2 > \frac{31}{10}.$$

Thus $\tilde{\varphi}(p(x, \xi)) = 0$ by (VII.2.7).

Now, with φ introduced in (VII.2.6) we claim that

$$(VII.2.9) \quad (1) = \left\| \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) e^{-itP} u_0 \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \left\| \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Indeed we can write

$$(1) \leq \underbrace{\left\| \psi_1 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) e^{-itP} u_0 \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r)}}_{(2)} + \underbrace{\left\| \left(I - \psi_1 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \right) \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) e^{-itP} u_0 \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r)}}_{(3)}.$$

Since $\varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right)$ commutes with e^{-itP} , we deduce from (VII.2.4) that

$$(VII.2.10) \quad (2) \leq \left\| \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Using (VII.2.7) we can write

$$(3) = \left\| \left(I - \psi_1 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \right) \tilde{\varphi} \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) e^{-itP} \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) u_0 \right\|_{L^q(I, L^r)}.$$

Now, since $r \geq 2$, there exists, by the Sobolev embedding, $s \geq 0$ such that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We fix such an s . Then

$$(3) \leq \left\| \left(I - \psi_1 \left(\frac{D}{\lambda} \right) \right) \tilde{\varphi} \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) e^{-itP} \varphi \left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2} \right) u_0 \right\|_{L^\infty(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Now we use (VII.2.8) and Proposition A.1 in [BGT]. It follows that

$$(3) \leq C' \left\| e^{-itP} \varphi\left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2}\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^\infty(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C'' \left\| \varphi\left(\frac{P}{\lambda^2}\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which, together with (VII.2.10) proves our claim (VII.2.9). By (VII.2.6) we have

$$\varphi_0(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \varphi(2^{-2k} t) = 1.$$

Then using Corollary 2.3 in [BGT] we can write

$$\|e^{-itP} u_0\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|e^{-itP} \varphi(2^{-2k} P) u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^q(I)}.$$

By the Minkowski inequality and (VII.2.9) we obtain

$$\|e^{-itP} u_0\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|\varphi(2^{-2k} P) u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This implies that

$$\|e^{-itP} u_0\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C' \|u_0\|_{L^2},$$

which is the estimate claimed in Theorem II.2.1.

VIII Appendix

VIII.1 The Faa di Bruno Formula

We shall make a repeat use of the following formula which can be found in even a more precise form in the paper of Constantin and Savits [CS].

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$, $U_k \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R})$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. Then for $|\Lambda| \leq m$ we have

$$\partial_Y^\Lambda [F(U(Y))] = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial U_k}(U(Y)) \partial_Y^\Lambda U_k(Y) + (1)$$

where (1) is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$(\partial_U^\beta F)(U(Y)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_Y^{L_j} U(Y))^{K_j}$$

where $2 \leq |\beta| \leq |\Lambda|$, $1 \leq s \leq |\Lambda|$, $|K_j| \geq 1$, $|L_j| \geq 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s K_j = \beta, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s |K_j| |L_j| = \Lambda.$$

The precise coefficients of this sum can be found in [CS].

VIII.2 Proof of Proposition III.2.1

The system satisfied by r and ζ is the following.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{r}_j(t) = 2\zeta_j(t) + 2\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk}(x(t)) \xi_k(t), & r_j(0) = 0 \\ \dot{\zeta}_j(t) = -\varepsilon \sum_{p,q=1}^n \frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \xi_p(t) \xi_q(t), & \zeta_j(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We prove our claim by induction on $|A| + |B| = k$. Moreover it is clear that (ii) implies (i) since $r(0) = \zeta(0) = 0$. Setting $\Phi(t) = |\dot{r}(t)| + |\dot{\zeta}(t)|$ the above equations show that $\Phi(t) \leq C_0\varepsilon + C_1 \int_0^t \Phi(\sigma) d\sigma$. Thus by Gronwall inequality, $\Phi(t) \leq C_2(T)\varepsilon$. This shows that (i) and (ii) are true for $k = 0$. Let us assume they are true up to the order $k - 1$. Let us set $X = (x, \xi)$ and if $\alpha = (A, B) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$, $\partial_X^\alpha = \partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B$. It follows from the induction that

$$\begin{cases} |\xi(t, x, \xi)| \leq C(T) \\ |\partial_X^\alpha x(t, x, \xi)| + |\partial_X^\alpha \xi(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha(T) \end{cases}$$

if $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - 1$ and $k \geq 2$.

It follows that if $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - 1$ we have $|\partial_X^\alpha [F(x(t))]| \leq C_\alpha(T)$ if $F = b_{jk}$ or $\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}$.

Let us now take $|\alpha| = k \geq 1$ and let us set $\Phi(t) = |\partial_X^\alpha \dot{r}(t)| + |\partial_X^\alpha \dot{\zeta}(t)|$. Then using the Leibniz and Faa di Bruno formulas (see Section VIII.1) and differentiating the above differential system we find

$$\Phi(t) \leq C_\alpha \varepsilon + C'_\alpha \int_0^t \Phi(\sigma) d\sigma$$

after using the induction. We conclude again by the Gronwall inequality. $\square$

VIII.3 Proof of Proposition III.3.2

The system satisfied by z and ζ is the following.

$$(VIII.3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}_j(t) = 2\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk}(x(t)) \xi_k(t) - 2\varepsilon t \sum_{p,q=1}^n \frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \xi_p(t) \xi_q(t) \\ \dot{\zeta}_j(t) = -\varepsilon \sum_{p,q=1}^n \frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \xi_p(t) \xi_q(t) \\ z_j(0) = \zeta_j(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition III.3.1 we have $\langle x(t, x, \xi) \rangle \geq C(1 + |x| + t)$. This implies that for $\ell \geq 1$,

$$(VIII.3.2) \quad \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\langle x(s) \rangle^{\ell+\sigma_0}} \leq \frac{C_\ell}{\langle x \rangle^{\ell-1+\sigma_0}}.$$

We proceed by induction on k . Let us begin by the case $k = 0$. We deduce from (VIII.3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{z}_j(t)| &\leq C(A_0, A_1) \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{t}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} \right) \leq \frac{C'(A_0, A_1) \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \\ |\dot{\zeta}_j(t)| &\leq \frac{C(A_1) \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} \end{aligned}$$

since $|\xi(t, x, \xi)| \leq 2|\xi| \leq 4$. Then the estimates in Proposition III.3.2 when $k = 0$ follow from (VIII.3.2). Assume now that these estimates are true when $|A| + |B| \leq k - 1$ and let us deduce several facts.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let us introduce the space,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^\ell = \left\{ F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\partial^\beta F(y)| \leq \frac{C_\beta}{\langle y \rangle^{\ell+|\beta|+\sigma_0}}, \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Let us set $X = (x, \xi)$ and if $\alpha = (A, B) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$, $\partial_X^\alpha = \partial_x^A \partial_\xi^B$. Then a straightforward computation shows that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$(VIII.3.3) \quad |\partial_X^\alpha(\xi_j)| \leq \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}}, \quad |\partial_X^\alpha(x_j)| \leq \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|-1}}.$$

It follows that for $|\alpha| \leq k - 1$,

$$(VIII.3.4) \quad |\partial_X^\alpha \xi_j(t, x, \xi)| \leq \frac{2M_{k-1}}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Indeed

$$|\partial_X^\alpha(\xi_j(t, x, \xi))| \leq |\partial_X^\alpha(\xi_j)| + |\partial_X^\alpha \zeta_j(t, x, \xi)| \leq \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}} + \frac{\varepsilon M_{k-1}}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+1+\sigma_0}}.$$

Therefore if $|\alpha| \leq k - 1$

$$(VIII.3.5) \quad |\partial_X^\alpha(\xi_p(t) \xi_q(t))| \leq \frac{C_k M_{k-1}^2}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Now if $|\alpha| = k$ we have from (VIII.3.3)

$$(VIII.3.6) \quad \partial_X^\alpha \xi_j(t, x, \xi) = \partial_X^\alpha \zeta_j(t, x, \xi) + R_{j,\alpha}, \quad |R_{j,\alpha}| \leq \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}}$$

$$(VIII.3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_X^\alpha(\xi_p(t) \cdot \xi_q(t)) = \xi_p(t) \partial_X^\alpha \xi_q(t) + \xi_q(t) \partial_X^\alpha \xi_p(t) + R_{p,q,\alpha} \\ |R_{p,q,\alpha}| \leq \frac{C_k M_{k-1}^2}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}}. \end{cases}$$

Now we claim that if $F \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^{\ell+1}$ and $|\alpha| \leq k - 1$ we have

$$(VIII.3.8) \quad |\partial_X^\alpha[F(x(t))]| \leq \frac{C_k M_{k-1}^{|\alpha|}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{\ell+1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

This estimate is easy if $k = 1$ and if $k \geq 2$ we use the Faa di Bruno formula (see Section VIII.1). It follows that $\partial_X^\alpha[F(x(t))]$ is a finite sum of terms of the following form

$$(\partial^\beta F)(x(t)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_X^{\ell_j} x(t))^{k_j}, \quad \ell_j \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n, \quad k_j \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

where $1 \leq |\beta| \leq |\alpha|$, $1 \leq s \leq |\alpha|$, $|k_j| \geq 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \beta, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |k_j| \ell_j = \alpha.$$

If we write $\ell_j = (a_j, b_j)$, $\alpha = (A, B)$ we have in particular

$$(VIII.3.9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| a_j = A.$$

Now we write $\{1, 2, \dots, s\} = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3$ where

$$I_1 = \{j : |\ell_j| \geq 2\}, \quad I_2 = \{j : |\ell_j| = 1, \ell_j = (a_j, 0)\}, \quad I_3 = \{j : |\ell_j| = 1, \ell_j = (0, b_j)\}$$

and we denote by Σ_i the sum $\sum_{j \in I_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. When $j \in I_1$ we have

$$\partial_X^{\ell_j}(x_j(t)) = 2t \partial_X^\alpha(\zeta_j(t)) + \partial_X^\alpha(z_j(t)).$$

Since $|\ell_j| \leq |\alpha| \leq k-1$ it follows from the induction that

$$|\partial_X^\alpha(x(t))| \leq \frac{2t \varepsilon M_{k-1}}{\langle x \rangle^{|a_j| + \sigma_0 + 1}} + \frac{\varepsilon M_{k-1}}{\langle x \rangle^{|a_j| + \sigma_0}} = \frac{\varepsilon M_{k-1}}{\langle x \rangle^{|a_j| + \sigma_0}} \left(1 + \frac{2t}{\langle x \rangle}\right).$$

It follows that

$$(VIII.3.10) \quad \left| \prod_{j \in I_1} (\partial_X^{\ell_j}(x(t)))^{k_j} \right| \leq \frac{C_k(\varepsilon M_{k-1})^{\Sigma_1 |k_j|}}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_1 |k_j| |a_j|}} \left(1 + \frac{t^{\Sigma_1 |k_j|}}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_1 |k_j|}}\right).$$

Now when $j \in I_2$ we have $\ell_j = (a_j, 0)$, $|a_j| = 1$. Then

$$|\partial_x^{a_j}(x_p(t))| \leq 1 + \frac{2\varepsilon t M_1}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon M_1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \leq 2M_1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{\langle x \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}}\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$(VIII.3.11) \quad \left| \prod_{j \in I_2} (\partial_X^{\ell_j}(x(t)))^{k_j} \right| \leq C(2M_1)^{\Sigma_2 |k_j|} \left(1 + \frac{t^{\Sigma_2 |k_j|}}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_2 |k_j| (2+\sigma_0)}}\right).$$

Finally for $j \in I_3$ we have $\ell_j = (0, b_j)$, $|b_j| = 1$. Then

$$|\partial_\xi^{b_j}(x_p(t))| \leq 2M_1 \langle t \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$(VIII.3.12) \quad \left| \prod_{j \in I_3} (\partial_X^{\ell_j}(x(t)))^{k_j} \right| \leq (2M_1)^{\Sigma_3 |k_j|} \langle t \rangle^{\Sigma_3 |k_j|},$$

Using (VIII.3.10), (VIII.3.11) and (VIII.3.12) we obtain

$$(1) = \left| (\partial^\beta F)(x(t)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_X^{\ell_j}(x(t)))^{k_j} \right| \leq \frac{C_\beta M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{|\beta| + \ell + 1 + \sigma_0}} \cdot \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_1 |k_j| |a_j|}} \left(1 + \frac{t^{\Sigma_1 |k_j|}}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_1 |k_j|}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{t^{\Sigma_2 |k_j|}}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_2 |k_j|}}\right) \langle t \rangle^{\Sigma_3 |k_j|}.$$

Now we have

$$\Sigma_1 |k_j| + \Sigma_2 |k_j| + \Sigma_3 |k_j| = |\beta|, \quad \langle x(t) \rangle \geq C(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x(t) \rangle \geq C \langle x \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$(VIII.3.13) \quad (1) \leq \frac{C_k M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{\ell+1+\sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\Sigma_1 |k_j| |a_j| + \Sigma_2 |k_j|}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$|A| = \sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| |a_j| = \Sigma_1 |k_j| |a_j| + \Sigma_2 |k_j| \text{ since } |a_j| = 1 \text{ for } t \in I_2$$

and $a_j = 0$ if $j \in I_3$. Therefore (VIII.3.13) implies (VIII.3.8) and our claim is proved.

Moreover if $|\alpha| = k$ we can write

$$(VIII.3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_X^\alpha [F(x(t))] = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_\ell}(x(t)) \partial_X^\alpha x_\ell(t) + R \text{ where} \\ |R| \leq \frac{C_k M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{\ell+1+\sigma_0}} \cdot \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|}}. \end{cases}$$

Indeed R is a finite sum of terms of the form $(\partial^\beta F)(x(t)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_X^{\ell_j} x)^{k_j}$ where $2 \leq |\beta| \leq |\alpha|$. It follows then that $|\ell_j| \leq |\alpha| - 1 = k - 1$ and the above computations are valid.

Let us now prove Proposition III.3.2 for $|\alpha| = k$. Let us set

$$Z(t) = \partial_X^\alpha z(t), \quad \Xi(t) = \langle x \rangle \partial_X^\alpha \zeta(t).$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Z}_j(t) &= 2\varepsilon \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left\{ \underbrace{b_{jk}(x(t)) \partial_X^\alpha \xi_k(t)}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\partial_X^\alpha [b_{jk}(x(t))] \xi_k(t)}_{(2)} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_j \neq 0}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \underbrace{\partial_X^{\alpha_1} [b_{jk}(x(t))] \partial_X^{\alpha_2} \xi_k(t)}_{(3)} \left. - 2\varepsilon t \sum_{p,q=1}^n \underbrace{\partial_X^\alpha \left[\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \right] \xi_p(t) \xi_q(t)}_{(4)} \right. \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \partial_X^\alpha (\xi_p(t) \xi_q(t))}_{(5)} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_j \neq 0}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \underbrace{\partial_X^{\alpha_1} \left[\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \right] \partial_X^{\alpha_2} (\xi_p(t) \xi_q(t))}_{(6)} \left. \right\} \\ \dot{\Xi}_j(t) &= -\varepsilon \langle x \rangle \sum_{p,q=1}^n \left\{ \underbrace{\partial_X^\alpha \left[\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \right] \xi_p(t) \xi_q(t)}_{(7)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \partial_X^\alpha (\xi_p(t) \xi_q(t))}_{(8)} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_j \neq 0}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \underbrace{\partial_X^{\alpha_1} \left[\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j}(x(t)) \right] \partial_X^{\alpha_2} (\xi_p(t) \xi_q(t))}_{(9)} \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall use the fact that $b_{jk} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^1$ and $\frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^2$. We deduce from (VIII.3.3) that

$$(VIII.3.15) \quad |(1)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}} + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \frac{|\Xi(t)|}{\langle x \rangle}.$$

To estimate the term (2) we use (VIII.3.14) with $F = b_{jk}$ and the equality

$$\partial_X^\alpha x_j(t) = \partial_X^\alpha (x_j + 2t \xi_j) + 2t \frac{\Xi_j(t)}{\langle x \rangle} + Z_j(t).$$

We obtain

$$(VIII.3.16) \quad |(2)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} |Z(t)| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} \frac{|\Xi(t)|}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

To estimate (3) we use (VIII.3.4) and (VIII.3.8) ; we obtain,

$$(VIII.3.17) \quad |(3)| \leq \frac{C_k \varepsilon M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

By the same way we have,

$$(VIII.3.18) \quad |(6)| \leq \frac{C_k \varepsilon M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

Then (4) has the same estimate as (2) and (5) as (1) since $\langle x(t) \rangle \geq C \langle t \rangle$.

To take care of (7) we use (VIII.3.14) with $F = \frac{\partial b_{pq}}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^2$. We obtain

$$(VIII.3.19) \quad |(7)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} |Z(t)| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{2+\sigma_0}} |\Xi(t)| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}$$

since $\langle x(t) \rangle \geq C \langle x \rangle$ and $\langle x(t) \rangle \geq C \langle t \rangle$. Finally

$$(VIII.3.20) \quad \begin{cases} |(8)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} |\Xi(t)| + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}, \\ |(9)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}. \end{cases}$$

Gathering the estimates obtained in (VIII.3.15) to (VIII.3.20) we obtain

$$|\dot{Z}(t)| + |\dot{\Xi}(t)| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} (|Z(t)| + |\Xi(t)|) + \frac{C_k \varepsilon M_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\langle x(t) \rangle^{1+\sigma_0} \langle x \rangle^{|A|}}.$$

It follows from Gromwall's Lemma, (VIII.3.2) and the estimate $\langle x(t) \rangle \geq C \langle t \rangle$ that

$$|Z(t)| + |\Xi(t)| \leq \frac{C(M_{k-1}) \varepsilon}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+\sigma_0}}$$

which, according to the definition of Z and Ξ proves Proposition III.3.2 when $|\alpha| = k$. $\square$

VIII.4 Proof of Lemma V.3.1

The proof is the same for the two cases so we shall consider the more general case where $f = f(x, \theta)$.

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\chi(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \geq 1$. We set

$$(VIII.4.1) \quad \sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell} \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\beta \chi| = D_\ell, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We want to show that one can find an increasing sequence $(L_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in $]1, +\infty[$ such that if we set for (θ, x, y) in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$

$$(VIII.4.2) \quad \begin{cases} F_\gamma(\theta, x, y) = \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \frac{(iy)^\gamma}{\gamma!} \chi\left(L_{|\gamma|} y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle}\right)\right), & |\gamma| \geq 1, \\ F(\theta, x, y) = f(\theta, x) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} F_\gamma(\theta, x, y) \end{cases}$$

then F is well defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$ and satisfies all the requirements of Lemma V.3.1.

First of all in the expression of F_γ , on the support of χ we have $|y| \leq \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}} \frac{\langle \theta \rangle \langle x \rangle}{\langle \theta \rangle + \langle x \rangle}$. It follows that

$$(VIII.4.3) \quad \frac{|y|}{\langle x \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}}, \quad \frac{|y|}{\langle \theta \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}}.$$

Using (V.3.2) we can write,

$$|F_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq M_{|\gamma|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\gamma| + \sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\gamma| + \sigma_3}} \right) \frac{|y|^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} |\chi(L_{|\gamma|} y(\dots))|$$

so

$$|F_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq \frac{D_0 M_{|\gamma|}}{\gamma! L_{|\gamma|}^{|\gamma|}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\sigma_3}} \right).$$

Taking

$$(VIII.4.4) \quad L_{|\gamma|}^{|\gamma|} \geq D_0 M_{|\gamma|}$$

we deduce that F defined in (VIII.4.2) is well defined and satisfies

$$(VIII.4.5) \quad |F(\theta, x, y)| \leq C_0 \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\sigma_1}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{\sigma_2}} \right)$$

since $\sigma_3 \geq \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3 \geq \sigma_2$.

Therefore (ii) in Lemma V.3.1 is satisfied and (i) follows immediately from (VIII.4.2). We shall strengthen the condition (VIII.4.4) on $L_{|\gamma|}$ to obtain a C^∞ function F . First all there exists absolute constants $C_{i,\ell}$, ($i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$(VIII.4.6) \quad \sum_{|\gamma|=i} \left| \partial_x^\gamma \left[\left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^\ell \right] \right| \leq C_{i,\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{\ell+i}.$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ one can find an absolute constant $K_{|\mu|}$ independent of (L_k) such that for all (θ, x, y) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$ we have

$$(VIII.4.7) \quad \left| \partial_x^\mu \left[(\partial_\xi^\beta \chi) \left(L_{|\gamma|} y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right) \right] \right| \leq K_{|\mu|} D_{|\beta|+|\mu|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\mu|}.$$

Indeed let us set $h(\theta, x, y) = L_{|\gamma|} y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)$. By the Faa di Bruno formula, $\partial_x^\mu [(\partial^\beta \chi)(h(\theta, x, y))]$ is a finite linear combination with absolute coefficients of terms of the form $(\partial_\xi^{(\beta+\nu)} \chi)(h(\theta, x, y)) \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_x^{\ell_j} h(\theta, x, y))^{k_j}$ where $1 \leq |\nu| \leq |\mu|$, $1 \leq s \leq |\mu|$, $|k_j| \geq 1$, $|\ell_j| \geq 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s k_j = \nu, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s |k_j| \ell_j = \mu.$$

Since $|\nu| + |\beta| \leq |\mu| + |\beta|$ we have

$$(VIII.4.8) \quad |\partial_\xi^{(\beta+\nu)} \chi(h(\theta, x, y))| \leq D_{|\mu|+|\beta|}.$$

On the other hand it follows from (VIII.4.6) that

$$(1) =: \left| \prod_{j=1}^s (\partial_x^{\ell_j} h(\theta, x, y))^{k_j} \right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^s C_{|\ell_j|,1}^{|k_j|} (|y| L_{|\gamma|})^{\sum_1^s |k_j|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{\sum_1^s |k_j|(1+|\ell_j|)}.$$

On the support of χ we use the estimates (VIII.4.3). Moreover we have $\sum_1^s |k_j| = |\nu|$, $\sum_1^s |k_j| |\ell_j| = |\mu|$. It follows then that,

$$(VIII.4.9) \quad (1) \leq C'_{|\mu|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|\mu|}.$$

Then (VIII.4.7) follows from (VIII.4.8) and (VIII.4.9).

Now with F_γ defined in (VIII.4.2) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y^B F_\gamma(\theta, x, y) &= i^{|\gamma|} \frac{\partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x)}{\gamma!} \sum_{\substack{B_1 \leq B \\ B_1 \leq \gamma}} \binom{B}{B_1} \frac{y^{\gamma-B_1}}{(\gamma-B_1)!} \left[L_{|\gamma|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right]^{|B|-|B_1|} \\ &\quad (\partial_\xi^{B-B_1} \chi) \left(L_{|\gamma|} y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^A \partial_y^B F_\gamma(\theta, x, y) &= i^{|\gamma|} \sum_{\substack{B_1 \leq B \\ B_1 \leq \gamma}} \sum_{A_1 \leq A} \sum_{A_2 \leq A-A_1} \binom{B}{B_1} \binom{A}{A_1} \binom{A-A_1}{A_2} \frac{1}{(\gamma-B_1)!} y^{\gamma-B_1} \\ &\quad L_{|\gamma|}^{|B|-|B_1|} \partial_x^{\gamma+A_1} f(\theta, x) \partial_x^{A_2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|B|-|B_1|} \right] \partial_x^{A-A_1-A_2} \left[(\partial_\xi^{B-B_1} \chi) \left(y L_{|\gamma|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use (VIII.4.3), (VIII.4.6) and (VIII.4.7). Since $|\gamma| + |A_1| \leq |\gamma| + |A|$, $|A - A_1 - A_2| \leq |A|$, $|B - B_1| \leq |B|$ we obtain

$$|\partial_x^A \partial_y^B F_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq \sum_{\substack{B_1 \leq B \\ B_1 \leq \gamma}} \sum_{\substack{A_1 \leq A \\ A_2 \leq A-A_1}} \binom{B}{B_1} \binom{A}{A_1} \binom{A-A_1}{A_2} 2^{|\gamma|-|B_1|} L_{|\gamma|}^{|B_1|-|\gamma|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|B_1|-|\gamma|}$$

$$L_{|\gamma|}^{|B|-|B_1|} M_{|\gamma|+|A|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\gamma|+|A_1|+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|\gamma|+|A_1|+\sigma_3}} \right) C_{|A_2|,|B-B_1|} \\ \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A_2|+|B|-|B_1|} K_{|A|} D_{|A|+|B|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right)^{|A|-|A_1|-|A_2|}$$

where $|y|$ satisfies (VIII.4.3).

It follows that we can find a constant $\tilde{C}_{|A|,|B|} \leq 1$, depending only on $|A|$, $|B|$ and the dimension such that

$$(VIII.4.10) \quad |\partial_x^A \partial_y^B F_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{|A|,|B|} D_{|A|+|B|} M_{|\gamma|+|A|}}{L_{|\gamma|}^{| \gamma | - | B |}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

Let us set

$$(VIII.4.11) \quad \tilde{C}_k = \max_{|A|+|B| \leq k} \tilde{C}_{|A|,|B|}.$$

We shall take the increasing sequence $(L_k)_{k \geq 0}$ such that

$$(VIII.4.12) \quad L_k \geq \max(1, 2^k \tilde{C}_k D_k M_{2k}).$$

Then we write, according to (VIII.4.2)

$$(VIII.4.13) \quad F(\theta, x, y) = f(\theta, x) + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq |A|+|B|} F_\gamma(\theta, x, y) + \sum_{|\gamma| > |A|+|B|} F_\gamma(\theta, x, y).$$

The first two terms in the right hand side of (VIII.4.13) define a C^∞ function. For the third one we deduce from (VIII.4.10), (VIII.4.11) and (VIII.4.12) that

$$|\partial_x^A \partial_y^B f_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{|\gamma|} D_{|\gamma|} M_{2|\gamma|}}{L_{|\gamma|}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{|\gamma|}}.$$

This shows that the third term define also a C^∞ function. Thus F is C^∞ in (x, y) . Let us prove (iii). According to (VIII.4.2) if $|A| + |B| \geq 1$ we have

$$(1) =: \partial_x^A \partial_y^B F(\theta, x, y) = \partial_x^A \partial_y^B f(\theta, x) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \partial_x^A \partial_y^B F_\gamma(\theta, x, y).$$

If $|B| \geq 1$ we use (VIII.4.10), (VIII.4.13) and (VIII.4.12). We get

$$|(1)| \leq C_{AB} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+|B|+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

If $B = 0$ we use furthermore (V.3.2). We obtain

$$|(1)| \leq M_{|A|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+\sigma_3}} \right) + C'_{AB} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|A|+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{|A|+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

This shows that (iii) holds.

Finally let us prove (iv). Let us set again

$$h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y) = L_{|\gamma|} y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right).$$

According to (VIII.4.2) we have for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_j} F &= \partial_{x_j} f(\theta, x) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \left(\partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \chi(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\ell=1}^n L_{|\gamma|} \frac{x_j y_\ell}{\langle x \rangle^3} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \cdot \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_\ell}(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) \right) \frac{(iy)^\gamma}{\gamma!} \\ \partial_{y_j} F &= \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \frac{(iy)^\gamma}{\gamma!} L_{|\gamma|} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_j}(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) \\ &\quad + i \sum_{\gamma_j \geq 1} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \frac{(iy)^{\gamma-e_j}}{(\gamma-e_j)!} \chi(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)),\end{aligned}$$

where $e_j = (0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$. Setting $\gamma' = \gamma - e_j$ the sum above can be written

$$i \partial_{x_j} f(\theta, x) \chi \left(L_1 y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right) + i \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \frac{(iy)^\gamma}{\gamma!} \chi(h_{|\gamma|+1}(\theta, x, y)).$$

It follows that

$$(VIII.4.14) \quad (\partial_{x_j} F + i \partial_{y_j} F)(\theta, x, y) = (1) + (2) + (3)$$

where

$$(VIII.4.15) \quad \begin{cases} (1) = \left(1 - \chi \left(L_1 y \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \right) \right) \partial_{x_j} f(\theta, x) \\ (2) = \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \frac{(iy)^\gamma}{\gamma!} [\chi(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) - \chi(h_{|\gamma|+1}(\theta, x, y))] \\ (3) = \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \partial_x^\gamma f(\theta, x) \frac{(iy)^\gamma}{\gamma!} L_{|\gamma|} \left(- \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{x_j y_\ell}{\langle x \rangle^3} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_\ell}(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) \right. \\ \quad \left. + i \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_j}(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) \right). \end{cases}$$

Let us set for convenience

$$(VIII.4.16) \quad R = |y| \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle} \right).$$

On the support of $1 - \chi(L_1 y (\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle}))$ in the term (1) above, we have $L_1 R \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore

$$(VIII.4.17) \quad \frac{(1)}{R^N} \leq (2L_1)^N M_1 \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

On the support of $\chi(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) - \chi(h_{|\gamma|+1}(\theta, x, y))$ we have $\frac{1}{2L_{|\gamma|+1}} \leq R \leq \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}}$. Now we write with $N \geq 2$,

$$(2) = \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} G_\gamma(\theta, x, y) + \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} G_\gamma(\theta, x, y).$$

When $|\gamma| \leq N-1$ we have $L_{|\gamma|+1} \leq L_N$ so $R \geq \frac{1}{2L_N}$. It follows that

$$\sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} |G_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \cdot \frac{1}{R^N} \leq (2L_N)^N \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} M_{|\gamma|+1} \cdot \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(VIII.4.18) \quad \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} |G_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq C_N R^N \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} |G_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right) R^N \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} M_{|\gamma|} R^{|\gamma|-N} [\chi(h_{|\gamma|}) - \chi(h_{|\gamma|+1})] \\ \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} |G_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right) R^N \sum_{\beta} M_{|\beta|+N} R^{|\beta|} [\chi(h_{|\beta|+N}) - \chi(h_{|\beta|+N+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

On the support of $\chi(h_{|\beta|+N}) - \chi(h_{|\beta|+N+1})$, we have $L_{|\beta|+N} R \leq 1$. It follows that

$$(VIII.4.19) \quad \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} |G_\gamma(\theta, x, y)| \leq C_N R^N \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

Combining (VIII.4.18) and (VIII.4.19) we obtain

$$(VIII.4.20) \quad |(2)| \leq C'_N R^N \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

Finally we consider the term (3) in (VIII.4.15). We have $\frac{|x_j|}{\langle x \rangle} \leq 1$ and on the support of $\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_j}(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y))$ we have $L_{|\gamma|} \frac{|y|}{\langle x \rangle} \leq 1$ it follows that (3) is bounded by a finite sum of terms of the form

$$(3)' = \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right) \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} M_{|\gamma|} R^{|\gamma|} L_{|\gamma|} \left| \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_j}(h_{|\gamma|}(\theta, x, y)) \right|.$$

As before we write the above sum as $\sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} + \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N}$. If $|\gamma| \leq N-1$ then $L_{|\gamma|} \leq L_{N-1}$ so on the support of $\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_j}$ we have $R \geq \frac{1}{2L_{|\gamma|}} \geq \frac{1}{2L_{N-1}}$ and $R \leq \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}}$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{R^N} \left| \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} \right| \leq (2L_{N-1})^N \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N-1} M_{|\gamma|} \frac{1}{L_{|\gamma|}^{|\gamma|-1}} D_1 = C_N.$$

For the second sum we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} \right| &\leq R^N \sum_{|\gamma| \geq N} M_{|\gamma|} R^{|\gamma|-N} L_{|\gamma|} \left| \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi_j}(h_{|\gamma|}) \right| \\ &\leq R^N \sum_{\beta} M_{|\beta|+N} \frac{L_{|\beta|+N}}{L_{|\beta|+N}^{|\beta|+N}} D_1 = C'_N R^N. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(VIII.4.21) \quad |(3)| \leq C_N R^N \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} + \frac{1}{\langle \theta \rangle^{1+\sigma_3}} \right).$$

Using (VIII.4.14) to (VIII.4.21) we obtain the part (iv) of Lemma V.3.1. The proof is complete. $\square$

References

- [B] Burq, N. : *Estimations de Strichartz pour des perturbations à longue portée de l'opérateur de Schrödinger*, Séminaire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles, 2001-2002, exposé n° **11**, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [BGT] Burq, N., Gérard, P., Tzvetkov, N. : *Strichartz inequalities and the non linear Schrödinger equation on compact manifold*, Amer. J. of Math., **126** (2004), 569-605.
- [CK] Christ, M., Kiselev, A. : *Maximal functions associated to filtrations*, J. Funct. Anal., **179** (2001), n° 2, 409-425.
- [CS] Constantin, G.M., Savits, T.H. : *A multivariate Faà di Bruno formula with applications*, Trans. AMS., vol 348, **2** (1996), 503-520.
- [D] Doi, S.I. : *Smoothing effects of Schrödinger evolution groups on Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J., **82** (1996), 679-706
- [GV] Ginibre J., Velo, G. : *Smoothing properties and retarded estimates for some dispersive evolutions*, Comm. Math. Phys., **144** (1992), 163-188.
- [HTW1] Hassel, A., Tao, T., Wunsch, J. : *A Strichartz inequality for the Schrödinger equation on non trapping asymptotically conic manifold*, Preprint.
- [HTW2] Hassel, A., Tao, T., Wunsch, J. : *Sharp Strichartz estimates on non trapping asymptotically conic manifolds*, Preprint.
- [H] Hörmander, L. : *The analysis of linear partial differential operators*, vol. **1** and **4**, Grundlehren, Springer.
- [KT] Kell, M., Tao, T. : *End point Strichartz estimate*, Amer. Math. J., **120** (1998), 955-980.
- [MS] Melin, A., Sjöstrand, J. : *Fourier integral operators with complex valued phase function*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **459**, 121-223.
- [Sj] Sjöstrand, J. : *Singularités analytiques microlocales*, Astérisque **95** (1982), 1-205.
- [St] Strichartz, R. : *Restriction of Fourier transform to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions to the wave equation*, Duke Math. J., **44** (1977), 705-714.
- [SS] Smith, H., Sogge, C. : *Global Strichartz estimates for non trapping perturbations of the Laplacian*, Comm. on P.D.E., **25** (11 & 12), (2000), 2171-2183.
- [ST] Staffilani, G., Tataru, D. : *Strichartz estimates for a Schrödinger operator with non smooth coefficients*, Comm on P.D.E., **5 & 6** (2002), 1337-1372.
- [RZ1] Robbiano, L., Zuily, C. : *Microlocal analytic smoothing effect for the Schrödinger equation*, Duke Math. J., **100**, n° 1 (1999), 93-129.
- [RZ2] Robbiano, L., Zuily, C. : *Analytic theory for the quadratic scattering wave front set and application to the Schrödinger equation*, Astérisque **283** (2002), 1-128.

- [RZ3] Robbiano, L., Zuily, C. : *Estimées de Strichartz pour l'équation de Schrödinger à coefficients variables*, Séminaire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles, 2003-2004, exposé n° **20**, Avril 2004, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [Y] Yajima, K. : *Existence of solutions for Schrödinger evolution equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **110** (1987), 415-426.